	Drohiczyn-Warsaw, 28.01.2020
JUAN DE TORQUEMADA, SUMMA DE ECCLESIA	
lecture script of fundamental theology	

## **Table of contents:**

Book one: About the Church

Church and synagogue

One, holy, catholic, apostolic Church

Beginning of the Church

Images of the Church

Members and the Head of the Church

The mystical body of Christ and the Body of Christ

The division of states and offices in the Church

Spiritual authority and jurisdiction in the Church

Book Two: About the Church of Rome and the primacy of the Pope

Peter the First Pope

Fullness of power in the Pope

Judgment over the Pope

Pope heretic

Pope teacher

Pope's temporal jurisdiction

Book Three: About the Council

Notion

Types of councils

Convening the Council

Benefit of the Council

Seriousness and infallibility of the Council

Book four: About schism and heresy

Notion of schism

Sin of schism

Overcoming the schism

Notion of heresy

Heretic

## **BOOK ONE: ABOUT THE UNIVERSAL CHURCH**

There is a **distinction** between referring to the **Church** and the **synagogue**. In the **New** Testament, the term **synagogue** is **never** used to describe **believers** in Christ. In the **Old** Testament, however, **both** terms appear. The term **synagogue** can mean a **collection** of **dead** things or **animals**. The term *ecclesia* always refers to **people** who are **reasonable** in their faith. The **synagogue**, on the other hand, appears to be understood as the synagogue of **Satan**.

St. Paul touches upon four causes of the Church according to Aristotle: efficient, material, formal and final. Knowing the four causes, we get to know things perfectly well. The efficient cause can be split into two: the main and instrumental one. The main cause is Christ himself, and the instrumental cause is the sacraments of Christ's Passion, from which they obtain power and build the Church. The sacraments flowed out of the Cross and from them the Church was made, as St. Augustine says. The material cause of the Church is the faithful who are sanctified and receive eternal life. However, the final cause is twofold. One is in the present time and is the sanctification of the faithful, the other is in the ultimate time, when the Church will be brought into glory. The formal cause is the unity of the Mystical Body with Christ.

The author enumerates at least **sixteen** different variations of the word *ecclesia*. Among others, he points out generally the **called**, the place of **prayer**, the place of **sacrifice**, the **faithful**: the **pilgrims** and the **blessed**, one **province**, having **faith** with **love**, destined for **salvation**, the **presiding** in the Church, the **superiors** in the Church, the **degrees** of the **clergy**, the form of the **sacrament** of the Church, **power**. The most **important** title is the **gathering** of the faithful **regardless** of their **predestination**, which is known **only** to **God** himself, who are in the **Catholic faith** and by the **Shepherd's** judgment have not been **cut off** from the Church.

The Church is **one**, which is due to many **reasons**. The first is the **unity** of the **head**, Christ. Second, unity results from the unity of **faith**, which is the **foundation** of the Christian **religion**. It is primarily about faith **in Christ**. Thirdly, the Church has unity because of the sacrament of **Baptism**, by which she is sanctified. The unity of Baptism is also understood to **encompass** all the **sacraments**, because Baptism is the **gateway** to them. Fourthly, the Church is a unity of **hope** which rises to **heavenly** goods and offers eternal **happiness**. Fifthly, the Church is a unity of **love** that unites and revives. Just like **bread**, it is made up of many **grains** joined together. Sixthly, it is the unity of the nourishing **spirit**. The **Holy** Spirit makes the Mystical Body **perfect**, just as the **soul** in the **body** is in all its members. It is He who makes the **eye see** and the ear hear. Seventhly, the Church is one because of the same ultimate **goal**. All work to receive **one denar**. In this sense, all **nations** are **one** nation. Eighth, the Church is one for one **chairman**, the **helmsman**. He's the **high priest** and the **rock**. It brings **together** the power of all the **apostles**.

As for **separation** from the Church by the **curse**, it does **not harm** the one who is **not** separated by **mortal sin**. Bearing with **patience** it will cause merit to **compensate** for the damage of the curse. One can **belong** to the Church by **merit** or **number**. In the first case it is a matter of belonging by the **desire** 

to be baptized, but **not against** the grace of baptism. In the **second** case, it is about belonging **after** receiving the **sacrament**. Even when there was **no baptism**, the **faith** of which baptism is a sacrament has always been and was **necessary**. The Church at the **beginning** was not only a **small number** of people, but also a gift of **grace**; it would have taken **more** and more grace over **time** to form a more noble **political** order and **God's** figure of **governance**.

The Church, apart from being one, is holy. In Greek, holiness means hagios – without dust, without any pollution. Holy means the same as pure. The Church is holy because she is without the dirt of sin. The most **beautiful** was **Christ**, the **spouse** of the Church, who has a **holy** and beautiful bride. Secondly, in ancient times, holiness is the same as power. Sacred was that which was under the law. God chose the Church before the foundation of the world. The Church as holy and strengthened can never be stained because it will not fall away from the faith and love that is in Christ. The gates of hell can't prevail her. Thirdly, the sacred is what has been sprinkled with blood, or purified. In ancient times, purification consisted in sprinkling with blood. As St. Paul writes to the Hebrews, without spilling blood there is **no remission** of sins. The Church was **washed** with the blood of **Christ**. Fourthly, it is sacred what has been destined for God's ministry. That is why Aaron and his sons were sanctified. It is also about the vessels and robes that were meant for God's worship. The Church in this sense is rightly called holy because it is **entirely** devoted to the **service** and **worship** of one God. There is **no** real sacrifice outside the Catholic Church. Fifthly, sacred is called religion or a certain observance of the righteousness of that which belongs to God. The Church is holy because the highest, pure and immaculate religion is preserved in it. For the sixth the Church is holy because it is holy with all the virtues, graces, spiritual gifts she has been endowed with. Seventhly, the Church is described as holy because she enjoys the most just and sacred rights. She is governed and controlled by the law of God. She is **publicly** holy because the **laws** established for the Church by the **apostles** and Fathers are called holy. The papal decrees are particularly important. He who opposes them blasphemes against the Holy Spirit. The eighth the Church is holy because the holy one is called the **knowledgeable** one doing what is righteous towards God. Ninthly, the Church is called holy because she has sacraments with which people are sanctified. A sacrament comes from the word sacrare, that is to sacrifice, or sanctificare – to sanctify. Sacraments are the action of God's power to purify and sanctify the soul. The tenth, the Church is called holy because by **example** she is holy and glorious, especially the triumphant Church in heaven.

Regarding the accusation that the Church cannot be holy because her members commit sins, it must be answered that there is a twofold holiness. The first one means comprehensive freedom from all impurity and corruption of guilt and punishment – this is the holiness of the homeland, the second holiness – in this life does not mean freedom from all sin, but freedom from those vices and sins with which God's love cannot exist, and which are grave sins. So it is such purity from sin, whether in mind or will, which is contrary to grace. This is the original and mortal sin. It does not mean purification from venial sin. For venial sins in this life cannot be completely avoided. Some people say that the Church is holy only with the holiness of her faith, as if there were no virtues and charisms in believers. If it were only about faith, sinners could be called saints.

We call the Church **Catholic** in **three** ways. It is about the Church **herself**, the Christian **faith** and **people** who have faith in Christ. The Catholic **faith** is this because it is **widespread** all over the world and it exists in **all peoples**. Secondly, the Catholic faith is this because it is **constantly** maintained by the **Church**, and it is **missing** in **heretics**. For **these** merely convey their **opinions** to their followers. Besides, the Catholic **faith** means transmitting the **fullness** of the **commandments** to all peoples and **states**. Fourthly, the Catholic faith is **not mixed** with any **error** or falsehood in any case. It **cannot** deviate from the **truth**. Besides, every Christian calls himself a **Catholic** because what the Catholic faith teaches and

preaches, he believes and has as true. A good Catholic does not only believe, but also retains customs. The Church as Catholic pours out to the whole world "from sunrise to sunset". It is applicable to all times, and will never disappear, from Abel to the end of the centuries. The Catholicity of the Church, in turn, also applies to the states of the people. No one is rejected by the Church, neither master, nor servant, nor woman, nor man. The Catholic Church contains a general doctrine of all things visible and invisible, concerning heaven and earth. She teaches about the salvation of individual people and the whole human genus. Her commandments are universal, not like the commandments given to the Synagogue, which were partial in time and for one people. Finally, the Church is universal because she has all the means of salvation – the seven sacraments. On the part of believers, the Catholicity of faith must be confirmed, because it was announced at the stage of the Law and the prophets that all peoples would worship God. Moreover, on the part of the very object of faith, it concerns all elements of human life, both temporal and eternal. It promises the happiness of soul and body.

The Church is apostolic, as we confess in the Symbol. It is to show the gravity and antiquity of the Church against those who bring new and alien truths into the Church. The apostolicity of the Church is manifested on several levels. First, the Church was initiated in the apostles. They were the first to adhere to Christ. They became the firstborn of the Christian people in the order of time and the greatness of **perfection**. The Church **began** with the apostles and then **developed** in the world on the principle of propagation. Secondly, after the Ascension of Jesus, the Church developed with the proclamation, miracles and example of the holiness of the apostles. It was they who founded her and strengthened her. Although Christ is the primary foundation of the Church, the apostles are secondary. They are to be the light of the world. Thirdly, the Church is called apostolic because it always preserves, observes the faith, documents and dignity of the apostles. First and foremost, it is about the power of the keys, teaching and example. The rites of the Christian religion and Church law also come from the apostolic tradition. This applies especially to the See of St. Peter, but also to those cities where apostles taught personally. The Church is apostolic because Peter and Paul, the princes of the apostles, **submitted** the faith to **all cities** and the whole world. Sixthly, the Church as apostolic, according to the **Greek** translation, is as if sent from **above**, apo means "from above", a **stolon** means "sending". St. John in Revelation says that he saw Jerusalem descend from heaven from God.

One may ask why the Church is called **apostolic rather** than **prophetic** since prophets also took part in laying the **foundation** of the Church. "**Built** on the foundation of the apostles and **prophets**" (Eph 2:20). The **apostles** have given us a more **developed** and **explained** faith than the **prophets**, who saw only from **afar**, with **figures**. Moreover, the apostles saw **Christ**, especially St. **Peter** as the **rock** of the Church. Besides, the teaching of the apostles is **more widespread** and deeper. It went out to **all** corners of the **world**, which the voice of the **prophets** did **not**. Moreover, in the apostles, God's **grace** was more **abundant** than that of the prophets, especially in terms of **wisdom** and knowledge of **God's** matters, but also **prudence** in **temporality**. The **prophets** passed on a **sealed faith**, and the apostles already **opened** and **settled** after the fullness of time. Besides, the apostles are called the **sons** of the **prophets**, so the word "**apostolic**" also **includes patriarchs** and prophets, not only in terms of the **body**, but also in terms of professed **faith** and **imitation** of holiness. The apostles, before they went into the world, **issued** the **Symbol** which the Church keeps as a **witness** of faith.

Why do we say that we **believe in** the **Church as** if it were about faith in **God**? Some **people** would **kneel** down on these words, as they did on the words and "**through** the Holy **Ghost**". Of course, this is **not** about **idolatry**, but about the **purpose** of faith. Just as we do **not** believe **in** the **apostles**, but believe the apostles. The Church is **holy** and universal **not** on an equal footing with **God** and His holiness, but that she believes in God correctly. This is most deeply **rooted in** faith in the Holy **Spirit**,

who **dwells** in his **gifts** and **sanctifies** the Church. It is a **continuation** of the previous profession of faith **in** the Holy **Spirit**. The Church is **His work**.

Apart from the unity of the universal and apostolic **Church** there is **no salvation**. This thesis is justified by the **faith** of **Christ**, which is the **basis** of heavenly happiness. **Without faith** it is impossible to please God. Faith is the **foundation** of **spiritual** life. Without it, man is a **dead member** separated from the body, who **cannot** keep **alive**. The virtue of **faith** implies the **childhood** of God. Everyone who believes in Jesus was born of God. Faith is the **root** of all other **goods**, so without it there is **no deserving** good. It is the **gateway** to eternal salvation, whoever does not enter through it is a **thief** and a robber.

### When did the Church start?

Augustine claims that from the beginning of mankind, whose prelude was Abel's sacrifice. If the Church is a congregation of the faithful, and the faith was one and the same in the patriarchs, as it were, from the beginning of mankind and in the righteous, then they were also included in the Church. They believed in Christ who was to come, and we believe in Christ who came. St. Augustine, in his commentary of Psalm 36: "I was young and grew old," attributes it to the Body of Christ, which is the Church. Since the beginning of the world, everyone has had Christ as his head, but the Church's time is divided into different periods. Some distinguish two periods, namely youth and old age, like Augustine, while others distinguish four periods. The first was the period of childhood, which began in Abel and continued with the prophets and patriarchs. It ended with a union with the Word of God and the sending of the Holy Spirit. The second period was the time of youth and this was the time of martyrs. They had so much power that nothing could separate them from the faith and love of Christ. The third period is the period of maturity, in which the faith of Christ spread to all peoples. The fourth is the final period, at the end of the world under the name of old age. Then, in the face of abundant wickedness, love will cool down. The Antichrist will come and life will end. Some distinguish five periods in analogy to Christ's parable about a host who went out to look for workers at particular hours. The morning was from Adam to Noah, three o'clock from Noah to Abraham, six o'clock from Abraham to Moses, nine o'clock from Moses to Christ, eleven o'clock from Christ to the end of the world. Augustine distinguished the six periods, adding the period of Babylonian captivity.

The allegation that the **Church** began **with Christ** is a **misunderstanding** of the **Old** Covenant Church. The Church as an assembly of the faithful has existed since the beginning of mankind, while the Church, which was born of Christ, the Virgin, and which has been using the sacraments of human redemption, has existed since the time of Christ. There are four ways to speak of the origins of the Church. The first refers to believers through a spiritual vocation. The beginning of such a Church, therefore, is most relevant to the Blessed Virgin Mary, for she was the first to have faith in the incarnate Word of God. Another believer in Christ from the beginning of His birth was Saint Joseph, followed by **shepherds**, kings, Simeon and **Anna**. **Secondly**, the beginning of the Church can be said of the first believers called by the proclamation and teaching of Christ, and so the Church began in the congregation of Christ's disciples on the Jordan River. There, for the first time, the synagogue clung to Christ. Christ commanded the expansion of this Church by preaching the gospel to all. The third way to speak of the beginning of the Church is through the sending down of the Holy Spirit, and thus after the fulfilled mysteries of human redemption. The apostles dispersed throughout the world and preached Christ. The fourth way to speak of the beginning of the Church refers to the sacraments that form the Church, and so the Church began with the Passion of Christ, during which water and blood flowed out of His side and a **new Eve**, the Church, was born.

The next issue is with **whom** the Church **began**. Some say that with **angels**, others with **Adam**, others with **Abel**, and others finally with the **apostles**. We must start with the fact that the **Church** can be

spoken of in different ways. First of all, there is the community of angels and holy men under one ruler, God. In this sense it can be said that the Church began with angels, because their creation preceded the creation of man. The second way of speaking about the Church concerns all the faithful on their road, *in via*. Here the basis of belonging is **sacrifice**, and hence it is said that the Church began with Abel. We have two cities according to Augustine, one of God, the other of the Devil. Hence Adam's sons have built these two cities, one heavenly – that is Abel and the other devilly – that is Cain. Faith was first accepted in the sacrifice that Abel made to God. This was the first sacred sign from a man who is **mentioned** in the Scriptures. Therefore, in the state of **nature**, it was **not** enough for man to be **saved** by natural **knowledge** alone. He needed a **teaching from** the highest, **heavenly** Teacher. After all, this is what faith is all about, that we **expect invisible** things. Also the **deeds** were **not enough**, which were by order of the natural law, but the sacraments of that time were needed, like sacrifices, tithes, gifts. The Church consists of visible signs of faith in the Redeemer. In this sense, we can also say that she began with Abel. Another understanding is that there is a continuity of holiness in the Church, and therefore the Church should begin with the **one** in which **justice** and holiness **first** existed without interruption. These are not Adam and Eve, who have fallen away from holiness, and therefore the Church began with Abel. Abel was the first to reveal the Church's model of the beauty of innocence, for he was virgin. Besides, Abel was the first martyr, and the Church is born out of the blood of martyrs. They strengthen the faith of the Church. Christ invokes Abel when he speaks of the blood of the just Abel (Mt 23:35). Abel was not only a virgin and a martyr but also a teacher of faith. In this way, the main figures of the Church were represented in him: shepherds, virgins and martyrs. In Abel, too, the distinction and separation of the holy Church of God from the congregation of the wicked was made for the first time.

Sometimes it is said that the Church begins not with Abel, but with Adam, because he had faith in the incarnation when he said that it was "a bone of my bones", which indicates the apostles (Eph 5:32). In addition, he had been created in the virtues and gratuitous gifts that he lost through sin (Lk 10). You might also think that it was **Adam** who **taught** Abel to make **sacrifices**. Besides, after **Abel's death**, the Church did not remain in Cain, but in Adam and Eve. Besides, the whole human race comes from Adam, and no one comes from Abel. Others say that it would be much more reasonable to say that the Church began with Abraham, because he received the sign of circumcision and was the father of many nations. Many of the ancient doctors, relying upon the above reasoning, do indeed accept the beginning of the Church in Adam at a time when he was still without sin, and after sin also in Adam, because it is very likely that he did penance before Abel was even born. However, Torquemada says that she began with Abel because of the three main figures in the Church: shepherds, virgins and martyrs. In addition, she is about the visible sacraments, or sacrifice of Abel, which was not with Adam because there were no sacraments in a state of innocence. Saint Gregory says that in the ancient people, by offering sacrifices the original sin was forgiven to children. The remedy began with Adam, namely, a contrite heart, love and piety, but the sign of that remedy, the visible sacrifice, did not begin with him. Adam was a spiritual man endowed with the mind, so after the fall he turned rather to an inner sacrifice, while others needed an external sacrifice. Abraham is not directly called the beginning or father of the believers, but after a general fall from faith, when almost all had fallen into idolatry, and thus **he** was an **example** of faith. In him the **believers** were **separated** from **non**-believers, but this does **not** justify that he was the **beginning** of the Church.

The **Church**, once begun, will **never cease** to exist and the **faith**, **holiness**, **doctrine**, hierarchy and sacraments she contains will continue until the **end** of the **world**. We will find much about this **in** the **Old** Testament, and above all in the **New** Testament: "the **gates** of **hell** will not prevail." (Luke 22:32). The **marriage** of Christ and the Church lasts **forever** (Oz 2:19). But **in** the Church, until the end of the world, the **good** will be **mixed** with the **wicked** according to the parable of the **tares**. Therefore, the

doctors with the sword of God's word will constantly have to fulfil the functions of a pillar and to confirm the truth (1 Tim. 3:15). Similarly to the end of the world, there will continue superiority of the Church of Rome, in which the Lord has placed the authority over the whole Church. The Church will persevere in the sacraments until the end. This has its explanation in the sense of the sacraments as a cure for the sickness of sin, which will not stop until the end of the world. Therefore, those who say that the **Church** has already **died** should be careful **not** to **exclude** themselves from her. They refer to Daniel's prophecy about the cessation of the sacrifice (Dan. 9:27) and the words of Christ, when the Son of man comes, whether he will find faith on earth. Moreover, since the Church is a community of believers, and faith can be lost, it seems that the whole Church could disappear. After all, the Jews, who were formerly the Church, are no longer the Church, have perished. The Lord gave them a divorce letter. During the ordeal, they all fell away from Christ. But these words must be understood in the right context, which says that faith can become something rare and this is what the prophets often prophesied. The good are few compared to the evil. The Prophet Daniel does not speak of the end of the whole world, but of the final desolation of Jerusalem, which Christ himself spoke of. Christ asked the Father after Peter that his faith should not cease. So the Church's sacrifice in the face of the severe persecution of the **Antichrist** may **not** be **offered openly**, and in this sense the Prophet says it will **stop**. The love of many will be cooled down, but not all. Few will be very zealous. Christ has promised his presence all days until the end of the world, so that what is lost in one part will be saved in another. After all, even the Jews have not disappeared completely. The synagogue has disappeared, as for the sacraments and rituals of the Law, but the people were gathered and incorporated into the Christian Church. During the Passion of Christ, faith was preserved in the Blessed Virgin Mary, through whom all the faithful were later instructed and enlightened.

## **Images of the Church**

Why is the Church called heaven, earth, role, network? The Church has an abundance of gifts and graces so that this cannot be expressed in one thing. Scripture uses many images. In the Psalms she is called heaven because God dwells in her, and secondly because of the decor. Just as heaven is decorated with beautiful stars, so the Church shines with a variety of virtues and gifts. The Church is called earth because it is the foundation, just as the Church is based on Christ, there is no more solid foundation. Secondly, it is because of its fertility, the Church gives nourishment to the word, she strengthens it so that man can bear fruit. The Church is a ploughland for the sake of being a community and a kind of mixing-up of the good with the wicked. The wheat is mixed with the weed. Many come close to God by word, not by thing, body, not by spirit, number, not by merit. They share in the faith, the sacraments, the gospel, they will absorb the same rain, and by the same harvester they will be gathered, but they will **not** enter the same **granary**. Finally, the Church is a **mesh** because she is trying to draw all nations to heaven from the floodplains of the world with her apostolic teachings. The Church is called in the vineyard because Christ is the true host and sends workers. In the Church, there is a constant growth, the righteous arise from her. Secondly, spiritual wine comes out of it, which is drunk by righteous people, sensing heavenly delight. It was planted by the Most High at the beginning of mankind. We also read that the Church is called a **garden**. In the **Song** of Songs, it is a **closed** garden. This is for the charm of **flowers**, the **smell** of fragrant **herbs** and the perfection of **fruit**, that is all **graces**. The most beautiful flower is the Blessed Virgin. Besides, we have white lilies in other virgins, red in martyrs, olive flower in confessors. The Church is also sometimes called the spring, because she abounds in salutary teachings. The spiritual significance of this doctrine is hidden from the unworthy because it is a sealed spring. Besides, the source of sacramental graces flows from it, which also work in a hidden way. She is described as a well of living waters, which is accessible to the saints through the **revelation** of the Holy Spirit. She is also a **treasure** trove of God's **words**, **graces** and gifts.

The Church is called a **ship** because she is loaded with **expensive goods** of **virtue** and treasures of **merit**, for which her **sons** can **buy** the **Kingdom** of Heaven. It is also a **means** of **carrying** the faithful across the **sea** of this **world**. She is **tormented** by frequent blows of **wind** and waves of water, which are **temptations**, but she **cannot** be **wrecked** because her mast is the **cross** on which Christ is **exalted**, and is **guarded** by the **helmsman**, the Holy **Spirit**. We also have twelve **sailors** – the **apostles** and a similar number of **prophets**. Apart from the ship or **Noah's** Ark, **no** one can be **saved** as it was during the **Flood**. The Church is also called **home** because God **dwells** in it. **Christ** used to wash the **feet** of His guests who came to **His house**. The Church is decorated with **saints**, while **sinners** in the strict sense are **not** part of God's **house**. In the Church **everyone** works to raise it **up** and **build** it by proclaiming the **word** of **God** and administering the **sacraments**. We build on what our **predecessors** built and will **continue** to do so until the end of the world.

The Church is also called a **city** because of the **unity** of the faithful living under the **same king** Christ and in the same **faith**. *Civitas* comes from the *civium unitas* as St. **Augustine** says. It is a city situated on a **mountain**, on a **rock**. She is founded for **centuries** and is founded on **Christ** and the apostles. She has great **glory**, which others **speak** of. There are **two cities** in this world **united** in body but **separated** in **spirit**. One is **Jerusalem**, the other is **Babylon**. One belongs to **God**, the other to the **devil**. The city is a unity of **citizens**, in which truth is the **king**, **law** is love, and its judgments are **lawful**. There is the **consent** of the people. Among the **inhabitants** there is kindness, benevolence, **mercy**, graciousness and all other virtues. In the **city** of **the devil** there is **iniquity** and strife, **injustice** to the neighbor, revenge, usury. The City of **God** lies in the **quadrangle**, as Apocalypse says. These four sides are: **faith**, hope, love and **action**.

The Church is also called a properly ranked army, acies bene ordinata (SOS. 6:9). She is called this because she is very brave and invincible. God's armies are ordered by love, they are like soldiers of Christ and fighters of faith. In this way the Church is strengthened, supported and defended. Those who fight are the Doctors and princes of the Church. Enemies of the Church are very numerous. First of all, the Jews who fight against Christ's incarnation, the heretics who distort the truth of the Scriptures, the schismatics who reject obedience and unity, and finally the Saracens and Gentiles who destroy the peace of the Church. Tyrants and evil rulers destroy the Church's freedom and false brothers – love. The Jews and heretics are opposed by doctors who have understood the Scriptures, the schismatics are opposed by the communion of the saints, the guidance of superiors and the obedience of subordinates. Against the Saracens and Gentiles a material sword is turned, and against tyrants and false brothers – the spiritual one.

Reasons why the Church is called a **kingdom**. First of all, because there is **Christ**, **King** of kings and **Lord** of lords inside her. All **redeemed** by His **blood** are called His **kingdom**. Also **creation** is called His kingdom, but in a **different** sense. Creation according to the power of the **deity**, while the Church according to **faith**. In the **Gospels**, the term Kingdom of Heaven appears **repeatedly**. It was founded according to the **just principle**, that is, **not** by violence or **deception**, but established by **legal** authority. As in **Israel**, the people did **not** appoint themselves a **king**, but were appointed **by God**, in whose hand are all **laws**. The word **city** is also used **interchangeably** for the **kingdom**. Secondly, what makes the kingdom **glorious** is its ancient **origin**. It confers its **nobility** because it **precedes all** other **earthly** kingdoms. It exists **since** the righteous and the world **began** to **exist**. It affects a **vast area** of the earth. Christ reigns from **sea** to **sea** (Ps. 71:8). The law of **baptism** has spread to the **whole** world from the **Jordan**. The kingdom is very **decorated**. **Beauty** comes from **order**. The order of the kingdom consists of different **degrees** of people in separate **states**, dignity, division of **duties**. Another thing that indicates the **glory** of the kingdom is the connection of **love** and **consent** of people. For the **cohesion** of a given kingdom depends on **unity**. One **heart** and one **spirit** joins believers (Acts 4:32). The kingdom

also gives **peace** to its **neighbours**, although they do **not always** reciprocate it. It abounds in **riches** and spiritual **goods**, which are **true** riches, because these make us rich in **virtues**. It is strong and **invincible** by enemies. It can be **fought**, but it **cannot** be **defeated**, and it thrives in **battles**. It is governed by **good laws** and customs. It has a very **wise** and noble **king**, because he is **God** himself. Furthermore, it has very noble **citizens** and princes. **Priests** in particular are **noble**, although **not** all are **saints**. Great glory is given to the kingdom by the **union** with **angels**. And the last element of the kingdom is its eternal endurance. On earth, it makes a pilgrimage to the end, but in heaven it will shine **forever**. It will be **above Elijah** and Henoch, who did **not** know **death**, and just as they were taken to **heaven**, so the kingdom will be **carried** there on **fire wagons**.

The Church is also called the Temple and Tabernacle of God. The tabernacle refers to the state of combat and pilgrimage. It is a tent, which is the home of warriors on the expedition. The Church as a temple refers to heavenly Jerusalem. The construction of the Tent of Meeting reflects the spiritual understanding of the Church with her virtues and states. The most important in the tent was the altar, on which 12 breads and a candlestick were placed. The altar in the Church is a pure heart dedicated to God, in which the sacrifice of piety is constantly made. The altar represents the teachings of the twelve apostles who serve the food of eternal satiety, while the candlestick is the whole of superiors, preachers and teachers. The Church as a temple highlights the presence of the Holy Spirit. The temple of Salomon, built of stone, mortar, cedar wood and gold, is a model. Stones mean the faithful, living stones. They are united by love like mortar. The cedar wood are examples of communion of the saints, and the **gold** is God's **wisdom** shining in the souls of the **righteous**. The temple was built during **seven** years, and in the eighth one it was consecrated. Besides, the temple is a place of worship of God, in it **God** is **worshipped**. Only in the Church there is **true worship** of God. Apart from it, there is **no sacrifice**. One can only be heard in some temporal matters, but here we pray for eternal life. The prayer and worship itself is double, external and internal. Inside is the devotion of the spirit, outside is the humbling of the body through kneeling and other bodily signs. Incense that emits fragrance means the sweetness of devotion and the ascendancy of the spirit to God through prayer and the earnest desire to see God. Holocaust or self-sacrifice, however, stands for love. The offering of animals symbolizes good **conduct**, **mortification** of the body, **fasting** and vigils.

The Church is called woman, girlfriend, dove, perfect, sister and daughter. A woman is called because of her fertility. She gives birth to sons of God from water and the Holy Spirit. She is a woman clothed in Christ, and the moon under her feet is the prosperity of this life, while the crown of twelve stars means twelve apostles. There is a similarity between the Church and Eve. In the rising of the Church, Christ fell asleep on the cross, making it similar to Adam's slumbering, and from the open side the sacraments flowed out from where the Church was raised. Furthermore, the Church is compared to a city, and the city often has a parallels with a woman. Then we read that the Church is a girlfriend of God because of the special affection Christ has for the Church. "You are altogether beautiful, my darling" (SOS. 4:7). Chrysostom says that the Church is more precious to God than heaven. The Church is also called the dove, because she is enlightened by the gifts of the Holy Spirit. Her silver-plated feathers are the **Doctors** of the Church, who fly **high up**. The dove also symbolizes **unity**, like the Easter lamb, which is eaten in one house, the Church. The same is true of the ship, which is the only one. The Church is **perfect** according to SOS. 6:8. She has all the **virtues** of perfection to an unparalleled **degree**. In Pt 5:1 we read that the Church is called a **sister** of Christ. This relationship arises from the **harmony** between them in nature and the request to God for perfect conformance. The likeness is due to the participation of grace, or conformity of will. Furthermore, it is the same participation in the heavenly fatherly inheritance. Finally, the Church is called a daughter (SOS. 7:1). This is done by the grace of adoption.

The Church is called a **bride** because she is **bound** to Christ by inseparable bonds of **love**. It is Christ who gives **grace** and does the Church a **favor** by **freeing** the faithful from **sin**. The Church's **betrothal** was **first** made in a covenant with **Abraham**, and **second** time with **Moses** on Mount Sinai in conferring the **Law**. The **third** time was in the coming of the **Son** of **God**. There is a question as to **why** there is talk of **various betrothals**. Well, the **first** marriage is a **simple** bond of **love** between the **righteous** and **God**. The **second** kind of marriage means a marriage **contract**, which is expressed in the appropriate **wording**. Here we have a **covenant** with Abraham with the sign of **circumcision** and with Moses by the **Law**. The marriage to **Christ**, however, is the **perfect** union between the Church and God through **incarnation**, and the **womb** of the Virgin Mary was the **marriage bed**. The **engagement** was made through the words of the **prophets** who foreshadowed this event, and the very **fact** of the engagement was contained in the words of the **conversation** between Mary and the **angel**. The **completion** of the marriage is a connection between the **body** and the **Word**. The spouse was **fertilized** in the **Passion** of Christ because of the **water** and **blood** that flowed from his **side**, the **sacraments** through which the Church became **fertile**. The **ceremony** of the wedding began in the **Ascension** when Christ gave out **gifts** to people.

It is customary in a spiritual marriage, like an earthly marriage, that the bridegroom gives various gifts to the bride as the **decoration** and consolation of the marriage. In **baptism**, Christ **washes** the Church from dirt and vices with water and blood. He then dresses up with the clothes of the individual virtues and equips them with purple, that is power. Some privileges Christ has not even granted to angels, and these are the true **priesthood**, being a good **shepherd**, a mediator, a **judge**, a doctor, a doorkeeper, and a son of God. He gave the people the power to transform the bread into His body through the words "do this in remembrance of Me" and give it to the faithful. The priest is called that because he transforms and sanctifies. This is done only by the power of Christ. He also gave pastoral care to the Church to be presided over by shepherds, not angels. Christ, as the best mediator, made peace between people and God and granted the dignity of this office to priests who, through the sacrament of penance, altar sacrifices and prayers, fulfill the office of mediator. Reconciliation is not done through angels, but through the mouth of priests. Christ is the righteous judge who sits on the throne of the universe, and gives his deputies in the Church the authority to judge. This is particularly evident in the Holy See. The judgments of the Church are legitimate, and God judges by them. It is not angels who have become judges of the world. Christ is also an exceptional physician, because he restores everything by word alone. The power comes from Him. He gave this power to his bride's servants by administering the sacraments. They cure depressions and diseases of the soul. Not angels are entrusted with **preaching** and administering the **sacraments**. Because of the incarnation, men received the power of the sacraments. Christ is the greatest doorkeeper, who when he closes, no one can open (Rev. 3:7). He gave the same to Saint **Peter** and the other apostles in the power of the **keys**. They dissolve the nodes of sins. Finally, Christ is the only-begotten Son of God and gave the servants of the Church the power to give birth. Those who were children of the devil became children of God and brothers of Christ. Thanks to the Church we are God's adopted children, and although we were born in **sin**, by grace we become **children**. He did **not** give this power to the **angels**.

The Church is the wife of Christ and mother of the faithful. She is called this for the abundance of offspring and great dignity. Initially she was imagined by Eve as the mother of all the living, then by Sarah, Abraham's wife. She was very beautiful, like the Church. Then the Church existed in the wife of Isaac Rebecca, Rachel, wife of Jacob and Leia. Finally, in Esther, who was married to the king. The wife of Christ is the mother of all the faithful, in whom the new converts are born when they begin their faith, are nourished until the truth is formed in them. There are five periods as in the case of physical motherhood. First, the child begins in the womb, is nourished in it, then given birth, held in her arms and fed and finally put away from her breast to join the paternal table. Similarly, in the Church, the

faithful are in the womb because of the beginnings of faith in the catechism and exorcism, then delivered into the world through baptism, with the hands of the Church and milk fed, and finally weaned from the milk of the Holy Spirit, they come to the Father's table, namely, angel's bread. It is therefore forbidden to hurt one's own mother or mock her, as heretics do. The Church is also called the mother of Christ, as Christ himself testifies when he says that whoever does the Father's will is my sister and mother. Christ's brothers and sisters are all reborn in grace, the mother is distinguished as a state of the shepherds and apostles, because Christ himself is in the Christians reborn by baptism and is born to the fullness.

The Church, even though she is the **mother** of all believers, does not stop being a **virgin**. There is a **difference** between **spiritual** and **bodily** matters. Christ says that the **kingdom** is similar to ten **virgins**. Thus, the **virginity** is a constant consideration of **indestructibility** and a determination to remain **impeccable** from all **stains**. the **unbroken faith** is the virginity of the spirit that everyone has, **regardless** of their **marital** status. In a particular way, the **virginity** of the Church shines out in **virgins** who have **vowed** to God their chastity. They indicate **holiness** in the Church. Christ, in **marrying** the Church, behaves **differently** than in **marriage**, where a **virgin** is made a **non**-virgin, while **God** merges with **harlots** and makes them **virgins**. In the **flesh**, it is **impossible** to fertilize **without corruption**, but spiritually it is **different**. Christ fertilizes the Church as both **mother** and **virgin**. The Church is **fertile not** from her **husband**, but from the Holy **Spirit** through the sacrament of faith.

The Church is also called the **queen** at the **right** hand of the king (Ps. 44:10). This is because she is **married** to **Christ**. Secondly, the Church **governs** her members very **wisely** and **virtuously**. **Gregory** calls kings those who are **not** subject to **temptation**, but are able to **rule** their own **flesh**, and the Church **restrains** human **desires**. The Church is **queen** also because of the existence of **hierarchy**. A queen rules **under** a **king**, just as **priests** rule under a **pope**. The Queen of **Sheba**, having heard of **Salomon's** wisdom, came to see him, so the Church is composed of **Gentiles** who **desire Christ**.

## The Church is a mystical body

The Church is called the **mystical** body of Christ. The **body** of Christ means the one he **took** from the **Virgin**, but also the **mystical** body, which is the Church, and thus the **faithful** united by **love**. The **mystical** term means what is **sacred**, **hidden** and **figurative**. The Church, therefore, is **holy**, as she confesses in the **Creed**, then she is God's because she participates in his **nature** and, finally, she is **hidden** because she is in a **state** of **way**. As a **figure**, on the other hand, the Church is a mystical body by being **similar** to the **human** body. The **offices** in the Church are **numerous**, but they **converge** like the members in the body in different **activities**. As **bread** consists of many **grains**, so the Church consists of many **members**. In addition, there is **unity** in the body between the **members** and the **head** by the joining of the **neck**. In this way all the **senses** exist in their **fullness**, and **knowledge** and **movement** is distributed **throughout** the body. Similarly, in the Church there is the **head**, which is **Christ** co-**natural** to us by human nature and our **communion** with him by **faith**, which is the **neck**. The third similarity to the body **stems** from the **unity** of the **spirit** in the body. The **human** body has one spirit that **animates** the whole body, so there is one **Spirit** in the Church that animates and **rules**, which is the Holy **Spirit**.

About the **variety** of Church **members** and their **importance**. According to the **analogy** of the human body, the members in the mystical body of Christ are **different** and have different **activities** and responsibilities. **Job** was like an eye for the blind and a leg for the chrome (Hi 29:15). We distinguish between the following members: **head**, face, cheeks, eyes, ears, nose, **mouth**, teeth, neck, breasts, hands, belly, legs, and feet.

The **head** is **Christ** full of wisdom. He is the **model** of the head, something most **sublime** above other members. **No** one is **equal** to him, even in **humanity**. He **sustains** and rules **everything**. In the **head** all the **senses** dwell, which is not in others, **except** the **touch**. In Christ is the **fullness** of all grace, all the senses of the **deity**. In other **saints**, there is a sense of **touch** alone, to which the Holy **Spirit** is given according to **measure**. Christ is the head, because he **overflows** and grants all members a sense and touch of faith.

The face of the Church as the most beautiful part of the human body is made up of saints, whose light shines before people, while the face of heretics is ugly. The cheeks, in which modesty and decency are reflected, signify the modesty of the soul of those members of the Church who live in chastity. The cheeks are called the petal of the navy blue apple, which contains many red seeds, so these are preachers who should not be ashamed of Christ crucified. By eyes we mean the power of sight, and so in the Church these are those who are characterized by greater clarity and perspicacity of mind, penetrating the mysteries of the divine Scriptures and giving them to others. There are two eyes in the human body: the right eye, enlightened by the spirit of wisdom in the knowledge of God's affairs, and the left eye, enlightened by the spirit of the ability to manage temporal things well. These are the eyes of the dove, which are straight and staying over the streams of water, as the Doctors of the Church stand over the **streams** of Scripture without **wrinkle** and anger. The **dove** is a sign of the Holy Spirit, so they understand the Law and the prophets spiritually. The ears of the Church are adorned and capable of listening to the teachings of the word. It is about enlightening the ear of spiritual understanding with the Holy **Spirit**. By the **nose** we mean the clarity and **discernment** in the Church of those who distinguish life from death, and virtue from the stench of vice. By lips we mean the words formulated by teachers and preachers in the Church, which have the resonance of speech and the appropriateness of doctrine, and are compared to honey. Sweet is the voice of the Catholic Church professing true faith, and sad and unpleasant is the voice of heretics who do not speak the dogmas of faith, but blasphemy. Through the teeth that work together in biting food as well as in the formation of **speech**, the Church understands excellent **teachers** who **endure** in the faith and shine with **chastity**, **share food** with others and, **chewing**, teach **salvation**. The **neck** of the Church is like a tower of **ivory**, it connects the **body** to the **head**, **mediating** in the passing of food. They are the **prelates** who give the food of the Church's teachings and sacraments to others, incarnating them into Christ. Through the breasts, the body gives milk to babies. They are therefore doctors of the Church and teachers. They make hard food into the nature of milk. Breasts are more beautiful than wine because the Church's evidence is more reliable and better than the philosophical and logical teachings. Breasts are two, because there are two testaments from which all milk flows and with which the Mother Church feeds her children. In addition, there is the **double** teaching of what to **believe** and what to **do**. With our hands we work and fight. In the Church, these are righteous men, dedicated to active life, bravely exercising themselves in the field of communication of this world, fighting, enduring hardships, tribulations and torments, and leaving others a great example of patience and longevity through their desire for heavenly life. The abdomen in the body contains the womb, in which the fruit of birth begins and forms and takes in food. The legs are pillars of the body, which in the Church mean men of outstanding wisdom and holiness, who by their strength support and strengthen others. The feet finally support and carry the whole body. These are apostles in the Church who have shone through faith in Christ all over the earth.

# Christ in the head

Christ is the **principle** of the **head** to the Church body. He is also the **principle** of the **member** of this body. This does **not** apply to Christ **as God**, but to his **humanity**. The **name** Church itself can be understood in **two** ways. First, as a **body** that connects **with Christ** as head, and then the Church is the

bride. In this sense Christ is not a member of the Church. The Church can also mean both the head and the members. As a member, he brings his own partiality, although his spiritual good is complete. Christ as head is not like the head in the human body, where the head not only controls but also receives something from the other members. Christ does not receive anything from the body of the Church, but from God Himself.

Christ as a man was the **head** of the **faithful** not only of those who lived after the incarnation, but from the **beginning** of **humanity**. It is **impossible** for the **body** of the Church to be **headless** at any time. The fact that Christ, according to the deity, is the head of the Church is not in doubt, because God is the founder of the Church. But Christ was also the head of all the faithful according to humanity. The Church is built on faith in the humanity of Christ. But how could he be the head of Abel? But since there is one Lord and one faith (Ephesians 4:5), those who lived before the incarnation also lived by that faith. God became man to be the head of believers. Otherwise He would be the head of believers and unbelievers alike. We are saved by the same faith in the mediator, which previously saved the righteous. With Him all those who preceded Him sought salvation. Only He is the propitiation for our sins. Although it may be alleged that Christ before the incarnation was not a man, the answer to this is based on the necessity of faith, so Christ in faith was to exist as a head. From the beginning of the world there was faith in the deity of Christ and his humanity and the union of people in him. The righteous do live by faith. From Adam's sin there is no other name in which we would be saved. Even if Christ temporarily appears after many saints, yet he is their head. At birth it is often the hand or leg that comes out **first**, and only then the **head**. Christ, **before** appearing in the flesh, in the **patriarchs** and prophets, extended his hand, giving the Law. To the head belong the members that came out before it. It is only necessary to add that before the incarnation there was no actual merit or atonement of Christ, therefore there was no fullness of grace. God saves us in two ways: by his own action and by our action towards him. In this second sense, Christ saved people living before Him as the head. Therefore, the error that Christ was not the head of the Church before the incarnation must be **rejected**.

The head of Christ is the Trinity, and Christ is the head of the Church, so God in his nature is the head of the Church. What belongs to the Son belongs to the Spirit and the Father. Besides, all grace flows from the Spirit. Christ, on the other hand, has given the grace of the head to Peter. The principle of the head is understood in two ways, either in the general or the strict sense. In a general sense, it expresses the highest perfection, such as a lion among animals, or as the principle of the beginning, just as the source is the beginning of the stream. In a strict sense, the head expresses the proportionality of nature, just as God the Father is the head of Christ according to the deity. The head also affects the members in two ways: first, as a motion, a moving force. Secondly, by the external direction according to sight and other senses that are rooted in the head.

Christ is the head of the faithful **not** only for **souls**, but also for **bodies**. For according to the Letter to the Philippians, Christ will **transform** our **body** into the likeness of **His** glorious **body** (3:21). **If** Christ only accepted the human **soul**, our **souls** would be his **members**, and since he accepted the **body** as his head, his members are also our **bodies**. He is, of course, the **head** of the Church **primarily** for the **soul** because of his **spiritual influence**, but through the soul he **came** to the **body**, which is the **instrument** of the soul acting according to **grace**. For the soul is a **form** of body that **receives life** and other properties from it. Thus the body of Christ **united** with the **Word** influences **people** as to the **soul** and as to the **body**. The **members** of the body are **instruments** of **righteousness** in Christ, and secondly, the **glory** of the **soul** will also flow to our **bodies**, **raise** them from the **dead** and give them **glory**. Besides, our bodies are in some kind of **relationship** to the body of **Christ**, **not** because of the **proportions** of the soul, but because of the Holy **Spirit**, who was most **fully** in **Christ**. The **perfection** of

the body is **not** only the **soul**, but also the Holy **Spirit**, whose **temple** the bodies are. The Holy Spirit **sanctifies** both the body of Christ and our **bodies** when we are in the **faith** and love of Christ. **Christ** has **no** connection with the bodies of **animals**, because they are **not** assigned to the rational **soul**.

It is necessary to consider whose head Christ is, whether only the chosen one or not. As the Church He has no wrinkle or is like a sealed garden. The reasons for this view must be well defined. First of all, a member of Christ by a simple name are those who belong to Him by order of providence. Secondly, whether belonging to Christ in this world is inseparable and eternal. One can therefore be a member of Christ in two ways. First, according to destiny, and second, according to present justice. In the first sense, it refers to the possibility of becoming a member of Christ. If one is destined to be happy, it does not yet mean that he is happy now. He must be united by faith with a bond of love. People begin to be children of God and members of Christ by justification, and by the fall they cease to be so. **No** one in this life can be called a **member** of Christ **inextricably** if he is not preserved in grace. Man can fall away from faith and love. There is nothing a Christian should fear as much as separation from the body of Christ. Heretics or schismatics do not belong to the body of Christ, because belonging to that body is not inborn, nor does it consist in personal union, because the Son of God has not assumed a universal nature. The center of this belonging is understood spiritually, and it is the Holy Spirit, or love itself. And it is love itself that distinguishes members of Christ from members of the Devil. There is nothing dead in Christ, so even dead members in sin cannot belong to him. Only the faithful themselves, who are in grace that pleases God, can be called members of the body of Christ. The Song of Songs (6:8) says about the Church "that one is my dove". This is because it shines with the gifts of the Holy Spirit. So only those who are adorned with virtues can be members of this dove. There is **nothing dead** in the body of Christ, and **nothing alive apart** from the body.

How then should we understand the members of the body of Christ, who is the head of the Church? Of the differences between the natural body of man and the mystical body, one is that the members of the natural body are all together, and the members of the mystical body are not together either as to the existence of nature, because it is made up of people from the beginning of the world to the end, or as to the existence of grace, because some may be deprived of grace at any one time and then regain it. There are therefore three degrees of membership. The first by faith, the second by love, and the third by **enjoying** the **homeland**. Christ is the **head** of all men, but according to **different** degrees. First, he is the head of those who are now united to him through glory; second, of those who are now united to him through love; third, of those who are now united to him through faith; fourth, of those who are united to him only in a capacity not yet realized, but to be realized according to God's predestination; fifth, of those who are in a capacity to be united to him but never realized. Such people, leaving this world, cease to be members of Christ. Members who are not in love are dead members, only **potentially** members. They are **united** with Christ in a **certain** way, but not **in essence**. Communication by faith is only material unity. Faith without form is enough to say that someone belongs to the unity of the Church, but not enough to the unity of the Church's body. St. Augustine says that according to **God's prediction** there are many **sheep outside**, as well as many **wolves inside**. The former **listen** to a **stranger's** voice and follow the latter, but they **will repent**, the **latter** are **clean**, but will fornicate. The Scriptures already call the former sheep, although they are not yet sheep, and the latter are already called wolves.

What is the **unity** of the **members** of the Church with one **another** and with the **head? Mutual** unification of members is a unity of **essence**, **not** just the **sum**. Similarly, in the **Trinity** the Father and the Son are **one being**. The **faith** of the Church also **exists** in all **members**. For we are **all** in His **body**. It is necessary to **distinguish** between the **fourfold** mutual **unity** in the body. The **first** is according to the **similarity** of **nature**. All the members are made up of **similar parts** and one principle: an **arm** and a **leg**,

of muscles and bones. The **second** unity is made up of **nerves** and tendons. It is unity through **continuity**. The **third** one according to the **vital spirit** with the forces of the **soul**, which spills over the whole **body**. The **fourth**, because all the members are **perfected** by the **soul**, which is one. The first unity in the Church's situation is that all the **members** are of **one nature**, the **second** is that they are gathered together by **faith**, the **third**, because they are animated by **grace** and **love**, and the **fourth** according to the fact that there is the Holy **Spirit** in them, which is the **ultimate** and principal **perfection** of the whole mystical **body**, like the soul in the body. The **first** type of unity is **not strictly** a unity, only the **next three** give rise to a **real** unity of the Church.

According to Alexander of Hales, there is also fourfold membership in the Church. The first as to the knowledge of reason, which is made by faith. Everyone believes in the same thing. The second is the willful desire because it is achieved through one love of the highest goodness. Thirdly, the expectation, because all are united by **one hope**, they expect heavenly **happiness**, and **fourthly**, to **follow** the **work**. All the righteous follow the same thing, namely Christ the Lord. All the faithful are alike in faith, hope and love, and in doing good deeds. The unity of the Church is therefore unity coming from the whole Trinity. The Father is the principle of the whole deity, and unity has the character of a principle, so unity is attributed to the Father. In the Father there is unity, in the Son there is equality, and in the Holy **Spirit** there is **harmony** between **unity** and **equality**. Sometimes it is also interpreted that the unity of the Church is imputed to the Son because of the adopted nature. As the head of the Church taken from people he is to be of the same nature with members. Christ builds Jerusalem, gathering the scattered ones from Israel, repairing the ruins of the angels. He unites the Jews and Gentiles and gathers them together. He is our peace, for he made two one (Eph 2:14). Moreover, the unity of the Church is attributed to the Holy Spirit, for he is the unity of the Father and the Son, and through him the communion and the unity through which we become one body according to the Son of God is accomplished. We are bound together by the unity which love accomplishes, and this unity comes from the Holy Spirit. In the Spirit the remission of sins is accomplished, although it is the work of the whole **Trinity**, but it is **attributed** to the **Spirit**. He is the Spirit of **adoption** for sons and He is **love**. The Holy Spirit is **imputed** to the unity of the **faithful** in the **flesh** as the principal author.

The **relationship** of the **Body** of Christ to the **mystical** body. St. **Augustine** often repeats that the **true Body** of Christ is a **figure** of the **mystical** body of Christ. Appropriate **distinctions** must be made. First, the word **mystical** body is sometimes understood as a **body** with a **head**, and then a **community** of all the **faithful**. **Second**, the true **Body** of Christ can also be considered in **two ways**. One way is **without** the **deity** with whom it is united, the other is **with** the **deity**. So the **comparison** of these bodies can be **different** depending on how we understand them.

How does the body of Christ, which is the Church, unite with the head? It is necessary to distinguish between unity of substance and unification, for example of the soul with the body. The other unity is a personal unity, for example, humanity and deity in the person of the Son of God. The third unity is the conformity of will, which many souls make one soul and many hearts one heart through faith and love. The first and most perfect is the unity of the Divine Persons in one nature. They are most united because there is no difference of nature or difference of will. The second is the unity of natures in one person of Christ, and the third is the unity of the head and body of the Church through unity of will and righteousness. Love has the unifying power to gather all into one. Christ, therefore, and His body, which is the Church, are one man. God could not give any greater good to men than the fact that His Word, through which He created all things, made them head, and joined them as members so that the Son of God is the Son of man. There are many people and one man at a time, as expressed in many psalms. Of course, we understand one man as the Church mystically, not physically. In the Church we are dealing with one person out of two, head and body, the bridegroom and the bride. St. Thomas

says that there is a **substance** unity in Christ as far as his **natures** are concerned, and a **personal** unity in the **Church**. The **head** and body are **one person** in the **figurative** sense. By **uniting** people in the body of the Church one **mystical person** is **created**. There is **no confusion** of **individual** persons among **themselves**. There is still a **difference** and **multiplicity** of their **personalities**. Just like in the **human** race we have many **individuals** and one **species**. The **demon**, too, is **united** with all those who commit **iniquity**, creating as if **a body** subjected to the **head**. Nevertheless, the **mystical** personal unity of **Christ** with his body is **far** more **wonderful** than the unity of the **enemy** with the body of the **wicked**. For **Christ** accepted our **nature** from us to **unite** it in **his** person who was **not** of the same **nature**. Secondly, it is the Holy **Spirit** who **brings** about the **mystical** unity by **reviving** the body of the **Church**. The **unity** of the **devil** with the flesh of the wicked is **not through** the conformity of **nature**, but only through the conformity of **purpose** and imitation of **wrongdoing**.

The mystical body with its head creates one and the same Christ. All the faithful are Christ, not just his body. Like the devil, he is one demon with all the wicked. The name Christ is a general concept, a general dignity. Only the combination of names in form — Jesus Christ is the savior's own name. Jesus is Christ in three ways. First, according to the deity, secondly, according to the incarnation, thirdly, in the fullness of the Church as head. Jesus is also anointed in the sense of the Church being anointed with the Holy Spirit flowing from head to whole body.

You can say even more, every member of the body is Christ. We have become not only Christians, but Christ. Christ came to us in himself and went away in us. He always stays in heaven and enters heaven every day. Likewise, one can say that a sinner is the devil. Although of course there is a difference between saying that Christ is the head of the Church and that the individual members are Christ. Nevertheless, the faithful sanctify themselves, and the sanctifier is Christ, so they form one. There is a double perfection of the Church. One is by nature, and here there is a difference between Christ and the Church, the other is the perfection freely given, which is the Holy Spirit, and here we have unity between Christ and the Church. Therefore Christ can speak sometimes in his person and sometimes in the person of the Church. For it is possible to speak not only about the person, but about power. When Christ speaks of John the Baptist as Elijah, he means the power of Elijah, the likeness to him. Thus there is a resemblance between Christ and every member of his body. This resemblance is made by the Holy Spirit.

Many of Christ's **statements** in **Scripture** refer to His **mystical** body. For example, to the **poor**: "I was **hungry**, and you **gave** me **food**". In the same way, one can say that the **soul** is **hungry**, although as **spiritual** it does **not** need **physical** food, but because it is **joined** to the body. The **sufferings** of the **members** of the Church are also **identified** with those of **Christ**: "**Saul**, why are you **persecuting** me?" (Act 9:4). Even the famous sentence uttered on the **cross** suggests an **ecclesial** understanding: "**God**, why did you **forsaken** me?" Christ as **God's Word** is God, could **not** be **abandoned** by God. He therefore prays in **our name** and for our **sins**. Christ's **voice** merges with the **voice** of the **Church**, for it is the voice of the **same body**. Though it must be remembered that **not everything** applies to the **head** and **body**, **some** things **only** apply to **one** or another. Especially when in the **psalms** Christ speaks of his **sins**, which, after all, he **never possessed** in a person united with the body **taken** from the **Virgin**. Indeed, the mystery of **union** is **great** here, if there is the same **language** and the same **words**. In spite of everything, the **head cleanses** from **sins** and the **body** confesses them. So the phrase "My God, my God, why have you **forsaken** me" means to **leave** an **old** man subject to **sin**. "**Heal** my **soul**, for I have **sinned** against you." There is **no co**-existence of **qualities** like the mystery of **hypostatic** union, but only a **bond** of love, which the **doctors** call **mystical**.

### Offices and states in the Church

On the appropriateness of the division of states and offices in the Church. The first division. The Church consists of two parts, namely the laity and the clergy. These are two sides of one body. The laity deal with earthly matters and material needs. This is the left part of the body. The clergy, on the other hand, provide what belongs to the spiritual life and are the right part of the Church body. The understanding of the Church as a body also demands a distinction of many members that are not the same, that is, of offices and dignity. The militant Church is modeled here on the triumphant Church, which is ordered by a multitude of dignities and rewards according to merit. There we have the teaching of the apostles, the confession of martyrs, the chastity of virgins, the cry of penitents. According to St. Thomas, the multitude of degrees contributes to the perfection of the Church, because the simplicity of God cannot be reflected in creation otherwise than by the multitude and variety of degrees. Likewise, the greatness of Christ's unity is poured out in different ways on his members in order to make the Church's body perfect. He has appointed some as apostles, others as prophets, others as evangelists, others as pastors and teachers (Eph 4:11-12). Besides, the variety of offices and states results from the necessity of different actions in order to perform everything more efficiently and without confusion. For people cannot have everything individually, but they have to show care for each other. All this contributes to an order that shows the dignity and beauty of the Church. We must remember that the diversity of dignity and offices was introduced by the founder of the Church himself. Variety does not oppose unity, if one gives services to another and is united by faith. However, anyone who departs from the Church, departs from the unity of the spirit and dies. Even if there is one **basic** and common way of **believing** in Christ and keeping the **commandments** in the Church, there are different paths consisting in different works of charity, a variety of practices and ways of life. This is especially true of the evangelical counsels that form the basis of the existence of different religious orders. Furthermore, the Church has established lower ordinations, entrusting servants with the care of the glory of God. In the same way, she appointed various offices of patriarchs, archbishops and cardinals, because she had such authority, which does not prevent their non**existence** in the primitive Church.

The Church is often **decorated** with diversity, but above all there is a **threefold**, namely **states**, **offices** and **grades**. This corresponds to the **perfection** and **completeness** of the mystical body, to the proper carrying out of activities, and to the **adornment** that arises from **order**. The perfection is most evident in **love**, so it **corresponds** to the **states**. The **second** distinction by **action** corresponds to **offices**, the third to degrees and **gifts**.

States of the Church. According to St. Thomas, states mean a difference in position, for example, in the body the **head** is always facing **upwards** and the **legs** facing downwards. Some people are **rich** and others are poor. Thus, a state is strictly a matter of freedom or bondage in spiritual and secular matters, with a certain permanence. In the Church we distinguish three states: prelates, monks and spouses. The first is represented by Noah, who was in charge of the Ark during the Flood, the second by Daniel, serving God in a state of unmarriedness according to heavenly desires, the third by Job, having a wife and many children. The first is the most important and obliges to perform acts of perfection, the introduced to it are solemnly ordained and consecrated; the second state obliges to perpetual abstinence and too one is introduced to it with a solemn profession vow; the third, which is the lowest, concerns the married couples tied up also during the ceremony which the Church performs. The first two states introduce into spiritual bondage, and the third state into bodily bondage, ordering the paying the marital debt. When we speak of spiritual bondage or freedom, it is a twofold understanding of bondage. The first is the bondage of sin and the second is the bondage of justice. Similarly, there is a twofold understanding of freedom, one from sin and the other from righteousness. Freedom from sin is true freedom, because sin is against reason. It is connected with the bondage of justice, because through it man strives for what is right for him. In every action we distinguish three stages: beginning, middle and end. It follows that the state of spiritual bondage and freedom is divided into beginners, proceeders and perfect ones. For the beginnings of virtue are different, the progress is different, and the perfection is different. It corresponds to this division: love of beginners, the progressing and the perfect. When it is fed, it is strengthened, and when it is strengthened, it is perfected.

The perfection of the spiritual life is weighed down by love. Love has the power to transform, to transfer into the object loved. Divine love does not allow to love oneself. The city of Babylon builds up love to the point of contempt for God, according to Augustine, while the city of God builds up love for God to the point of contempt for himself. It is not the same thing to be perfect and to be in the state of perfection. For the first defines the inner state in relation to God, the other defines the outer state, in relation to the Church. Therefore, someone who is in the state of perfection does not yet have, by definition, perfect love, but undertakes in a solemn way to what perfection belongs. It is possible to pledge and not to keep, and there are those who have not pledged, but have done the work.

Bishops and religious are in the state of perfection. Both are solemnly consecrated. It does not have to be enjoyed by the lower prelates, such as pastors or archdeacons. In the Western Church, the lower priests take the vow of temperance during the higher ordination, which belongs to the state of perfection. However, they may leave the care of souls for various reasons, which the bishops cannot do, unless the authority of the Pope and for serious reasons. The priests are not entrusted with the office by the power of ordination alone, as is the case with the bishop. And they receive the office of care for souls in the part designated by the bishop. Religious, on the other hand, vow to live in contemplation in an undivided and unique way, making a solemn profession. They are in the state of perfection. A comparison of the condition of bishops and monks indicates a higher perfection of the condition of bishops, because a religious can become a bishop. Saint Dionysius attributed particular ordinations with a trait, and so the deacon's ordination purifies, enlightens the presbyterate's, and improves the bishop's. The power over individual people corresponds to this. To deacons are subjected those who need to be **purified**, to **presbyters** those who are to be **enlightened** because they receive sacraments from them, and to bishops are subjected series of perfect ones, namely monks. Since its establishment there has been a difference in the Church between bishops and priests. Even if there was a coincidence in the name of elders, there has always been a substantial difference. Priests do not have the dignity of a high priest because they do not anoint with the chrism and do not give the Holy Spirit, the Comforter, which only bishops have.

Cardinals should also be put in the state of perfection, because they commit themselves with all their strength to faithfully defend the Catholic faith until shedding of blood, together with the Head of the Church, the Pope. They take a public oath of faithfulness. The good they serve is more perfect than that of individual peoples led by bishops. The variety of degrees of hierarchy in the Church is based on Christ's establishment (Eph 4:7-8,11-12). Therefore, cardinals should be placed immediately after the Pope. You can look at the apostles in three ways. First, before Christ's ascension as those who stood by him, secondly, before their mutual separation from Peter's presence, they stood by him, and thirdly, at Christ's command, they were separated from Peter and dispersed throughout the world to preach the Gospel and establish Churches. The cardinals therefore represent the Apostles in the first and second sense as co-workers and counsellors. They are 24 old men in white sitting around the apostolic throne as described in Revelation (4:2.4). The apostles were therefore cardinals first than bishops. The pastoral state was established after the Passion of Christ with the words "Feed my sheep", and the apostolic state existed earlier. The apostles were first cardinals of the world rather than cities, just as Peter was first the pope of the world, or universal Church, before he became bishop

of the Roman Church. Peter and his successors retain both apostolic and pastoral states, and the cardinals as such – solely apostolic. Cardinals are called the hinges of the earth because they serve the Church of Rome, which is the head and hinge of all Churches. There are doors on the hinges, and with the advice and consent of the cardinals the Pope rules over the universal Church. They are called cardinals, i.e. the main ones, because they are the main ones in the Church in terms of advice and guidance. The state of the cardinals does not concern only one diocese, but the whole world; they are there to help with the administration of the whole. "You will make them princes over the whole earth." (Ps. 44:17). Against the cardinals it is said that we do not find them directly in the Scripture. Peter, after the departure of the apostles, did not have any cardinals standing by him in the administration of the Church. Moreover, it seems that the dignity of the bishop is higher than that of the cardinals. The answer to the biblical character of the Cardinal's college goes in the direction of pointing out the presence of the apostles together after the Passion of Christ and choosing Matthew to replace the traitor Judas. The apostolate in the Bible has become a cardinalate. Moses chose for himself elders to help in the administration of the people. After the apostles departed, Peter had some helpers standing by his side in judging the more important matters of the universal Church. These were **Linus**, Cletus and **Clement**, who after his **death** became heirs in the **papacy**.

About the variety of offices in the Church. It exists rightly because of the needs for action that are necessary in the Church. Different people have to be appointed to different activities so that they can do everything efficiently and without confusion. Secondly, it is about exaltation of God's wisdom, which shines most brightly in the orderly arrangement of natural and spiritual things. Thirdly, diversity supports human weakness, because one cannot fulfill everything that belongs to God's mysteries without a great burden. Moreover, in this way people are given a wider path of progress, because through various offices all can be co-workers of God. The variety of offices corresponds to the variety of grace given in the Church. The gifts of the Spirit are different (1 Cor. 12:4-11). Through the variety of offices, the difference between the contemplative and active life is highlighted. In the active life itself, there are different ministries subordinate to the sacred things.

Those who **serve** in the Church of God call themselves **clerics** and are divided into numerous **grades**: **ostiarius**, psalmist, **lector**, exorcist, acolyte, **subdeacon**, deacon, presbyter, bishop, and the range of bishops is four parts: **patriarch**, archbishop, metropolitan and **bishop**. In addition, archdeacons, archpresbyters and others are appointed. There is a mutual **subordination** of various offices and dignities. Some are **more prominent** than others, which is reflected in the offices. They have **greater graces** and virtues. The Church and the **glory** of God **grows** in their **order**, because God is **known** more and more in his **power**. If there were no **steps up**, the **virtue** would be **weakened** and less power would be shown.

The multitude of offices is related to the existence of power in the Church. In general, there are two kinds of power: secular and clerical. It is up to the laity to seek what is necessary for earthly life, to the clergy to seek what is necessary for spiritual life. Salomon placed two pillars in the temple vestibule. He called one Joachim and the other Booz. To explain their mystical meaning, it is necessary to know that the militant Church is marked by the vestibule and the triumphant one by the temple of the Lord. Spiritual power is marked by the right column and temporal power by the left column. There are many parts of this authority, but they are under one head divided into two. They are derived from one beginning and referred to it. The earthly authority has the king as its head, and the spiritual – the pope. We note that the various degrees of power were established in the Old Testament. In the New Testament, this is complemented and described. Some reject the existence of these two powers, claiming that their plurality is not good or useful. The royal authority was given to Israel as an allowance, not a commandment. But it is precisely because of God's providence that there is a power

to keep **order** in things. "**Through** me, kings **reign**." (Proverbs 8:15). As in nature, the **lower** orders are **governed** by the **higher** ones, or in the **angel** world the lower **choirs** are enlightened by the higher ones. Therefore, those who are more **eminent** in virtue and mind should be able to **guide others**. This applies to **both** natural and **spiritual** society. Would it **not** be **enough**, then, for there to be only **civil** power exercised by **prudent** and righteous people? However, the **life** of the faithful does **not aim** exclusively at the **good** of the **present** world, but **mainly** at the good of the **future** life, and therefore it is **necessary** to have **power** which should **direct** to this **end**, **correcting** those who **deviate** not only with **exhortations**, but also with **punishments**, for which **secular** power is **not enough**. For this authority **knows nothing** about the **punishment** and reward of the **future** life, **nor** about **merit** and guilt. It is necessary, therefore, for the preservation of **justice**, that **two authorities** coexist, just as there **are** two **lives**, one **earthly** and one **spiritual**.

Spiritual power prevails over secular power. It cannot be otherwise, because it would be against reason. First, because the Church began with Abel and with him the priesthood. It is true that Cain appropriated power, but only because of coercion and oppression. But it was after Abel's sacrifice. All the first-born from Noah to Aaron were priests. The next great lay ruler was Nemrod, who founded Babylon. But he was also a tyrant, and the word Babylon translates as confusion. Melchisedek, of whom the doctors say he was Sem, the son of Noah, is called the High Priest. He is also said to be a king, but in his case priesthood prevails. In the people of Israel, too, the priesthood prevailed over royal dignity, because priest Samuel anointed Saul as king. Spiritual power is given directly by God, by Christ to **Peter** and his **successors**. **Secular** power was **not introduced**, but **imposed**. This can be seen from the four successive states in the Old Testament shown to Daniel in the likeness of animals. Besides, spiritual life is higher in dignity than earthly life, just as the spirit surpasses the flesh. Through priestly authority the royal authority receives a blessing. The purpose of both powers is different. Secular power is for the common good, and spiritual power is for the supernatural. Spiritual power has higher jurisdiction, it can judge earthly power. The priests of Christ are considered the fathers and teachers of kings and princes and all the faithful. It covers the whole world, which is not the case for kings. It is also more permanent, because secular power is temporary, and spiritual power lasts forever and the gates of hell will not prevail.

Conclusions on sacramental and jurisdictional authority. The spiritual authority in the Church is double, namely, of the ordination, or sacramental, and jurisdictional authority. The former serves to administer the sacraments and the latter to rule the people according to God's law, leading them to supernatural happiness. It is not given by consecration. Both come from God because they exceed the capacity of nature. Christ promises Peter the keys to the kingdom of heaven, which only God can give him. The power of **ordination** is more **worthy** than that of **jurisdiction**, because the **latter** is directed towards the power of ordination. The people are to be directed and trained in good to be worthy to participate in the sacraments. The power of ordination exists in all priests in relation to the real Body of Christ, but in relation to the mystical Body of Christ it is greater in the bishop than in the priest. A priest cannot give all the sacraments. Similarly, jurisdictional authority is greater in the bishop than in the simple **priest**, which is **not** only because of the **establishment** of the Church, but also because of God's ordinance. The priest was anointed in the Old Law as high priest so that he could act more extensively than simple priests. He was to enter the Holy Place of the Saints twice a year. The one and the same ordination authority is to do both, that is, to consecrate the Body of Christ and to make the people capable of receiving it. This is especially the power to forgive sins. They are connected with the priestly character. The spiritual power is rightly called the power of the keys, because it opens up the **Kingdom** of Heaven closed by **sin**.

This power is described in three ways as the power of dignity, because it refers to God himself; sublimity, because it is human nature in Christ that opens heaven with the merit of passion; and service, because it is done through the servants of the Church. With the keys, they bind and loose. Thus, from the power of the keys, a certain action results, which not so much opens heaven absolutely, because it is already open, but opens in relation to man. So we have here the subject of power - the ecclesiastical judge and action – admission and exclusion. Thus the spiritual ruler must have the ability to differentiate in order to receive the worthy and exclude the unworthy. But it is not only a matter of pronouncing judgment as in the case of the priests of the Old Covenant, who stated a cleansing from leprosy but could not in any way cause such cleansing. The New Testament priest's authority extends to the remission of guilt and, consequently, to punishment. The New Covenant sacraments do what they mean, and are not merely an image of future realities. The power of the keys is vested only to the evangelical priest, not to the Old Testament priest, for example. The power of the keys was given after Christ's resurrection, while the power of the priesthood at the Last Supper. It is therefore different from each other. In the ordination of the priesthood, the first power is represented by the handing over of the cup, the second by the laying on of hands. Priestly authority, therefore, is one of its essence, but it has different activities, the first being the consecration of the Body of Christ and the second being absolution. In absolution itself there are two keys. One is the discernment of sins, and the other is the power of absolution. Only one key is never entrusted.

The power of jurisdiction is exercised by order. It exists in the forum of conscience and in external forums for resolving disputes and cases. A person can be excluded from the Church by a curse. In this sense, we call the power of jurisdiction a curse, because it can be exercised against someone's will. There is no such power in the forum of conscience, because no one can be dissolved against his will. Second, simple priests have the first jurisdiction, but not the second, unless by special authority or in certain cases. The power of jurisdiction is different from the sacramental character, which is something real, indestructibly imprinted in the soul, and the power of jurisdiction is not of that kind. One can have the authority of ordination and have no jurisdiction, or vice versa without the authority of ordination to have jurisdiction, for example, archdeacons. The degree of internal jurisdiction in the individual priests may also vary, and larger cases belong to the bishop. In the external forum, too, we are dealing with the power of two keys, the first being the ability to discern and the second the ability to pass judgment. The power of the keys is given to the saints out of appropriateness and not out of necessity, so the wicked can also use it.

### BOOK TWO: ABOUT THE CHURCH OF ROME AND THE PRIMACY OF THE POPE

There is **order** in the **Church**, that is, degrees of **offices** and **dignities**. **God** in his **beings**, according to St. Thomas, is not only presented in himself, but according to how he **influences others**. In the Church, therefore, **ones** influence the **other** by giving them the **sacraments** and in this they become **like God** as his **collaborators**. In the existence of **grace** more than in the existence of nature the **ordering** is appropriate, so there should be **diversity** and **hierarchy** of offices within the Church. The **heavenly** hierarchy of **angelic** choirs is reflected in the **Church**. The word "**hierarchy**" refers to the **sacred** 

beginning or power. Order contributes to the harmony and decoration of multiplicity. Since even in secular power there is a supreme ruler and leader, there is also a need in the Church for order to be maintained by superiority and subjection, ascending and descending. And since there is no infinite progress, there is a need for one supreme superior to have full authority and to be the leader and shepherd of all others. Even in nature we see a queen among bees or in the formation of flying cranes one who guides. Moreover, in the Church, unity demands consent in the faith of all, because it is the foundation of the Christian religion. Therefore, in order for a difference of opinion not to lead to a division of the Church, a power regarding faith concentrated in one person of the highest teacher is necessary. Since the militant Church is united with the triumphant one, led by God, and governed by the individual choirs of the angels, so there must be a representation of the Church on earth to which patriarchs, archbishops and others would be subject. Even in the synagogue the priestly authority was crowned in the person of the high priest, all the more so in the evangelical priesthood. Since bishops rule in particular Churches, there must therefore be a single bishop in the universal Church to rule the Churches. The Church is a single body in which there are many members, but nevertheless it is a unity that demands a head. The Church is a kingdom in which power is monarchic. Since antiquity, the view has been maintained that the power of one over the whole is the most perfect. Thanks to it, faithfulness, love, peace and harmony are maintained. It thus surpasses aristocracy or democracy.

Some **reject papal** authority because of the supreme **authority** of **Christ**, who is the **head** of the Church. But here we are talking about the visible head, because then it is possible to really exercise leadership and rule. Today Christ does not speak to the faithful in a visible way. What is needed, then, is another person who has visible authority over the Church. This is similar to the celebration of the sacraments, in which Christ himself works in essence, but chose the ministers for his visible tools. Before entering heaven, he said to Peter: "feed my sheep". Therefore a visible governor is needed to preserve the external unity of the Church, who will also ensure the internal unity of the Church with Christ. To him all those who do not agree with each other are to resort, so that the bonds of unity in the Church will not be broken. There is no risk here of opposing the Pope's royalty to the reign of Christ, because the Pope is his vicar. He is entitled to the royal title, as are other bishops, although it should be avoided for the sake of humility. Since there is mutual subordination of power, different names must also be used. After all, the Pope presides over the Church not only in spiritual matters, but also in temporal ones. Because of the existence of many nations and cultures in the Church, power exercised by one is needed. This power has first a spiritual and then a temporal character, so it does not interfere in the internal affairs of given communities and their customs. This also applies to punishment. It is easier to reach **distant nations** with the **curse** than with a **material** sword.

Who is this **first ruler** in the **Church**? St. **Peter** was the first **after Christ** to **preside** over everything. He had **priority** among the **apostles**, as the **evangelists** stress by mentioning him in the **first place** in the **list** of the twelve, even though he was **not called** first in order of **time** by Christ. **Successive** apostles **no** longer have **specific places** and are not mentioned, for example, **Andrew** as **second** or Philip as third. Only **Peter** received a **new name** from Christ. Particularly important is the passage of **Matthew** (16:18-19), in which Christ **promises** to **build** the Church on **Peter's** foundation and give him the **keys** of the Kingdom of Heaven. **Through Peter**, **Christ** pays a **tax** to the **authority** for himself and all the **apostles** (Matthew 17:26). It is **Peter**, on **behalf** of the apostles, who **answers** Christ's **question** as to who they consider **him** to **be**. The **dispute** of the apostles over **priority** in the context of Christ's passing suggests the **need** for a **visible** head. Christ, **explaining** to the apostles the matter of **primacy**, turns to **Peter**, saying that **Satan** demanded the **sifting** of the apostles, but **Christ asked** after **Peter** and his **faith**. He, in turn, is to **strengthen others**. **Peter**, therefore, is appointed **guide** and prince, who will **strengthen** and govern **others**. Christ, **washing** the disciples' **feet**, **began** with **Peter**. After his **resurrection** from the dead, he commands the pastoral **authority** to **Peter**, "**Feed** my sheep, feed my

lambs". This makes him the head of all the faithful and the shepherds. The doctrine which Christ gave to the apostles also wanted it to be passed on by Peter (Mt 18:15). "Jesus, looking at the disciples, said to Simon, if your brother had sinned against you, go and rebuke him in private". Peter used to either answer Christ or ask him (Matthew 16:16; J6:69; Luke 12:41; Matthew 19:27). After the sending of the Holy Spirit, it was Peter who spoke publicly in the presence of the other apostles (Acts 1:15; 2:13). Peter issued a death sentence on Ananias and Safiras who lied to the Holy Spirit about giving all the money (Acts 5:3). In the same way, Peter imposed a condemnation sentence on Simon the sorcerer (Acts 8:20). Peter settled the dispute during the Council of Jerusalem whether the converts from the Gentiles should circumcise themselves and keep other laws (Acts 15:6-7). Christ as the best father of the family appointed a superior and a shepherd among the sons, who was Saint Peter. He was to become the head of the apostles.

Against the primacy of St. Peter, the fact that the apostles are also called the foundation is stated (Rev. 21:14). The authority to bind and loose was also given to the other apostles (Mt. 18:18). All apostles are to pasture the sheep of Christ with teaching, example of life and discipline. After all, Christ forbade the apostles to dominate and exert power. Opponents also invoke the authority of St. Paul, to whom the gospel for the uncircumcised was entrusted, as did to Peter for the circumcised (Gal. 2:6-10). If Peter were a prince of the apostles, Paul would have to receive his ministry from Peter. He seems equal to Peter, since he has a mission parallel to him. Peter gave his right hand to Paul, in a way expressing their equal status. Furthermore, Paul seems to limit Peter's apostolate to circumcision only. Additionally, Paul admonished Peter publicly, which would not have happened if he had been his subordinate. In the Acts of the Apostles the choice of Matthew or deacons was approved not only by Peter himself, but also by the apostles. It seems unbelievable, too, that the inferior sent the superior, and that Peter was sent by the apostles to Samaria.

In response to the allegations, the priority of listing Peter must be recalled, not chronologically, but in terms of apostolic dignity. Raban explains this passage that Simon, or obedient, is called Peter, or mighty. The mention of Jacob before Peter in the Letter to the Galatians results from the dignity of Bishop Jacob in Jerusalem, where everything happened. Peter, together with the apostles, is the rock of the Church, because he was named so in their presence. But it is he who, after Christ, is the main foundation of the Church. Dionysius imputes to Paul the words before his death addressed to Peter that he is the foundation of the Church. All the apostles were given the power to bind and loose, but first of all, Peter. The same applies to the pastoral power. It is still on all the apostles, but in a different way. Peter had power all over the world. Others in a limited way by him. Peter was given the authority of **ordination** on a **par** with the **apostles**, but **not** in the **same** way as the authority of the **government**. As for the accusation of Christ's prohibition on ruling, this is not a matter of any presiding over the Church, to which the **Old** Testament repeatedly **testifies**, but rather of **ruling** in the sense of **tyranny**, as was **customary** in the **Gentiles**. The **reign** of the **Church** is meant to **serve** the **lesser**, **not** to make them slaves. Also with regard to the relationship between Peter and Jacob in Jerusalem, it must be stressed that it was **Peter** who **appointed Jacob** as **Bishop** of Jerusalem and that he had previously acted there as a priest himself. In his letters, St. Paul does not deny the importance of Peter, but only defends his apostolate. He proves that he was directly established by Christ and perfectly taught about the gospel. He does not speak of the authority of jurisdiction, of which he knew that Peter was the head of the Church, because he calls him Kefas, which means head. After all, Paul came to Peter to exchange views on the gospel with him and receive confirmation from him. As for Peter's relation to the circumcised, it is known that while in Antioch he converted many Gentiles, such as Cornelius. St. Paul, when he calls the apostles brothers, does not mean that he knows nothing about St. Peter's superiority, because Christ also calls the apostles brothers. The admonition given to St. Peter confirms the primacy, because there was a danger of faith, and in this situation the subjects may even publicly oppose their superiors. The **choice** of **Matthew** and deacons together with the **apostles** does **not** oppose Peter's **authority** as a **prince** of the apostles. Rather, it is to **avoid disputes**, as explained by the **Chrysostom**. Finally, the proof of **Peter's sending** to **Samaria** by the apostles is **wrong**, because **not every** mission means being **inferior**. **Herod sent** three **kings** to the Child, and had **no power** over them. A **council** or senate can send the **king** to **war**. After all, there is a **sending** of **love** that does not know degrees.

Peter was not only a prince of the apostles, but he had power and authority over the whole Christian world. For Jesus gave him power, which the Father gave him, over everything that is in heaven and on earth, not like Moses, but in one people. Christ's words: "Follow Me" mean substitution in office and of course martyrdom. "Demand of me, and I will give you the inheritance of nations." (Ps. 2:8). The command to pasture the Lord's sheep does not specify what kind of sheep they are, and therefore applies to everyone. Peter walked on the sea, which can be understood that he has been given dominion over the whole world. Likewise, after the resurrection he threw himself into the sea, sailing to Christ. He also pulled out a net full of great fish. He saw the sheet containing all the animals. Those that are evil, he rejects, and the good slays and inserts into the body of the Church.

Why did St. Peter become the foundation of the Church? It was undoubtedly a privilege granted by Christ, who wanted to build the Church on the foundation of Peter's confession that Christ is the Son of the living God. His utterance, which is like a spring gushing out of a rock, can help neither heretical perversity nor pagan treason. It was Peter who converted the first Jews and Gentiles to believe in Christ after Christ ascended into heaven. He possessed the exceptional constancy and undefiled power of faith, which he strengthened the other apostles. After losing the grace by denying Christ, he found it even greater. Christ united his power and being the foundation with Peter's rock in one Church. There is no problem here that there can only be one foundation, because Christ is always the primary foundation, but he chose to build on Peter as the secondary foundation. Building the Church on the authority of the jurisdiction presupposes different degrees.

Peter is not only the foundation, but the head of the Church, Kefas. His downfall, foreseen in God's providence, made a milder judgment on sinners later in the Church. The popes have repeatedly confirmed that the head of the universal Church is the Roman Church. This should be understood, of course, as being the head under the direction of Christ, the first head of the Church. He is not completely different from Christ, but is His substitute, representing on earth His place and person, authority and dignity. Just as the head has four qualities, so it should also be found in Peter. First, he was of a similar nature to other believers; secondly, he was first in the line of the apostles; thirdly, he had the fullness of power; and fourthly, from him, the power of jurisdiction flows to others. The Church as a visible organism should have a head similar to herself in mortal nature and condition. Thus he is not the head in the sense of sending out internal graces, because it comes only from God, but he is the head in the sense of external government, which, however, is united with the functioning of the whole organism of grace. Peter's death does not cut off from Christ's head, although a prolonged vacancy may cause harm to the body. After the death of the Pope, the Holy See does not perish.

Since the **Pope** is the **head** of the Church, he also has the **title** of **spouse**. So there is a kind of **spiritual marriage** between the **Pope** and the **universal Church**. Of course, it is a relationship **subordinated** to that of **Christ** the **Bridegroom**. This concept may be accused of the **Pope appropriating** himself as a **servant** to the **King's wife**, but he does **not** give **birth** to **sons** of the Church in his **own name**. According to St. **Thomas**, the existence of the **bridegroom** in the Church is possible **only** as a **substitute** for the **true** bridegroom, which the **Pope** is entitled to. **Superiors** together with **Christ** in an **external** sense

contribute to the **birth** of the spiritual **sons** of God through the **sacramental** ministry. This also applies to **bishops** in their **diocese** and **priests** in their **churches**.

When was St. Peter exalted and sanctified by Christ with shepherding dignity? When he ordered him to graze the Lord's sheepfold. For when Christ ruled the Church in his body, there was no need or appropriateness for anyone else to be appointed shepherd. Therefore Peter was made bishop of the universal Church after Christ's resurrection. Grazing sheep is understood as giving all the sacraments through which they receive food. Before Christ's Passion, Peter was not currently a shepherd of the Church. This was **not** done by **saying** the words about the **power** of the **keys**, because then **he** was **not** yet a priest nor bishop. Christ then made him a promise about the time to come. All the sacraments were instituted by Christ before the Passion, but not all of them were administered, for example, the sacrament of penance was announced with the words "do penance, for the Kingdom of Heaven has come to you". (Mt. 4:17). But the ministers were not yet established, nor were the keys to the Kingdom of Heaven given. At the Last Supper, the sacrament of the priesthood was instituted, but the episcopate was not yet established because Christ was still to be with the apostles. Only Peter was directly made and ordained a bishop by Christ. This was done after the resurrection. Other apostles, on the other hand, were ordained by Peter directly or indirectly as bishops. Pope Anaclet says that Jacob, the son of Alphaeus, was ordained by Peter, Jacob, son of Zebedee and John. It was then that the custom was adopted to ordain bishops, by at least three others. Thus the state of the bishops came from Peter and is therefore connected with his pastoral authority. Pope Marceli says that everyone should run to the Roman See in order to receive a defense, because from there they received a consecration. Clement also calls Peter not only the prince of the apostles, but their consecrator. Paul and Barnabas were ordained by Peter, because we read in the Acts of the Apostles that the Holy Spirit ordered to separate Saul and Barnabas and then, after fasting and praying, they laid their hands on them and sent them out (13:2-3). Making the apostles priests was done during the Last **Supper** by words: "do this in **remembrance** of me". It has **not yet** made them **bishops**. Similarly, the power to forgive sins does not make them bishops. Similarly, the ordination of simple priests was different in the Law, other of the high priest. If the words "take the Holy Spirit" had made him a bishop after the resurrection, Thomas would not have become a bishop because he was absent. There is an analogy here to the creation of mankind, where a whole human race was created from one man, and in the Church Christ did not make more bishops from whom others would come, but one first through whom they became. Likewise, Moses did not make many bishops, only one Aaron, and from him the others came. So after the sending of the Holy Spirit Peter himself made John the bishop, and then, together with John, made James Zebedee and from then on the bishops were ordained by three. John and Jacob were therefore the first to be ordained, because they were chosen by Christ himself for exceptional events. Although Paul may have ordained Timothy and Titus as bishops himself, because he was separated from Barnabas, and had no bishops around him. Luke, Demas and Silas were not bishops. One cannot think that the apostles were simple priests who could then consecrate bishops. Christ therefore made apostles simple priests, and Peter consecrated them as bishops. For ordination and jurisdiction belong to the episcopal authority. To point out that Peter was superior to other bishops, he was first established by Christ himself. He had the same authority of the sacraments, but a higher authority of jurisdiction. Judas was therefore not a bishop, but an apostle and a priest. Paul was chosen by God as an apostle, but consecrated by men, as was Matthew.

# The pope as St. Peter's successor

The power of the **keys** and the **dignity** of the priority given to St. **Peter** has **passed** on to his legal **successors** and is to come to the **end** of the **world**, because the **Church** is to **continue** until the **end** of the world. **Christ** says, "I am **with you** until the **end** of the world". (Mt. 28:20). So **Peter** received the

**keys not** only for **himself**, but also for all his **successors**. Just as **Adam** had some **gifts** for all his **descendants**, so **Peter** had some gifts solely for himself and others for his **successors**. **Peter's** power was for the **building** up of the **Church**, and this **continues** until the **end** of the world. Just as it is necessary for the **apostolic** state to **continue**, so much so for **Peter's office**. **Christ** wants to **lead** the Church with one **helmsman**. There was always one **high priest** in the **Old** Covenant, after whose **death** a **successor** was elected. The **nature** of principles loves the **one**, as **Augustine** says.

The Roman Pope is the successor of St. Peter. This capital was not the first one where Peter was sitting, but the last. In the beginning, he had no capital to own. He entrusted Jerusalem to Jacob, then sat in Antioch, but gave it to Ignatius, until he finally came to Rome, where he remained until his death. The election of Peter's successor belonged to the patriarchs and the Roman clergy, but it was difficult to summon the patriarchs, so the Pope established cardinals to elect his successor instead of the patriarchs. Two errors were condemned, namely, that the Church of Rome is not the highest of the Churches, and that St. Peter was never a Roman bishop. In his Epistle Peter himself greets the Church that is in Babylon, as Rome was called because of idolatry (1 Peter 5:13). Peter's presence in Rome is testified by Hieronymus, Eusebius, Bernard and Ambrose. Emperor Constantine said that where by the heavenly Emperor the authority of priests and the head of the Christian religion is established, it is not right for the earthly emperor to have power there.

The Roman Pope is the deputy of Christ. According to the Chalcedonian Council, any other bishop can appeal to him. He can also remove any bishop. By the will of Christ, he is established to preserve the unity of the Church. The Pope has priority and dignity directly from Christ. His authority is of the highest order and before any other authority, is like mother, root and source. It is not given by any man, not even by the Pope, but only by Christ himself.

Some claim that the papacy depends on the apostles, others that it depends on the Council, the third that it depends on the Emperor, because four days after his baptism Constantine granted a privilege to the bishop of the Church of Rome, that all bishops should have him as their head; the fourth that it depends on cardinals. Others, finally, that even if the papal authority is derived from God, its execution and use was given to the Pope by the Church. All this is not true, because Peter received the office from Christ alone without any preparation or prior will of the apostles. They would have wanted Peter to be their prince in the sense of the following will, accepting Christ's decisions. The Pope cannot transfer his seat from Rome to another place without Christ's special revelation, just as it was transferred to Rome with a special command. Secondly, the papal authority does not depend on the synod or the council, because the individual participants have received the authority to bind or dissolve from the Pope. It is not the Council that is superior to the whole Church, but Peter. The Roman Church had primacy essentially from Christ, and secondarily from the councils. The seriousness and power of the universal councils depends on the Holy See. No council, therefore, granted a privilege to the Church of Rome; it could only confirm it. Those who say that the Pope has power from the Emperor confuse the dignity of spirit with body. It is spiritual power that establishes and judges earthly power when it errs. The Pope existed before Constantine. Constantine, on the other hand, by fervently embracing faith, carried out God's ordinance of the Pope's primacy and obedience of all the faithful subjects to him, proclaiming that no one should justify their ignorance of it, and ordering everyone to keep it. This mistake was made by Marseilles of Padua and then John Hus. As far as the Pope's relationship with the cardinals is concerned, one has to distinguish two elements in the **papacy**: the **formal**, that is, the **office** and the **material**, the **designation** of the person. The cardinals give it to the elected Pope not in the formal but in the material sense. The Church cannot change the nature of papal authority, diminish or increase it. However, she can do something about the identification of the person by regulating the manner of election. For only the one who established it can **change** the authority, and in the case of the **Pope** it is **God** himself. In the **Church**, **papal** authority is **superior** to any **other** authority, and therefore it is the **foundation** of the Church. Whoever **changes** it **falls** into **heresy**. It is also **not diminished** by the **unworthiness** of the Pope.

If papal power means the fullness of jurisdiction, then so it results the fullness of execution and judgement. All those who claim that the Pope has no executive power over other believers if the Emperor does not grant it to him err. This would result from Christ's words about humility and service, and also from the example of Christ, who had no executive jurisdiction. Nor do we see executive jurisdiction in St. Peter. Service in the Church is supposed to be based on love, and this opposes the existence of fear. Therefore, one cannot use executive jurisdiction, which causes anxiety. Priests should resist evil with prayers and tears, not with temporal power. The Pope should not even interfere in secular matters. In response, the power of the keys must be invoked, which concerns earth and heaven, and therefore includes executive jurisdiction. Matthew 18:15-18 speaks of denouncing the Church of the errant. It is clear that the Church may pass judgment in such a case, since even we will judge angels. Peter, defending Christ, drew his sword and struck the high priest's servant, so he was aware of the executive power to be punished. In another place he says, "Lord, here are two swords". (Lk. 22:38), which symbolizes spiritual and secular power. Sheep pasturing cannot be achieved without executive power. It is necessary to take care of earthly aid, resist opponents and punish those who sin. Saint Paul gave some adulterer to Satan to lose his body in order to save his spirit (1 Cor. 4:21). All the general councils condemned and cursed the heretics: Arius, Nestorius, Eutyches. Similarly, the Holy See did with Marseilles of Padua or John of Jandun. Having executive jurisdiction belongs to every total power, and the power in the Church is perfect. The law not only commands, prohibits or allows, but also punishes.

As for the origin of the jurisdictional authority from the Emperor, it must be said that the exercise of jurisdiction was far ahead of the Catholic emperors. The emperors themselves were punished and even deprived of dignity by the Roman popes. Pope Innocent cursed Emperor Arcadius. Christ, who entrusted the office to Peter, also entrusted what the office could not be fulfilled without. "You will make them princes over all the land." (Ps. 44:17). The prohibition of superiority essentially concerns the way in which pagan tyranny exercises power. Christ confirmed that all authority is given to him in heaven and on earth (Mt. 18:18). Christ's ministry does not remove executive jurisdiction. Christ gave not only advice but also commandments about the sacraments and life's conduct. He commanded baptism, the Eucharist and penance and mutual love. He who does not listen to this will be found guilty and punished. He expelled merchants from the temple, thus showing executive jurisdiction. He often referred to the punishment of condemnation. Punishment does not oppose love, and one without the other disappears. It is only about the exclusion of tyrannical power. Besides, the priest's non-use of military force does not mean a lack of executive spiritual jurisdiction. No coercion is used to convert, but punishment is applied to believers. Finally, the settlement of disputes between persons especially ecclesiastical is not an entanglement in secular matters. For it is a defect of quarrelsomeness, and a settlement of a dispute caused by love is another thing.

All Christians should obey the Roman Pope. The Apostle says, "Obey your superiors and be subject to them". (Hebrew 13:17). The Roman Pope is the head of all the faithful, hence he is entitled to be obeyed by everyone from God's law. This is expressed in reverence, the acceptance of orders and the recognition of judgments. Saint Thomas says that submission to the Pope is necessary for salvation because it involves listening to the voice of Christ. Peter's faith in the Savior is the foundation of the Church. He thus rejects the error of the Greeks who claim that the clergy gathered at the Council are not obliged to submit to the Pope, as if the sheep gathered in one were not subject to the shepherd or sons or disciples gathered together meant more than a teacher or father. Suspension of obedience

is also **not allowed**, because it **smells** of **schism**, it sets a **bad example** for others and is **subject** to **punishment**. Only because of **heresy** or forbidden **partiality** can the **Pope** be **accused**. If the Pope **orders** something **contrary** to **Scripture**, articles of faith or the **truthfulness** of the sacraments, the commandments of **natural** or divine **law**, he should **not** be **obeyed**, and he should be **despised**. Some people explain that in the **Old** Covenant, the **priesthood** was **subject** to **royal** authority, but the **law** was then **applicable** to **carnal** people and those living in the **flesh**, hence **secular** authority was also more **important**. In the **New** Law, the **opposite** is true; the **priesthood** is more **important** than the **empire** and **spiritual** authority **prevails** over **bodily** authority. Whoever, therefore, would **argue** that it is possible **not** to **listen** to the **papal** orders, according to the **decrees** of Pope **Nicholas**, should be **cursed**.

The designation of St. Peter's successor should be made by choice rather than by bodily inheritance or by decision of the predecessor. This is because the character of the Law has changed in the Old and New Testaments. With it, the form of appointing the person of the High Priest also changes. In the **Old** Testament, this was done by **inheritance** of descent, or **flesh**. In the **New** Testament, already spiritually understood, it is done by choice. Jesus Christ was not of the generation of Levi to whom the priesthood belonged, but of Judah. The evangelical priesthood is universal, if the high priest was called by inheritance, there would always be harm to some nation. The Pope should be the most perfect ruler in virtue, so the best way is to choose after mature consideration. Christ provided Peter for his Church, but did not provide for his successor by name, but left the choice to the Church. It seems, therefore, that by natural law, and yet of divine, the Pope does not make a successor to himself either after death or after resignation. It would not be appropriate for the Pope to appoint his successor, just because of the danger of an error of his judgment. Only Christ could appoint and establish Peter without error. Peter appointed his successor, Clement, but this was done by the agreement of all, the clergy and the people. The Holy Fathers ordered that no one should establish a successor for himself, therefore Clement was to renounce the papacy to be elected Linus. The **testimony** of the **people** is taken into account in the **election**, which is **right**.

### **Fullness of power**

The Roman Pope has full power in the Church. This is because of the extent of his power and the multitude of matters in which he must take care of all the faithful. Just as Christ received from the Father all authority and power, to whom all knees are kneeling (Phil. 2:15), so he entrusted it to Peter and his successors. Just as he denied lesus three times, so in the words of Christ, having converted himself, he confirmed the fullness of power. The fullness of power does not mean that it is essentially like the divine seriousness or the most sublime one that we see in Christ. The servants of the Church are established in the Church founded by God, so their power is limited by the nature of creation and the nature of the Church. The Church's establishment consists of faith and the sacraments, and therefore her servants do not issue new articles of faith, nor do they change the present ones, nor do they establish new sacraments, nor do they remove those already established, for this falls only under the authority of Christ himself. The Pope cannot dispense from baptism to salvation or from confession to forgiveness of sins. The fullness of power that exists in the Pope is the fullness of servant power **necessary** to **govern** the Church and to bring about the **salvation** of the faithful. So it concerns everything that is necessary for people to be saved. It involves the corresponding sublimity of papal dignity as a prince of bishops, heir to the apostles, the high priest. In its extensiveness, this power embraces the **whole** ring of the **earth**, **no** believer is taken **out of** it. It also manifests itself in the power of the keys in the forum of conscience, embracing all places, persons and cases. Also in the external forum, the Pope can judge all persons of the Christian world, regardless of their condition and location, and judge all the Churches, and remove evil with punishment and root out. He is to tear out, **demolish** and destroy the **kingdom** of the **devil** and **build** up the **Church** and plant the good (Jer. 1:10). It is up to him to remove everything that opposes the attainment of heavenly happiness and to support and order what contributes to it. Papal authority is the only one to remove bishops. It exceeds all human, secular and spiritual power. It can directly affect every Christian as an ordinary shepherd and superior, if he declares so. In this it reminds us of the power of God Himself, who does much through secondary causes, but sometimes omits the order of those causes, acting directly. He can take the lower superiors out of the higher authority, the abbots out of the bishop. He is not bound by the laws he has established, as well as by the canons of the holy councils, but can act beyond the law and existing statutes, dispensing from conditions according to the need of time or place. Whatever is decided in the Church by the prelates can be dispensed with by the Pope in the sense of positive human law, but cannot dispense from God's and natural law. It can also dispense from human acts, namely vows and oaths. The Pope fully substitutes for Christ in the whole Church. The Pope also has authority in the administration and direction of Church affairs. Other superiors have a limited authority. He may, without anyone's consent, dispose of all the things of a given Church, transfer property, if only for a just cause. He can give some of the functions of higher ordination to those with only **lower** ordinations, such as allowing the sacrament of **Confirmation** to be given by simple **priests**. He grants full indulgences to every believer in the world as he wishes for a legitimate reason. He has the authority over the entire ecclesiastical order as to ecclesiastical dignities, he distributes the benefits and the entire churches. The Pope's full power proves itself in the canonization of saints, which belongs exclusively to him as judge and ruler of the universal Church. Ultimately, as Saint Bernard explains, he is to be a sign of justice, a model of holiness, an example of piety, a defender of the faith, a teacher of the pagans, a leader of Christians, a friend of the spouse, who ordains the clergy, a shepherd of peoples, a master of the **unwise**, the escape of the oppressed, a spokesman for the poor, the hope of servants, guardian of minors, judge of widows, eye of the blind, tongue of the mute, staff of the old, avenger of crimes, fear of the wicked, glory of the good, scepter of powers, hammer of tyrants, father of kings, measure of laws, giver of canons, salt of the earth, light of the world, priest of the Most High, **deputy** of Christ, **anointed** by the Lord.

Only the Roman Pope has full power in the Church. Saint Ambrose gives an interpretation that Peter is given jurisdiction when he is told by Christ to fish by casting a rod (Mt. 17:26). He is the only one who fishes this way, the others fish with a net. Only the Roman Pope in the whole body of the universal Church is, after Christ, the mysterious head to rule and direct the whole of the faithful, so only he has full power. Christ called him Kefas (Jn. 1:42), which according to Anaclet and Isidore interprets the head. Only Peter is the General Vicar of Christ. He is the direct superior in the whole hierarchy. The authority in the Church is monarchic and in the Pope there is its fullness because we are dealing with one sheepfold and one shepherd. Since the Pope's authority is full, it means that no one else has such authority, neither have the apostles received full authority. It is concentrated in the person of the Pope, and is not separated into several people, although the Pope may separate offices.

The authority of all superiors in the Church comes from the Pope directly or indirectly with reference to other apostles. Bishops receive their authority of jurisdiction from Christ through Peter. If they received the authority directly, the source of that authority would not be in one person. Whatever Christ wishes all the Apostles to have in common, he gives it through Peter. Peter therefore received his authority for others as well. There is a fullness of senses in the head, and from it the other members receive a sense of movement and direction. To the other apostles, Christ did not designate the subjects as sheep, and therefore did not directly give them the power of guidance. He did not directly divide the peoples among the apostles. Just as Moses was the ruler of all the people of Israel, so Peter was appointed bishop of the whole world. Since Moses chose 70 men to rule, and further established centurions, fifties and tenths as tribunes, and gave them by his authority, so the Bishop of Rome gives

from his authority to all superiors. The Council of Chalcedon defined Pope Leo as the Holy Father, apostolic and ecumenical, universal patriarch. The relationship of authority of other bishops and superiors to the Pope resembles that of branches to the trunk and root, rays to the sun or streams to the source. The Pope divides the bishoprics, enlarges their area, and establishes new churches. If it came directly from Christ, he could not make these changes. If the authority of the jurisdiction was directly from Christ, like the authority of ordination, then the Pope could not give or take it away. For the Pope does not have full power over the authority of ordination, as he does over the authority of jurisdiction. Otherwise, those appointed by the bishop would also claim the right to divine jurisdiction. The Pope could not send legates or give judges in dioceses, as is not the case with earthly kings and princes.

The answer to these allegations presupposes that the bishops' jurisdictional authority not only over execution and use but also over substance comes from the Roman Pope. It should be remembered that all the Churches originated from the Roman one and also took gravity from it, as Pope Vigilius writes. The Roman Church also established patriarchs, metropolitans and archbishops. Furthermore, the Roman Church has established bishops throughout Italy, Gaul, Spain and Africa. In addition, it assigned its tasks to other Churches as to priority and dignity. In order to clarify and respond to the accusations, it is necessary to distinguish between the fact that power can be directly derived from someone else in two ways: either in terms of direct power, or in terms of the directness of the entities from which it is derived. In the first sense, the point is that all power, seriousness, authority and jurisdiction that is of the **Pope** or of **any** other **prelate** is directly from **Christ** and can only be **given** by him because it acts by the power of the first cause. In the second way, someone receives some power directly from Christ, when there is no other intermediary between the recipient of power and Christ himself. In this sense, only Peter received the power of jurisdiction directly from Christ. Christ, if he wanted something shared with other apostles with Peter, always gave them through him. The authority of jurisdiction, expressed in the words "whatever you bind", is rather an announcement of the **future** receiving of this authority, as is the announcement of Peter's **primacy**. **Before** the **Passion** of Christ, none of the apostles carried out acts of jurisdiction, especially since they were not yet priests. To send out to the whole world does not yet mean giving the authority of the jurisdiction, nor is it the authority to perform miracles, baptism or priesthood.

The apostles are the bridegrooms because they are the servants of the only Bridegroom, cooperating externally in the birth of spiritual sons. The Pope is the Spouse of the whole Church and the Bishop of his diocesan Church. Bishops in their Churches have priority to announce the decision of the Pope or the **Council**, but this does **not** mean that they **make** the decision. **Bishops** together with the **Pope** are equal in dignity as priests, but when we speak of jurisdiction, he is the high priest. Like the angels, they have equal access to the view of God's essence, but in the revelation of the mystery of grace they are subordinated to one another. It is said that it is the Holy Spirit who calls to power in the Church, which is true, but not in the sense of direct establishment, but in the sense of inspiration. The claim that the bishops are not vicars of the Pope must also be rejected, because after all, even the legates are often the ordinaries in their Churches, and they are at the side of the Pope. The mistake of equating the bishop with the pope in the jurisdictional authority is the mistake of equating pastors with bishops. The pastors are the helpers of the bishops, who are mainly entrusted with the care of the people. Pope Clement reminded the priests, deacons and all other clerics not to do anything without the permission of their own bishop, not to celebrate masses, not to baptize, etc., so they cannot have power directly from Christ. Christ directly established one pastor, namely Peter, and then entrusted him with the establishment of other pastors. Christ's disciples as priests or deacons did not have the status of pastors simply because they were called disciples, neither with regard to ordination nor with regard to care for a particular parish. Although the state of disciples was a figure of the state of pastors, like the apostles before the Passion they did not have the state of bishops. According to Hieronymus' account, St. Mark, one of the most eminent disciples, cut off his finger to be considered unworthy of the priesthood. Thus, even if Christ had first established his disciples as pastors, he did not keep any further establishment, but entrusted his General Vicar. It would be very strange that Christ should directly appoint all the other shepherds in detail around the world. Immediately after the sending of the Holy Spirit, the apostles do not teach except Peter, because they have not yet been ordained. The authority given to them before the Passion to teach wherever Christ intended to come ceased during the Passion, because all have departed from faith, as Peter de Palude teaches. Therefore, after the Resurrection, they received again the power to teach all nations. All the apostles and disciples were not always together with Christ at particular moments of preaching. As for the authority of ordinary jurisdiction held by bishops or pastors, one must agree that this is the case in the forum of conscience. After all, the Pope can give ordinary jurisdiction not only to an individual, but to entire Churches and monasteries. The state of the pastors and bishops was established by God in two ways. First, through Christ in general in seed and power, in Peter, who was the true pastor of every parish and diocese. Secondly, by the Holy Spirit, through whose inspiration the apostles in the original Church set up bishops in dioceses and presbyters in parishes. After the death of the Pope, the cause of power in the Church does **not disappear**, because the papal **see** does not **die**.

The **Pope** is the **direct judge** of **all** the **faithful** throughout the **world**. He **can** do what the **lower** prelates do. The Pope has **universal** power, which in **every people** he can do **better** and more **perfectly** what the **lower** superiors can **do**, also **without asking** them, just as **God** uses the **secondary** causes, but he can act **directly**. By **setting** up a **subordinate**, the **Pope** does **not** lose his **own** power. In relation to the faithful, it is possible for there to be **two ordinary** authorities to which a given **faithful** is **subject**, although these **authorities** themselves **must** be mutually **subordinated**. Therefore, there is **no contradiction** in the fact that **parishioners** are subject to their **pastor**, bishop and **pope**.

In addition to the Roman Pope, other prelates are necessary in the Church. Direct papal authority in the Church does not abolish the necessity of lower superiors. It is impossible for one man to directly govern the whole Christian people. There are many intermediary causes in the world in addition to the first cause, and the same should also be true in the Church. Ecclesiastical authority becomes more noble when people participate in it in large numbers, becoming the dispensers of God's mysteries. The variety of persons distributed in different offices reveals the power and grace of the Church of God. Various superiors dependent on the Holy See serve in obedience to the orders and in punishing abuses. They are therefore to unite with the Holy See in these things. All priests and believers are to support the Pope in his needs, as far as possible.

Some claim that the **full** power of the **keys** is **not** only in the **Pope**, but also **in** the universal **Church** and in the **Council**, though in **different ways**. In the **Pope** as the entity receiving and **exercising power**, in the **Church** as an **object containing** it causally and purposefully, and in the General **Council** as a **model** of power and proper **management**. However, this **reasoning** can lead to **harmful** conclusions. The **Church** as such is **not** the **aim** of **papal** authority, but rather **salvation**. The **Council** is **not** so much a **model** or a mirror of the supreme **authority**, as it is the **law** of **Christ**. It **cannot** be said that the **supreme** authority in the Church **continues** in the universal **Church** as **such**, because the **laity** are **incapable** of ecclesiastical **jurisdiction**. **Power** does **not** exist in the **community** of the faithful, otherwise all the **faithful** would have to be **called** to **vote** in concrete acts of **jurisdiction**. **Christ** gave **power** to **Peter** and his **successors**, **not** to the whole **Church**. In the **formula** of **absolution**, the priest uses the words "by the **authority** of Almighty **God** and the **blessed** apostles **Peter** and Paul", **not** the authority of the universal **Church**.

When we say that the universal **Church** has been given the **keys**, it can be **understood**, **firstly**, that in the **single** members **independently**, which is the **error** of the **Waldenses**, **secondly**, that in all the **members together** on the basis of **democratic** power, **thirdly**, **only** in **some** of the members, and the **fullness** of power exists in **one**, namely the Roman **Pope**, and that is the **Catholic** meaning. The **fullness** of power is in the midst of the **graces freely** given, and these are **not** given to **all** members of the Church, but the **individual** members **respectively**. Sometimes **one** thing that **exists** in some **part** of the Church **extends** to the **whole** Church, saying, for instance, that the **Church baptizes**, but **not** in **all** cases it is **justified**. **Christ's** words, "you are **Kefas**," refer **only** to St. **Peter**. When Christ **asks** the people's **opinion**, **all** the apostles **answer**, but when asked, the disciples answer **through Peter**. So what was **promised** to **them** they receive **through Peter**. **Unity** with **Peter** is **necessary** to be dissolved from the **bonds** of **sins** or to enter the **gate** of the **kingdom** of heaven. Only **Peter** in Christian **iconography** is presented with the **keys**. According to **Ambrose**, Peter **accepted** pastoral authority for **himself** and all his **successors** and **prelates** called to **part** care.

**Some** say that what was said to **Peter** was said to him as a **type** of **Church**. It is necessary, therefore, to understand what it means to be a type or representation of something else. The son is a type of the father, it is by likeness. The other way is a coincidence or resemblance of some property. Noah's Ark symbolizes the Church, and the animals in the Ark represent all peoples. The third way is to represent someone else by someone else's power or seriousness, and so the servants in the Church represent Christ. Whom the priest judges, God judges. In this sense, it cannot be said that the Pope represents the Church because he received his authority directly from Christ, so it is then of Christ who is the pope the substitute and not the figure of the Church. The fourth way is through power of attorney. Someone in the name of someone else who cannot participate makes a certain act, for example a choice. In this sense Peter does not represent the Church either. For a representative does not gain any dignity from the one in whose name he is acting. The fifth way of being a type presupposes a mere likeness without the truth of things, for example, the lamb and the manna were a type of Christ's body. Peter has the power of the keys certainly not in this sense, for it does not apply to the Church like a shadow to the body. Sixthly, typification consists in accepting some kind of benefit or seriousness for oneself and others, on whom a given grace is to flow from the donor's intention. In this sense Peter accepted the power of the keys to survive in the Church to the end of the world, and he did so not only for himself but for all those on whom the power of the keys was to flow. In Peter there is a figure of power of all shepherds and their unity. Seventhly, the representation by the type is effected in a manner of heritage. It is said that the bishops figure apostles and the presbyters figure 72 disciples. But this does not refer to Peter as a type of the Church, but to Peter as a type of Christ. The eighth, a prince represents the districts, the king – kingdom, bishop – the Church. What the Pope does, it does the whole Church, and where the Pope and the bishops gather, the Church is gathered there. Finally, the city council represents the population and the senate represents the Roman people. In the **Church** this may **mean** that the **College** of Cardinals represents the **Pope** in certain **matters** when the **See** is **orphaned**, and in **necessary** matters it makes a **decision**. **Peter**, when he accepted the power of the **keys**, also wore the **figure** of the **unity** of the **Church**. **No** one, therefore, can duly **repent** whom the unity of the Church does not sustain. Schismatics cannot resolve from sins. He who does not have the right to **bond** cannot **solve** either. If the **minister** and the confessors are **not united** in the unity of the **Church**, **confession** does not have **any effect**.

The power of the **Church's duration** does **not** depend on her **inner strength**, but on the strength of the **foundation** on which she is founded, which is **Christ**. The **gates** of hell do not **overcome** it, and they are **sins**, threats, flattery, **heresies**, through which the **weak** are **killed**. Those on the **sand built** their house. So to **keep** the Church in **perfection** is to keep her in **existence** by **God**. The Church is also **guarded** by **saints** and doctors who **sanctify** her with **teachings** or turn to God in constant **intercession**.

Angels guard the Church so that the human hierarchy is supported by the sustenance of the angelic hierarchy. "The mountains around it" (Ps. 124:2), which means the preachers of the truth: evangelists, apostles and prophets forming the wall around Jerusalem. Finally, the guardians of the Church are the shepherds, whose duties include defending and preserving the fold entrusted to them. The most important is the shepherd of the whole Church, that is, the Pope, to guard and defend the Church. If the shepherds neglect their task, the Church will not die because of this, but will be tormented, because it is constantly watched over by her chief guardian, namely Christ. "He who watches over Israel will neither slumber nor sleep" (Ps. 120:4). The Church has the inner power to resist destruction. We understand this by the sword of spiritual jurisdiction that punishes the adversaries, which is in the hands of the prelates. St. Peter, passionate about defending the Lord, struck the high priest's servant with his sword. This sword is not given to anyone and is not found in all the members together. It can also be understood to resist the Adversary in any way, and this is the case for every man, even outside the Church. According to the natural law, it is permitted to resist even by force, although with moderation in the necessary defense.

The fullness of power always exists in some way in the Church, even after the death of the Pope. One has to distinguish between power itself and the actual exercise of it. The supreme authority always exists in Christ, the first head of the Church. Besides, the papal authority after the death of the Pope continues in the Holy See. There is a change of presidents, of popes by natural or civil death, but the papal authority in the Church remains, as does the empire after the death of the emperor. The papal power lasts in possibility, that is to say, the Church has the power to choose the person who will assume this dignity. Although the papal seat is orphaned after the death of the Pope, no one can fully replace him in the exercise of his power. But the Church suffers no harm if orphaned for a few days, as officials of the Roman Curia and the College of Cardinals show sufficient care.

# The Pope's jurisdiction is superior to the whole Church

The Pope in virtue of his jurisdiction and power exceeds the whole universal Church. Saint Bernard interprets the words "the place where you stand is the Holy Land" (Exodus 3:5) as the residence of the Prince of the Apostles. Against this, the accusation is made that the Pope is only part of the Church and that part is not greater than the whole. The Church is a mother and the Pope is a son of the Church. The Church cannot err, and the Pope can err. But it must be remembered that this is about the authority of the jurisdiction, not about personal holiness, in which any member of the Church can be holier than the Pope. It is possible to receive such a grace which elevates a member above the whole body and, in this sense, surpasses all others, although as such one is not superior to the whole. One prophet may be greater than others, or one doctor the wisest of all. The head even as part of the body is superior to the other members. This is particularly evident from the example of Christ, who cannot be less perfect in grace or authority than the Church herself, even though he is her head. In Christ the **spiritual good** is **not** partial, but **total**. This also applies to the **Pope**, who on the side of **virtue** brings only partial goodness to the Church and is not superior to the whole body of the Church, but in the authority of the jurisdiction, gratuitously given grace far exceeds the whole other Church. From this point of view he is **not** a **member** of the Church, because his **authority** is **not partial**, but **complete**. It surpasses all the other members in this aspect taken together. The whole is greater than a part in the sense of an **integral** whole, not a **potential** one. It is a **measurable** or numerical whole, but **not** a powerful one. One force can act on many objects. Otherwise the Church would be greater than Christ, who is her head. Everything is subject to the humanity of Christ (Eph. 1:22). Saint Thomas, considering the relationship between the mystical body of Christ and the Body of Christ, says that the mystical body, when taken with its head, is better than the true body, provided that the Body of Christ is taken without deity, because God and all creatures are no better than God himself. But if you take the mystical body of Christ without Christ, then the Body of Christ is more noble. In any case, even the Blessed Virgin is more elevated and dignified than the rest of the Church. She has the moon under her feet, the Church. The Pope is therefore the whole Church virtually, because there is the fullness of ecclesiastical power in him, just as, according to Ambrose, the whole man is in his head. The highest power contains the amount of all other powers. The power of the Church is not a whole composed of partial powers, but has the whole in one subject. In the amount of power, the Pope is above all the rest of the Church. When it comes to the relationship of wisdom and holiness to power, it does not depend on them. For among people, the degree of sanctity is uncertain and can change. Then there would be no stability in ecclesiastical authority. Only the saints could hold power in the Church, which is the mistake of the Waldenses and Wickliff. Paul would be greater than Peter if he had received more wisdom. Some laymen are wiser and holier than many prelates. It is impossible for one to be for some time the wisest and holiest in the whole Church. According to the Waldenses, he would be the Pope.

Understanding the motherhood of the Church and relations to the papacy. The Church is a mother because she constantly gives birth to Christians. This is done through baptism, the effectiveness of which is carried out in the faith of the Church. In childbirth, the power of the father works together, and the power of the mother as if disposable, so in spiritual childbirth the most important thing is God's power, as if semen. Faith mainly works in the sacraments, which are its instruments, linking to the main cause. The tool does not receive power, but connects with the main cause. The power, on the other hand, is somehow poured into the tool. The effectiveness of the sacraments consists of three things: the establishment of God as the principal agent, the Passion of Christ as the meritorious cause, and faith as the connecting tool with the principal agent. The faith of the Church is therefore the disposition to achieve the effects of the sacrament. Thus in spiritual birth the father is God and the Church is the mother. When a heretic baptizes or an infidel, if he only intends to do what the Church does, he gives birth to Christ and the Church, not to heresy. Just as Jacob gave birth to sons by free women and slaves, so Christ gives birth by Catholics and heretics to the good or the evil. In such an approach, there is **no** reason to claim that the **Church** is of a **higher** authority or jurisdiction than the Roman Pope. Although the Church as such is attributed the trait of motherhood, the servants nevertheless have the trait of fatherhood. The father is replaced by the one who baptizes, and the mother - by the water of baptism. The feature of paternity is most closely attributed to God's supreme servant, the Roman Pope, which is why he is called father over fathers. So in one sense, he is a son of the Church, in another he is the father. One can be by birth the son of a given woman, and spiritually her father. Christ was sometimes called the son of the Church or the son of the Blessed Virgin, and yet with the dignity of power he outranks all mothers. Likewise, the Pope is a son of the Church because he is reborn through the sacrament of baptism. Nevertheless, he is the father of the Church and the greatest when he writes to the faithful: beloved sons. There is nothing inappropriate in calling him a prince, head, shepherd and teacher of the Church. Likewise, bishops are fathers, even though the Church has born and established them in their capitals. Christ was the son and father of **David**, the **son** of the Blessed **Virgin** and the **father** of her. Similarly, the **bishop** is the **son** of the woman of whom he is the spiritual father. The Pope used to come to the faithful like a mother with help. All bishops refer to the Holy See in some more serious matters. They resort to her like to a mother.

The Church is a **lady** (Lm 1:1), hence the difficulty with the **pope** as a **servant**, not a **master**. The community of the Church **scattered** around the world or **gathered** on the **synod** is the **lady** of the peoples and **duchess** of the lands. The Church does **not reign** as a **single** community in all its **members**, but **in** the **prelates**, and especially in the **highest** of them, the Roman **Pope**. For the Church's **regime** is **monarchical**. It is **not**, of course, about the **dominion** over **slaves**, but about **leadership**, when even the **father** is called the **master** of children. In this way, the **reign** would even exist in a state of **primary** 

**justice**, just as it exists among the **angels**, of whom one **choir** is called the **states**. In the **second** sense, you can be **both master** and **servant**, serving for the **benefit** of your **subjects**. This also applies to the **rule** over the **things** of the Church. The Church is **queen**, which we understand in **two** ways because of her good **self-government**. In this sense, the **pious** and rational **soul** is the **queen**. this case refers to the **grace** that makes God **pleased**: "The **queen** at your **right** hand in golden clothes" (Psalm 44:10). It is about the **gold** of love and the **multitude** of merits, in which **not all** the members of the Church **last**. **Secondly**, the name **queen** is attributed to the **Church** because of the **superiority** that exists in her **superiors**, especially the Roman **Pope**. **Kings** are called **apostles**, and **daughters** are all **Churches** born of their faith.

Except in the case of heresy, the Roman Pope has no higher judge on earth. David says, "I have sinned against you alone." (Ps. 50:6) Because as a king he is greater than all. Only by God should he be punished. If one of the people goes astray, he sins against God and the king. Also the Council cannot judge the **Pope**, because the **power** of the **Council** comes **from** the power of the **Pope**. One **cannot** go on endlessly in the order of origin, also with regard to the power of punishment in the order of the Church, one must come to the one who is to judge and correct everyone, and he cannot be judged by anyone in this order. Whose case is reserved solely to God, this one has no judge on earth. The one from whom no appeal is permitted has no superior judge on earth, and no appeal is permitted from the **Pope**. The **Scriptures** also confirm the **impossibility** of assaulting the **highest**, for example, the **king**. David was chased by Saul, but he was afraid to strike directly at him, because he had the image of God in him. Pope Anacletus says that God reserved the rejection of the popes to himself, although he gave their **election** to the **priests**. Likewise, **Boniface** says that **no** one should dare to **accuse** the **Pope** unless he is caught abandoning the faith. Nemo iudicabit primam sedem. Innocent III in the sermon of the Pope's consecration stated that faith was necessary for him, because of other sins he has God as a judge, and only because of sin in faith can he be judged by the Church. The Synod gathered in Rome because of Pope Marcellin, since out of fear of death, he incensed idols. The Pope confessed his guilt and declared his willingness to accept repentance, whatever the fathers of the Synod would want to impose on him. The Synod **did** not **dare** to **pass** judgment on him.

Some claim that the **Pope** is **under** the jurisdiction of the **Emperor**, as was **Christ** as a **mortal** man when he told **Pilate** that power **over** him had been given him **from above**. The **Emperor** was also the **judge** of St. Peter and St. Paul, who appealed to the Emperor. However, the Eighth General Council opposed this. The Christian Emperor Constantine did not want to judge the clergy. Pope John recalled that the emperor, if he is a Catholic, is a son, not a ruler of the Church. A son cannot judge a father. Innocent III, interpreting the passage about the **creation** by God of **two bodies** bigger and **smaller**, attributes bigger to the Pope and smaller to kings. The Emperor, who made a donation to the Church from temporal goods, did not make the Pope a vassal or an eternal leaseholder. Christ came under the emperor's jurisdiction not out of customary law, but accepted it voluntarily out of love and humility. The same was true of the prescriptions of the Law, to which he voluntarily wanted to submit himself in order to free others from them. Pilate did not judge Christ as a public person because of his office, but as a private person, accused as an evildoer. From the moment of his conception, Christ was appointed the universal judge of the living and the dead (Acts 10:42). He claimed for himself that he was given all authority in heaven and on earth. Also with regard to the payment of taxes, it seems that Christ proves that he is not obliged to do so (Mt. 17:24-26). God allowed other emperors and lay judges to kill many martyrs, but it does not follow that they were ordinary judges of these martyrs. Saint Bernard explains that there is a great difference between the ordered, ordinatus and the ordinary, ordinarius. What has been permitted by God must be ordered, but not everything is ordinary. The ordinary must be ordered according to general law or nationwide order. Constantine did not give the Pope power, but only confirmed it. Paul's appeal to the Emperor consisted in appealing to the Emperor as the **ordinary superior** of **those** from whom he **suffered** harm. Otherwise, the entire **clergy** and the **Pope** would be **subject** to the **Emperor**. St. **Paul rebuked** the **Corinthians'** attitude that they dared to **use** the **courts** of heathen **judges** (1 Corinthians 6:1).

Others claim that the Pope, although not subject to the Emperor's jurisdiction, could be accused and punished by the universal Church for any manifest misconduct that would scandal the Church. This is shown in the passage on fraternal correction (Mt. 18:17) and Peter's admonition by Paul. The Pope is a member of the Church who, if rotten, should be cut off. This would apply not only to heresy, but also to other overt transgressions. Otherwise the Church would be badly cared for by Christ, for the Pope could try to destroy or scorn her, and the Church could not resist it. By analogy, if the Pope tried to kill someone, he should be prevented. If, therefore, he blatantly undermines the Church by killing souls in this way, anyone can and should hinder him. The answer to this accusation first of all contains a reminder that the Pope cannot be judged both by individuals and communities, and thus the entire Church. It does not matter whether it is about individual groups and their names, like the people, the clergy or the Council. The fraternal admonition of the Pope is possible in the sense of a simple instruction, while the second part, which presupposes bringing to the Church, does not refer to him. The Church cannot impose punishment on him. This is mentioned by Albert the Great, St. Thomas and others. It remains, therefore, to pray for him to correct himself or to be taken away so that he does not destroy the Church by his example. Paul's opposition to Peter was to secure the faith, and in this case it is necessary. If there was a danger of faith, the universal Church or the Council could oppose the Roman Pope. In Paul's case there was love and freedom, and in Peter's case there was humility, who accepted the admonition. To invoke the case of the Council of Constance against the situation of the Church and to draw conclusions upon all councils is false. After the election of Martin V, the **Council asked** the **Pope** to **approve** and legitimize everything it had done.

### The Pope the sinner and heretic

The **Pope** who admits **heresy** becomes **smaller** than every **believer** and can be **judged** by the **Church**, that is, proclaimed to be judged by God. By openly and stubbornly defending heresy, he falls out of the papacy by law itself. The Church is built upon the faith of Christ. Whoever, therefore, departs from the rock, namely Christ, or his faith, departs from the Church and its authority, of which the Pope is the name. Schismatics have sacramental authority, although they cannot legitimately use it, but they lose the authority of jurisdiction, because it is not given by ordination, so they cannot absolve, curse, grant indulgences and the like, if they do so, it has no effect. Because of heresy, the Pope is not removed by the Council, but rather proclaimed not to be Pope, because he fell into heresy and persists in it. When the Church has a heretic or schismatic shepherd, it is understood that she is orphaned. The sin of heresy or unbelief is distinguished from other sins according to the words of Christ "whoever denies me before men will deny him and I before my Father" (Mt. 10:33). About other sins, Christ says that the Pharisees have sat on the seat of Moses, observe everything they command. Do not, therefore, reject the evil shepherds who have good teaching. While Christ names the members who should be cut off because of the scandal, He does not name the head, because after cutting off these members life may still last. The Pope becomes a rotten member by bad manners, but he cannot infect the whole body because the Holy Spirit, through whom the body of the Church lives and grows and on whom salvation depends, does not allow it. For it is one thing to resist the one who wants to destroy the community, and another to punish him, that is, to act as a higher judge. The infamous Pope is obliged to cleanse himself out of the duty of conscience, and not because someone is a judge in his affairs. This was the case with Sixtus III, Pope Leo, Damasus. Pope Marcellin, who by an act of idolatry has offended the whole Church, was not judged by the Council, but by his own judgment. He confessed his fault and submitted to the Council, which, however, did not want to judge him. The **persecution** of believers **broke** out and **Marcelin** was **beheaded**. **Athanasius** was **struck** down by God. However, one **cannot** compare cases of judgments of **anti-popes** by the Church. **John** XII was **not filed**, but after the **admonition** of Otto and the cardinals he **renounced** the papacy.

Can the Pope delegate or give power to someone to become his judge? According to the decrees and statements of doctors, in no way can the Pope submit to another person or grant such authority to any college and preserve his dignity. No one in an external court can pronounce a curse on himself or entrust another to curse him. He can only do so in the forum of conscience, entrusting the other person with absolution. He would then speak out against God's ordinance and cease to be the superior of all. For the commissioned authority cannot be changed without the harm of the commissioner, except with his consent. The Pope cannot do what is contrary, and such an act would be contrary to his superiority over all. Furthermore, the Pope must not seek anything that would diminish his authority or impair his apostolic dignity.

The remedies to the Pope who scandalizes the Church with his bad morals. The first is humble instruction and fraternal gentle correction. Above all, he should be sweetly admonished by cardinals and other eminent prelates, priests and religious men. The second means is pious prayer. By order of the prelates of the Church, all the faithful should pray to God for the Pope, that the Lord may enlighten him or take him away. The constant prayer of the just man has great power. St. Thomas refers to cases of biblical prayers for the cruel King Ahasuerus or Nebuchadnezzar, which have proved very effective. The third remedy, when the previous ones did not help, is resistance. The cardinals should confront him in the face, pointing out evil deeds and convincing him with reasoning. If the Pope wanted to give his parents the Church treasury or give the Church state, he should be resisted. Likewise, if he wanted to deposit all bishops from office. The Pope may fall into simony and then all promotions, nominations, beneficiaries made in this way should be opposed. All those who dare to **enter** the Church in such a way should **not** be considered as **shepherds**, but as **thieves** and robbers. Another means is the convening of a general council, which should be done by the cardinals if the Pope himself did not wish to gather, or by others if they neglected. It would not be a council for the **deposition** of the Pope from office, but for **admonishing** and encouraging the Pope to **correct** himself, as was the case with the Synod against Marcelin, secondly for pious prayers and fasts, and thirdly with the call of the secular arm to seek a way and forms of resistance to evil, which the Pope is trying to do so that the Church is not threatened. If all this does not work, one should patiently endure what God's justice endures, for it is unlikely to be endured by God for long, as the example of John XII shows. For it is the fault of the people that the bishops sometimes fail, and according to the merit or vice of the people, the life of the prelates is arranged by a righteous Judge; therefore, in avenging the sin of the people, the reign of the evil one is sometimes permitted. St. Augustine claims that there is no danger to the Church as a whole because of such an endurance. The Holy Church will not lack divine protection for one or another disgraceful Pope. Trust in the mercy of the Savior, who sometimes allows the boat of his Church to be shaken by storms of persecution, should not be lost because he never allowed it to be broken up. It is always better to run away than to appropriate God's judgment, entangling oneself in endless **difficulties** and multiplying **scandal**.

### Pope the teacher of faith

It is up to the **Pope** to **define** what it is to **believe** and **explain Scripture**, to approve or **reject** statements and **works** of the **Fathers** of the Church. The **Pope** is the **universal** and principal **teacher** of the whole **world**. In the **Church**, it was necessary to **give** someone who will **contribute** the most to the **salvation** of the **faithful**, having **knowledge** of what to **believe** and act and thus leading the whole Church. Since the Pope **presides** over the **entire** community of the Church, it is also up to him to **determine** the **object** of faith. According to the **Fathers**, the Holy **See** is the **master** and **mother** of faith. The **Roman** 

Church is set as a model and example for all. In the Old Testament, too, everything that belonged to God was presented to Moses for resolution (Exodus 18:19-20). Christ himself said to Peter "go out into the depths", which explains Ambrose as the depths of the faith. Saint Hieronymus sent his confession of faith to Pope Damasus in order to verify and correct it. There should be one faith in the Church, so that there would be **no split** in it. Therefore, this **faith** must be **decided** by the **one** who presides over the Church. Peter confessed the perfect faith revealed by the Lord (Matthew 16:16). It suited the Holy See to have the privilege of seeking wisdom and mysteries in God himself. Whoever wishes to know something divine or deep, let him resort to this oracle and not be ashamed to offer her his thoughts humbly. In the Acts of the Apostles, it was sent for Peter to say what to do (10:5-6). Paul, who received the teaching directly from Christ, came to Peter's teaching and stayed with him for 15 days as if in school (Gal. 1:18). Wherever Peter was present, all the apostles gave way to him in preaching and resolving doubts. He spoke at Pentecost, and he said that the Law after the gospel need not be observed. By his own seriousness Peter approved the Gospel written by Mark, according to the account of St. Hieronymus. Therefore, the Holy See is to approve and guarantee the writings of teachers. St. Augustine said that he would not have believed in the Gospel if it had not been for the seriousness of the Catholic Church. In the Roman Church there has always been a priority of the Apostolic Chair. It is up to the Roman Pope to order the symbol of faith. St. Thomas reminds us of this, while at the same time he states that the deeper and more difficult issue of the Church has always **belonged** to the **papal** seriousness.

It is also the **Pope's** responsibility to **lecture** the **Scriptures** and to **clarify doubts** about **natural** law. The **resolution** of doubts can be **twofold**. First, in the **scientific** way, which can be done by **anyone** on the basis of his **ability** or **skill** of research. It is up to the **scholars** to decide on some **extent** of **knowledge**. The **second** way of **resolving** a doubt is taken with some **authority**, that is to say, the **opposite claim** must **not** be **maintained**. Such **power** belongs **directly** to the **Pope** alone. The **scientific** way of studying Scripture is to **determine** the **correct meaning without** adding or **subtracting** anything. But they **cannot oblige** everyone to such understanding. It is, however, up to the **head** of the **Church** to **decide** which statement **obliges** everyone. This is **due** to the **necessity** of the **common faith** of the whole Church and the **authority** to **clarify** and interpret **doubts** about what to believe.

The decrees of the Roman popes should be accepted by all the faithful with reverence. Some despise these decrees, unless they are contained in the canons of the councils. This is a fatal error contributing to numerous divisions. Numerous popes have confirmed that decrees should be accepted by all the faithful with veneration, even if they are not recorded in the body of the Code of Canons. The Canon of St. Leo the Pope establishes that all decrees of the Holy See must be observed. Therefore, it concerns all popes and their decrees. Pope Hadrian even cursed kings who were not afraid to breach the Holy See's decrees.

The judgment of the Holy See in what belongs to faith and human salvation cannot be mistaken. The Holy See was endowed with the gift of infallibility by God Himself, whose providence cannot err in His orders. The name Peter comes from the word rock. The holy doctors testify that the promise of Christ about the rock is to be understood as to the Holy See's strength of faith. Peter's force of faith will not cease and he will strengthen his brothers (Lk 22:31-32).

**Explaining** the **symbol**, clarifying **doubts** in the **faith** especially on the basis of **Scripture**, defining **dogmas**, condemning **errors**, sacraments, **canonizations** of saints would **not** be **certain** if the **Pope** was **not infallible**. The judgment of **faith** must be **infallible** to rule out the **opposite**. This is **necessary** not only for the **Pope** himself, but above **all** for the **faithful**, so that they do **not waver**. The Church of **Rome** has **no flaw** or wrinkle. Whoever therefore **opposes** the Holy **See** in matters of **faith** is to be considered a **heretic**. The **Councils** have decided that greater and more **difficult matters**, according to

the sacred **tradition**, are always to be **referred** to the **Holy See**. All the **doctors**, no matter how **learned** or saintly they are, **submit** their **claims** to its **judgment**. In sending their **letters** to the **Pope**, **Augustine** and **Anselm** asked for **correction**. This custom was also observed by St. **Thomas** when he **commented** on **Scripture**. Many **synods**, and even the **councils** in what belongs to **faith**, we read that they were **wrong**, for example, the synod in **Rimini**, the second **Ephesus** and the **popes** did **not approve** them. Besides, the **councils** are called from **time** to **time**, and the Holy **See** is **permanent**. In matters of **faith**, **doctors** and canons recommend to **refer** to the Holy **See**, **not** to the **Council**.

Opponents of this assertion most frequently refer to the fact that when the Church of Rome is referred to, the universal Church is meant to be infallible. But if the Pope of Rome was fallible, it would be just as easy to say that the choice of the four gospels, the canonical letters, and the approval of the universal councils and other books of doctors, were erroneous. No faith would be certain to be believed. Christ prayed that Peter's faith would not cease, which does not mean that he would not be tempted. Christ asks that Peter should not remain in the fall. It is therefore Peter's personal faith, not the faith of the whole Church. Some do not transfer this to the faith of Peter's successors. But Christ has asked for everyone. Infallibility refers to the chair where Peter sits, so when he was bishop of the Antioch Church, this Church was infallible. After he went to Rome, the Roman Chair became infallible. St. Peter was established as the bedrock, then as the foundation, then as the doorkeeper of the Kingdom of Heaven, and finally as the superior and judge of all matters. The power of the ecclesiastical archpriest's office is great. Even Caiaphas, who, though wicked because he was a high priest, prophesied. Great is the power of the Holy Spirit in the office. The papal judgment is always taken up with great faith, taught according to the advice of the scholars, and is proclaimed with great weight of consideration.

The impossibility of papal heresy is proven by the case of the evil prophet Balaam, whom God did not allow to slander Israel, and Caiaphas, who unwittingly prophesied because of his archpriestly dignity. However, the heresy of the person of the Pope, who then falls away from the faith of Peter and from the Peter's chair, should be admissible. The possession of ecclesiastical goods is not contrary to perfection, for there are two types of poverty. One is to possess nothing, and the other is to possess nothing individually, although one can have something in common, as Christ and the apostles had in common. Poverty does not exclude the right to use.

## The Pope's temporal jurisdiction

About the jurisdiction that the Roman Pope has in temporal matters. The Pope's jurisdiction extends not only to spiritual matters but also to temporal ones. Two extremes should be avoided. The first, which does not confer jurisdiction in temporal matters or confers a limited jurisdiction, the second extremity confers full jurisdiction in temporal matters, and the jurisdiction of the rulers also comes from the Pope. St. Thomas says that the temporal power of the Pope is attached to the spiritual power, embracing the summit of both, because he is a priest and a king. The Pope's temporal authority is subordinate to the preservation of spiritual goods, as far as the Church's need or pastoral duty to correct sins requires. It should not be said that the Pope has jurisdiction in temporal matters under the law of the papacy, as if he were master of the whole world: "it will not be so between you." (Matt. 20:25-28). The Apostle is forbidden to reign as the secular rulers do. It is a question of passing the account of the reign. Emperor Constantine made a donation to Pope Sylvester, not just a return of what was his. The Pope does not have the title of king or emperor. The empire comes from God, and not from the Pope, although if he is a Catholic, he is a son, and not the head of the Church. No pope or emperor confesses that the imperial power is absolutely dependent on the pope. The power of the secular princes precedes the papacy in time. First is what is carnal and then what is spiritual. Thus, there is no power over properties, but rather over crimes. The Pope has no jurisdiction over court cases so that he can be appealed from every judge of the earth always and everywhere. Besides, the Pope has no jurisdiction in temporal matters so that he can freely dispose of ecclesiastical goods at his discretion. For they are given to communities, not to individuals, and therefore no person has power and unlimited dominion, but the community. A person as a member of a community has the authority to use them for his subsistence according to the requirements of his state. The bishop has the authority to dispose of the things of the church to all who need them with the greatest reverence and fear of God. As head and supreme member of the Church, the Pope is the principal distributor of all ecclesiastical spiritual and temporal goods. But he cannot think of himself as the absolute master of everything, but rather as a **servant** and minister. The **things** of the Church are **of** the **Pope**, as St. **Thomas** says, as the **chief minister**, but **not** as **master** and owner. They must therefore be **used** for the benefit of the poor, for the benefit of servants, the worship of God, which if he did not do so, he would sin gravely and be obliged to return. Secular goods are not given to the community in the same way as ecclesiastical goods, but are acquired through the work of those who have right and power over them and true control. Everyone can dispose of them according to their will. They are not connected to each other and to a common head to organize and distribute them, as is the case with ecclesiastical goods. Neither the Lay Lords nor the Pope have the power or right to give away such things. The Emperor, as the supreme ruler and head among the laity, has no freedom to divide or manage the temporal goods of individual people, as the Pope does with the goods of the Church.

The Pope, as a ruler in temporal matters, can dismiss the ecclesiastical prelate, even without his fault, can also dismiss the secular ruler, but unlike that. A layman cannot be removed without a just and reasonable cause, unless he is unworthy of his rule and deserves to lose it. If the pope tries to deprive him of power without such a reason, he sins and his action has no effect. It is therefore necessary to determine how the papacy has jurisdiction in temporal matters. These are things necessary for spiritual matters, for directing the faithful towards eternal salvation and for correcting sinners, and for preserving peace in Christian people. The Pope's authority and jurisdiction in temporal matters derives from the very function of directing and prescribing secular authority in the exercise of its office. It is about the requirements of the ultimate goal, to which everything is to be subordinated. The aim of secular power is the happiness of the state, to which man is directed by moral virtues. The Roman Pope has to deal with kings and princes as an architect to craftsmen. He knows the rules, but they are proficient in execution. The fisherman, who is the prince of the apostles, sanctifies kings, guides the world by laws, commands the powers, opens and closes heaven, as St. Augustine says.

The grazing of sheep itself demands care for earthly help for its subjects. Resisting opponents and guiding the stray requires temporal power. Every office must have a range of goods in order to be properly performed. The highest papal office is also crowned by temporal authority. In order to consider all sins, not only against faith and the sacraments, but also against secular goods, the Pope must have the appropriate authority. As a physician, he must try to remove what hinders the goal. Especially the sins of injustice to others demand a judgment of temporal power. All disputes within the Church should be reported to him and resolved by the church men. The criminal censures of church judges are different from those of lay judges. The Pope, by his jurisdiction in temporal matters, may not only admonish the lay rulers, but also rebuke them, or even deprive them of their dignity. Pope Zachariah took down the Frank King and put Pepin in his place. Although it is not up to the Pope to approve any king who, with the consent of the people, takes over the reign, nevertheless, the pope can not only dismiss any such king because of heresy or schism, but also because of his ineptitude or powerlessness in ruling the kingdom, especially when this ineptitude would endanger the realm of the faithful. He should be taken off by a higher than himself, and the highest in spiritual matters is the **Pope**. Also, the **King's transgressions** should be **judged**, and the **office** of the **Pope** should reprimand every Christian for the deadly sin. The cursed by the Pope cannot hold public office, and

schismatics are to be stripped of their knighthood belt. Pope Innocent IV gave the prodigal and wasteful king of Portugal an assistant and forbade him to rule the country. The Roman Pope, in his temporal jurisdiction, may exempt the subjects from the oath of loyalty to a king who persists in obstinacy.

The Pope's earthly jurisdiction comes from the fact that he has both swords. Christ tells Peter "put your sword into the scabbard," which St. Bernard explains that the sword was St. Peter's. It cannot be wielded by his hand, but belongs to him. The spiritual sword is used by the hand of the priest, the material sword by the hand of the soldier, but at the nod of the priest and by order of the emperor. "Here are two swords," said the apostles (Luke 22:38). They are arranged, that is, one is underneath the other, temporal power is given to spiritual power. Pope Leo IV ordered to gather the people to oppose the Saracens. Saint Gregory called on the nobility to gather knights against the enemy. Saint Thomas writes that priests have the right to dispose of a material sword, although not to use it directly. This is especially true in case of infidels, heretics, schismatics and tyrants.

The Pope's temporal jurisdictional power has a more noble and sublime character than the secular power. It is up to him to clarify, define and judge doubts about people's personal actions. "You will find that the words of your judges are different, you will come to the priests, and they will tell you the true judgment" (Deuteronomy 17:8-12). The Roman Pope has temporal jurisdiction properly attached to spiritual jurisdiction in case of obvious need to defend the faith against heretics or a heathen invasion. He may require tithes from individual believers, but according to proper measure, so that some are not burdened more than others to help the common need of the Church. He may also punish the **reluctant**. This is because of the **Christian duty** to **honor father** and mother, which is **not** only to show respect, but also to provide what is necessary, and if necessary, to spend all of the earthly things for their rescue. If this applies to the **bodily father** and mother, all the more so to the **spiritual**, namely, Christ and His deputy and the mother Church. It also applies to the needs of the apostolic state and the persons in its service. Moreover, the Pope, by virtue of his temporal jurisdiction, has a right to secular honors and dignity. If secular rulers neglect to administer justice to the subjects of those who suffer injustice, he can complement and administer justice to the subjects of these lords. If the secular court neglects to administer justice, the ecclesiastical judge can join in the secular jurisdiction. Another title to the Pope's temporal jurisdiction is justified by the fact that he transferred the Roman Empire from the Greeks to the Germans and granted the right to elect a king to certain rulers. When the empire is orphaned, the Pope has temporal jurisdiction. He can also take away the dominion or any right of superiority over the faithful, which the infidels have, but this does not abolish the dominion and superiority of the infidels over the faithful. He may also punish the Jews exceeding the law with temporal punishment, as well as with spiritual punishment, albeit not directly. He cannot punish spiritually unbelievers, but he can impose punishment on unbelievers who have previously accepted the faith. The Pope, also in temporal matters, can declare war against unbelievers, heretics or tyrants insulting the Christian faith or appropriating ecclesiastical goods, demolishers of peace, not to kill them, but to defend the faith and free the Church and the homeland, and bring the land taken by the unbelievers to Christ. He can also give great indulgences to the holder of the weapon in a fair and just manner.

Accusations against the temporal power of the Pope most often follow the line of opposing the heavenly and earthly kingdoms as corporeal and spiritual. Christ entrusted Peter with the keys of the kingdom of heaven, not the kingdom of earth. As a man, Christ did not want to have power and dominion in temporal matters, "The man, who made me a judge or mediator over you". (Luke 12:13-14), hence his deputy cannot have them either. "When he knew that they were to come to make him king, he himself removed himself to the mountain." (Jn. 6:15). Besides, power and offices should be

unmixed in one person, otherwise confusion will arise. Christ has divided the different dignities into the offices of both authorities. "Give to the emperor what is imperial and to God what is divine." (Matthew 22:21), it seems to distinguish between spiritual and temporal jurisdiction and those who fall to them. In serving God, the Pope should not become involved in secular matters. "If you want to be perfect, go sell what you have and give it to the poor and follow me". (Matt. 19:21). The answer to these allegations presupposes the existence of two authorities, but they are interconnected so that the spiritual is above the temporal, as is the soul above the body. The answer to the keys of the kingdom of earth is based on the keys of the kingdom of heaven, which contain the power of earthly jurisdiction. Christ had royal authority on earth, but the supreme work for which He came was to teach about His life and our redemption. He gave himself completely to this one, pushing aside smaller matters that could be dealt with by others. St. Ambrose explains the phrase, "My kingdom is not of this world" in the sense of avoiding temporal matters, because he descended for heavenly ones. The phrase, "Who made me judge over you," indicates the God of peace and unity, not objection. Saint **Thomas** explains why **Christ** did **not want** him to be called **king**. First, it would have been an **insult** to his dignity if He had accepted the kingdom from man. Second, He would have harmed His doctrine if He had received glory and power from men, for everything in it is to be attributed to the power of God. Third, He taught us to despise worldly dignity. Christ's kingdom is not of this world in the sense that it does not come from the worldly election or from human inheritance. His power and the seriousness by which he is king is not of this world. In Christ there was the power and seriousness of temporal jurisdiction, although he used it little, but in the temple, when he banished the sellers, he used that power (John 2:15). In the beginning, when there were few believers, Peter had little use of the power of jurisdiction. But when the faithful multiplied, Peter's successors used jurisdiction when they thought it was necessary. Spiritual and temporal jurisdiction, though different, are not opposites, so they can exist in one entity. One supports the other. Otherwise no ecclesiastical person could have temporal jurisdiction, neither a castle nor a court, which seems ridiculous. In the Old Covenant, the priesthood was subject to the king by God's will. In the New Law, priests were to be freed from the judgment of temporal things. When the king and priest came, in the order of Melchizedek, he brought the priesthood of the law of nature into the liberty of the priesthood, where in one and the same person both dignities can abide. A state of perfection according to St. Thomas can exist without renunciation of property. It is a matter of preparing the spirit to be ready, if necessary, to leave or give away everything. In Christ there were both jurisdictions, and he was the most perfect.

#### **BOOK THREE: ABOUT THE COUNCIL**

The name "council" has three meanings. First of all, it is called a meeting from sitting together, a consilium with a change from d to I considium. The meeting is attended by eminent people who are serious and mature, so the synod can be translated as a society. The name of the concilium is taken from Roman custom, because when they were settling matters, they would all come together and discuss things together. Secondly, the concilium is called from a common idea, a communi intentione, to one thing all eyes of the mind are directed. The eyelids, cilia are called blinking eye shields. So the

council is called the *concilium*, because everyone in the council gathers together to agree on one thing, directing towards one. Therefore, the synod is called from son, that is together and hodos, which means way. They all strive for one goal. Coetus is to come together to go together coeundo, or to come together conveniendo. Thirdly, the council is called consulendo. The Council meeting consilium is called by antonomasia. Wise men are called to advise on what to do. "Hezekiah has sent letters to all Israel and Judah to come to the Lord's house... And having made a meeting, the king and princes have decided." (2 Chron. 39:1-2). There are four reasons for the Council's definition. The material reason from which the **body** of the council is formed is the **gathering** of **people** of **reason**. It is only the nature of reason that makes thinking and seeking, animals are driven by nature, not by deliberation. Cicero says that this is the principle of doing or not doing something by gathering wise people. Prudence is the principle of doing the right thing, so the consilium belongs to prudence. "Stand in the gathering of prudent old men and join with the heart in their wisdom." (Sir. 6:35). The effective cause for the Council is public seriousness, the authority to consider what concerns the public. The formal cause is a common concept. If the participants disagree with each other, they do not constitute a council. Fourthly, the final cause is the deliberate consideration of things necessary or useful to the general public.

The principle of councils in the Church comes from the fathers in the Old Covenant and from the apostles in the New one. "The ancestors of the congregation, whose council was called by name in time." (Numb. 16:2). In the tradition of the Fathers, it is said that the apostles have repeatedly gathered for the forming of the nascent Church. This was the first time for the election of Matthew. It must be understood here that **not** only the **apostles participated** in the synod assembly, but also others, defined by the expression "disciples of the Lord". The second Synod of Apostles was held for the election of deacons. The third Synod of Apostles was held in Jerusalem when Samaria accepted the word of God and sent Peter and John there for the reception of the Holy Spirit. The fourth Synod was convened when the faithful people were strengthened day by day by apostolic proclamation. There, for the first time, the disciples were called Christians, because before that they were more generally referred to as "disciples". At that synod were the apostles Paul and Barnabas. The fifth synod was celebrated for the issue of observing the Law (Acts 15:5-6). It was an important synod in the matter of faith, although only four apostles Peter, Paul, Barnabas and Jacob came to it. The sixth synod was in Jerusalem because of the suspicions of some Jews who thought that Paul rejected the rites of the Law as idolatry. Only James the Bishop of Jerusalem and Paul and the elders in Jerusalem participated. The seventh Synod was gathered under Paul's leadership at Miletus. The elders of the Church, or Ephesus priests, whom Paul calls bishops, gathered. The eighth synod, which the apostles held, was the one on which they issued the Symbol of faith. Then they were to separate from each other, so that, according to the Lord's commandment, each one would go to the individual nations. They wanted to give the believers the rule of faith that the universal Church had kept. Clement, the disciple and successor of the apostles, referred to it. The Ordinary Glossa contains only four synods held by the Apostles: for the choice of the Apostle in place of Judas, the seven deacons, not to circumcise, and not to prohibit the Jews at the original time from participating in the rites of the Old Law, where necessary.

### Types of synods

There are three different types of church councils in the Doctors teaching. They are universal, provincial and episcopal. The universal council, sometimes called general, is the one from the side of the called, because bishops of the whole Christian world are called, and it is headed by the Pope or his legate. The General Council is formed from five patriarchal capitals: Constantinople, Alexandria, Antioch, Jerusalem and Rome. The first such council was the council presided over by the apostles

under St. Peter. The second way of making the council commonplace is the seriousness of the president, who can establish the law and command it to all the faithful. A council is called universal if it is presided over by the Pope, the head of the whole Church, or entrusted to his legate, having called the prelates of the Church for the affairs of all the faithful. Many councils were held by the Pope only with Italian bishops. Many others did not have prelates from all over the world, and were not even summoned, but only from the Roman patriarchy. Nevertheless, they were called to be truly universal because of the seriousness of the Roman Pope. The form of this council took the pattern of the second council of apostles, where it is read that St. Peter was present, and of the other apostles only Paul, James and Barnabas. At a time when the splendor of the Catholic faith has passed in the eastern part of the world, the clarity of the Christian religion continues in the Roman patriarchy and this is where all those called from all over the world to the council come together. The Council is universal when it is Catholic, i.e. it has not departed from the unity of faith. The second type of council is called provincial because it concerns one or more provinces with a designated papal legate or presided over by a patriarch, primate, metropolitan. This type of synod was celebrated by Paul at Miletus, calling the elders of the land of Ephesus. The third type is the bishop's synod, which is held by the bishop in his diocese with the subordinate clergy. Such a synod was conducted by St. James in Jerusalem with the **elders** in order to avert **suspicion** against **Paul**.

The convention of the councils began with Emperor Constantine. Earlier councils could not be convened because of persecution and therefore Christianity was torn apart by various heresies. However, when we think of general councils because of their seriousness, they were certainly held before Constantine, already in the days of the apostles. Under Pope Victor it was decided when to celebrate Easter. During Pope Cornelius' time, two synods were held against Novat, who denied that the fallen could be saved by repentance. During the time of Pope Dionysus there were two famous synods against Paul of Samosata.

The **General** Council Assembly is **different** from any **other** assembly. **First**, because its **matter** is the **bishops** for their exceptional **dignity** and seriousness. It is **not** necessary to call upon **all superiors**, but only the **higher prelates**. The **effective** cause of the Council is the **seriousness** of the **Pope**. It **differs** from the **patriarchal** or provincial synods held **temporarily** under a **general law**. The **formal** cause includes a common **intention** and finally a **final** cause to consider **something** in the Christian **religion** that would be **useful** and salutary for the **whole Church**. Lastly, the **Council** must finally take **place solemnly**, which **distinguishes** it from the **consistories** held at the Roman **Curia**.

It is very important to call the Council by the seriousness of the Pope. Because without this judge, no general judgment is valid. This is confirmed by Pope Pelagius, who rejects the possibility for Bishop Constantinople John to convene the Council. If someone else could call the Council, schisms would easily be born in the Church of God. Some people accuse that many councils have been convened by emperors in the Church. Besides, if the Church was in danger, which cannot be resolved except by a council and the Pope would not want to convene it, it would be wrong not to be able to convene such a council. This is especially true when the Pope becomes a heretic or the Holy See vacates. The hypothetical situation of the death of the Pope and all cardinals is given. It is answered that the emperors did not convene councils without the consent of the popes. They were executors of their will rather than acting of their own seriousness. The Holy See could not act freely in the first centuries. As for the danger that only the council can remedy, it seems impossible, especially since various military rulers may interfere with the council's proceedings, and Christ has promised to be with the faithful until the end of the world. According to the testimony of the Fathers, the Pope should be addressed in difficult matters. If the Pope himself were to become a threat to the Church, then it would be up to the cardinals to call the Council. In the matter of the Pope's heresy, the cardinals

should ask him to convene a council. If he did not want to do so, the emperor or other Christian rulers could do it. The prelates themselves should come together to examine the matter and ask the Pope for legitimacy, as Pope Symmachus did. The question as to whose seriousness this will be done is the seriousness of God's and human law. God's law stems from the doctrine of the Gospel, and human law from canon law. If the Pope would accept and consider in conscience the admonition of the Council, he should remedy the evil with salutary penance. If he does not want to convert and persist in error, the cardinals are to leave him and then report to the prelates of the Church to come and help the Church in this terrible case. The assembled council should seriously proceed with the deposition of the Pope ex officio or rather explain that he is no longer the Pope and the see is orphaned. If the Pope falls into madness and cannot perform the acts of office, the cardinals should invite the prelates to advise on what to do. Whether to choose someone else, because the Church is orphaned, or to give him a helper.

About the reasons for the gathering of the Councils, which are always serious and difficult. It is about making **sure** that the **council** is always **mature** and healthy, especially in the most **important** things. The fathers here point to the matter of faith, because erring in it is most dangerous. Where faith is concerned, there the Pope should also ask the Council of Bishops. In order to resolve difficult matters, it is necessary to examine the books of the Old and New Covenant, especially the Gospels and the writings of the Apostles, as well as the Greek writings and the history of the Church written by Catholic doctors. In turn, it is necessary to mention the examples of saints, and finally to gather the elders of the provinces and ask them. Secondly, the Councils were gathered to reject heresy and condemn heretics in a more solemn and serious manner. In this way a greater awareness arises of the avoidance of a given mistake that has practitioners and supporters in different parts of the world. In this way Arius, Macedonius, Nestorius, Eutyches, Theodore, Macarius, iconoclasts, Phocius were condemned. The Council resolved the dispute over the election of the Pope between Benedict XIII, John XXIII and Gregory XII. Fourthly, the councils tried to convert, i.e. convince heretics by way of a dispute, to discuss the **Law** with the **Jews**. The Council in **Basel** discussed with the **Czechs** about their **mistakes**. The Council of Florence led to a union with the Eastern Churches. Fifthly, councils are held when the Church has great and powerful enemies, especially emperors and kings. Also in order to prevent persecution of the Church in different parts of the world. Pope Gregory VII gathered the Synod and 110 bishops against Emperor Henry III and cursed him. Innocent IV at the Council of Lyons laid down Emperor Frederick II from the empire and declared him an enemy of the Church. Sixthly, the council gathers to pray for heavenly enlightenment in the difficult affairs of the Church. Synods were also gathered for a fuller acceptance of the decisions made at previous councils. The Synods dealt with the suspicion of heresy about the Roman Pope. Concerned about their good name, the popes organized synods to cleanse themselves from public defamation. The councils were held for the solemn and universal restoration of the Church. Even if the Pope can do this himself, there will be greater consensus and advice from others at the synod, which will add to the seriousness of the reform. This is how the *corpus* iuris canonici was mostly **created**.

About the **benefit** of the **council**. It helps to **preserve** the Christian **religion** in **unity** of faith. For a sound **faith** is openly **proclaimed** to the **whole world**, and for the **future** all **doubts** are removed. The stronger and more **serious** the **judgment** is, by the more **numerous judges** it is strengthened and confirmed. The **Councils** are useful for the **cultivation** of the Lord's **field**, for the fathers gathered in the council are **farmers** planting the **faith** and taking **away** the roots of **vices**. They cultivate with the **hoe** of God's **commandments**, removing **poisonous** plants and bringing the sickle of **judgment**. The Council's meetings **humiliate** the **pride** of **tyrants** and disbelievers, because the **Church** of God is then as huge as an **orderly army** (SOS. 6:9). The army is made up of **doctors** and fathers of the Church as if they were **fighters** of Christ. The synods contribute to **curbing** the **misdemeanors** of some **popes** and all the

**scandals** that arise in the Church. However, the condition is the **canonical proceedings** during the council, **otherwise** such a council should be **suspended**.

General Councils should be convened and supported by the Roman Pope. However, it is neither necessary nor always appropriate for him to participate in them personally. It is sufficient that he sends legates. In none of the eight general councils has the Roman Pontiff personally been present. His presence could have been a source of danger to him from tyrants. Secondly, the Pope might not have acted with full freedom in approving the council's judgments and canons, and thirdly, his **absence** was due to the **need** to **defend** the city of **Rome** itself. So who should be **called** to the Council? Certainly the higher prelates, the bishops. There were 318 bishops in Nice, 150 in Constantinople, 200 in Ephesus, 500 in Chalcedon. Pope Marcellus calls the general council the Council of Bishops. They are established as princes over the whole earth (Ps. 44:17). They also have the judicial power entrusted to them by Christ to settle matters as successors to the apostles, binding and resolving. Abbots and lower prelates do not have to be summoned to the council and are not obliged to come to it, except for a special reason. All the less is the case with the General Council, otherwise they would prevail over the bishops because of their greater numbers. The higher prelates, according to theologians, have higher guardian angels and enlighteners, and so their judgments should have greater seriousness and more complete truth. There are numerous accusations of this, saying that both the pastors and the people should participate in what concerns everyone. The Council gathers together to put something authoritatively in the Church. However, the decision must be preceded by a mature consultation, and that is why two voices in the council stand out: the advisory voice and the conclusive voice. The **first** one belongs to those who **prevail** over **prudence** and the **second** to those who hold the power of the keys. The bishops belong to both these groups. Others can also be invited to the Council as a consultative voice, masters and doctors, both lay and religious. The Pope could give some lower superiors the appropriate seriousness or a voice on an equal footing with the bishops, which may concern abbots, but cannot be customary. The people and the Roman clergy who participated in the **Synods** were rather there by the mere **presence** to give **voice** to the Holy **See** with greater **solemnity**. It is not necessary that all the faithful should be present for the universal Council to represent the universal **Church**. It is **enough** to have the **presence** of the **higher** prelates who imagine the **whole**. The signatures of the emperors and other priests on the Council decrees do not prove that they give judgment, but only accept what has been proclaimed.

Surely heretics are not allowed in the Council. One can allow someone who has been accused of a crime to account for himself or to ask for forgiveness. The presence of other people depends on the way the council is held, which is decided by the Pope. It does not always have to be an imitation of the order and form preserved by the apostles. In principle, all patriarchs and primates should be invited to choose the bishops accompanying them as they see fit. Patriarchal capitals are like senses in the human body. But this has not always been possible. Especially at the Eastern Councils there were very few Latin representatives, except for the Pope's legates. In the West, on the other hand, the Pope used to hold a council with bishops, whom he could gather. After all, even all the apostles were not at synodal congregations. There has never been a council where all the bishops of the world would come together in person. The Pope can be called the universal, apostolic, ecumenical Pope, as Gregory the Great testifies, but only because of humility did not use it.

The **prelates** called to the councils are **required** to have **wisdom**, holiness of life, **experience** and **zeal** for the good of the community of believers. **Great** things are **not** done by **force**, but by **advice** and **seriousness**. Those who **lead** others must have the **light** of learned **clarity**. Further, they should abound in the **holiness** of life, so that their **congregation** may actually be called the congregation of the **holy** fathers. Especially when it comes to the **repair** of the Church, they must **not** themselves be deficient

and despise temporal goods. Then they should have the experience to advise, and so the advice of young people is rather rejected. Finally, they should have the zeal to repair customs, to chastise defects, and to increase the honor of God. The prelates who refuse to participate in the Synods, and are invited, should be wisely punished, unless there is an impediment in the form of illness or a royal order. Various penalties may be imposed upon them up to their removal from office. If they were prevented from coming to the beginning of the meeting, they may be late.

The **Council** itself may experience **obstacles** in its **course**. Those who **interfere** with the **convocation** and the course of the council are worthy of great **punishment**. If the council was to **remove** the **schism**, the **obstructing** ones should be considered **favorable** to it. The **same** is true of the councils for overcoming **heresy**.

The council should be presided over by the pope or his legate, as Peter presided over in apostolic times. It is not only a matter of honorary chairmanship, but also of authoritative one, as the head presides over the body, not only in position, but in management and seriousness. The Pope is the most outstanding of all priests and their ruler. In his place, he can appoint both the prelates of the higher order as well as abbots, archdeacons or presbyters. It is alleged that Peter himself did not chair all the councils. The Basel Council did not have a chairman at the beginning. After all, the Holy Spirit himself presides over the councils. The Pope's chairmanship takes away the authority of the Council and its form of **freedom** to advise, because the **Pope** himself can do **anything**. From the transmission of traditions and the Acts of the Apostles, it appears that Peter, however, was at all the congregations of the apostles. The Basel Council was disgraceful and no justification should be drawn from it, although it also had a legate in the form of Cardinal Cesarini, who at first was busy with the Bohemians, but had a **deputy**. The Holy **Spirit's chairmanship** does **not** take away the **need** for **earthly** leadership. Besides, at the Second Ephesus Council deserving of condemnation, it must be assumed that it was rather the evil spirit who presided, although the fathers boasted that the Holy Spirit sits with them. The Spirit's accompaniment is not the guide of the congregation. The passage "where two or three are gathered in My name" cannot be invoked here, because it is the seriousness of the Roman Pope that makes this name.

The prelates should come down to hold the Council in robes appropriate to their dignity. The metropolitan in the pallium and the bishop in the white miter, because this is the custom towards papal legates. The first place is reserved for the Pope, the second for the Cardinal of Ostia, because he consecrates the Pope, the third for Constantinople, the fourth for Alexandria, the fifth for Antioch, the sixth for Jerusalem. Then the cardinals, archbishops and bishops, abbots according to their ordination time. Prayers to the Holy Spirit follow, because from him come two essential elements of the Council, namely enlightenment and unanimity. The Holy Spirit is to remind us of everything Christ said. Then the word of God must be preached about what the council is about. Christ, when He gathered His disciples, always taught them.

What must be avoided at councils? First of all, evil will, or iniquity. Everything must be directed to the glory of God. God who is to be glorified in the council of saints (Psalm 88:8). Otherwise the council will resemble the council of elders, which condemned Christ, persecuted the apostles and led to the stoning of Stephen. Secondly, it is necessary to care for the common good and not to settle private matters under the guise of religion. Plato gave two commandments to those who support the common good: to care for the benefit of the people, not for one's own good, and to consider the whole body, not just part of it. Third, fear of telling the truth must be averted. Then vain glory and waste of time, entertainment, persistent disputes, sluggish chastisement of past guilt, reliance on dreams, uncertain revelations or visions, putting minor matters before great ones, recklessness and haste in judgment, compulsion.

All the seriousness of the universal councils comes from the Roman Pope. He was established to feed the sheep. The councils have no direct jurisdiction over all the faithful from Christ. Nowhere in the Scriptures do we read that Christ established councils, though several were held by the apostles. If the council had equal authority with the Pope, there would be two authorities and two heads in the Church. This would be a threat to unity. Saint Cyprian says: "God is one and Christ is one, and the Church is one, and the Chair is one." The Council also falls under the words of Christ to Peter "feed my sheep". In the **Old** Testament, the **disputation** of doubt was **attributed** to a **meeting** of priests, but the judgment was given by one, the high priest. We do not read in the Scriptures that power should be given to the apostles together without Peter. To invoke the word "where two or three gather together in my name," does not in any way justify the authority of jurisdiction, otherwise all the faithful would have some jurisdiction, which is Waldenses' heresy. Everything that is judged, defined or explained in the **councils** is therefore done with the **seriousness** of the **Roman** Pope. What **others** do, they do by a provenance or by granting his authority. Everything that is done in matters of faith, the removal of schism or the renewal of the Church is essentially done by the Pope authoritatively, although the other fathers cooperate, advising and agreeing. The fathers at the general councils, before resolving matters of faith, expected a decree from the Holy See. The councils always asked the Roman Pope to confirm their actions. It was only then that the laws took on power and seriousness. Against this it is said that the Council in its own name cursed heretics and proclaimed canons. Also, the signatures of all the papal legates were equally valid with the council fathers. Some of the signatures, especially the papal ones, however, contain a note, " when deciding I signed", which indicates a different character from the signatures of **bishops**. If the **secondary** causes do have some **effect** with the **first** cause, it does **not** follow that they **do** so with **equal** power.

The Council should not be involved in resolving matters which the Pope has not recommended, otherwise there would be two tribunals in the Church. The councils did not form ecclesiastical metropolises or provinces or assign dignities. It is not allowed to appeal from the Roman Pope to the General Council, but it is allowed to do the opposite in case the Holy See has not yet approved the decrees of the Council. After all, there is no appeal from the Supreme Judge. The Roman Church is the mother of all Churches, and the Synod is a congregation of sons. The Council has the authority to judge not from itself, but from the Pope. At the Second Ephesus Council, the Pope's legates appealed, which is why Pope Leo convened the Council of Chalcedon to correct the Ephesus. The General Council has no jurisdiction over the Roman Pope except in the case of heresy. The Pope is not bound by any rights of the Church under duress. The power of the Council and the Pope is no greater than that of the **Pope himself. Obedience** of the **pope** to the **canons** is based on **seriousness**, **not necessity**. The Council cannot legislate for the Pope or change his decrees or constitutions. It is also up to the Pope to interpret holy canons and to clarify any doubts. Scientific interpretation belongs to doctors and its certainty is based on the principle of authority and probability. The second type of interpretation authoritative - is not due to the way in which it is understood, but to the seriousness of the interpreter. Not everyone has the right to use this one, but this belongs to the legislator. How many times, therefore, a doubt arises about the General Council, one should turn to the Holy See for understanding. The Roman Pope also dispenses from the holy canons. Human actions are very different under different circumstances, so there must be some moderation in every authority. The power of dispensation exists for the benefit and peace of the faithful. However, only the legislator has the right to dispense. It is up to the Pope to measure the council canons. Otherwise there would be **no ecclesiastical punishment** if the Pope had **no power** over the **canons**. He also has the power to abolish some of the statutes of both holy Councils and his predecessors. Saint Thomas reaffirms that all decisions of the holy Fathers, which are of positive law, are left to the disposal of the Pope to change or dispense them, according to the needs of the times or matters. Aristotle claims that virtue is in the middle, and therefore, in action in some circumstances, something is more appropriate than in others. This does not, of course, apply to the law of God or Christ, from which one cannot even dispense. The same goes for articles of faith, sacraments, laws of nature or the Decalogue. From others the Pope may dispense, but he cannot abolish them. This is the apostolic law, which is in the canon or was established by the four general councils. For some of the apostles' laws were to last forever, although they could be dispensed from. This is about allowing a twice married man or a killer to be ordained. The Pope can dispense of or even abolish the decisions of his predecessors. The same applies to the Councils, except, of course, for articles of faith and the general state of the Church. The general state of the Church includes her system, God's commandments, the law of nature and the sacraments. But there are laws that the Council Fathers unanimously establish as positive laws, and these can be changed. The temporal law, although it is just, can rightly change over time.

The universal council cannot err in what belongs to faith. Here we understand, of course, the Council together with its head, the Pope. The final judgment about difficult and obscure things is on the universal council. It is guided by the Spirit of truth. If the councils could err, we wouldn't have anything definite by them. The symbol of Constantinople would be questionable, which is ridiculous. Besides, if the council's decrees were not certain, they could not be valid under the penalty of a curse. If the council were to err, the universal Church would err. What's about faith can't be flawed. The judgment of the faith must be certain. The fathers have always made sure that nothing erroneous enters into the matter of faith, and therefore they have acted against every heresy especially during the Councils. Therefore, when they express themselves definitively, no one is allowed other to teach or express themselves with certainty. Synods and councils without the participation of the Holy See can err in the faith. Such were the Second Ephesus, in Rimini, Aquileia, Aachen, Basel.

The accusations against the infallibility of the Council concern the fact that it is only a part of the Church, and does not continue forever, but can be dissolved, members can err in faith before they come down to the Council. The Pope himself, who is above the Council, can err in the faith. Examples are given of councils that have gone astray; earlier councils were corrected by later ones. The answer to these allegations is based on the seriousness of the Holy See, which remains in authority at the council or approves it. The Council, although called by the people, does not err in faith, because there is universal agreement of all in its decrees. Individuals may err individually, but in the joint judgment of the Church in what belongs to the faith, they do not err by the ordinance of God. The councils and synods that were mistaken did not have papal approval, so they were not settled by the seriousness of the universal Church. Besides, they are **not infallible** in matters **not** concerning **faith**. Some have invoked the argument that the apostles during Christ's Passion have abandoned his faith, to prove that the Church could also abandon his faith. In the full sense of the word, the apostles did not fall away from perfect faith. Indeed, they did deviate from Christ, and their eyes were dimmed as the disciples going to Emmaus, which shows the **blindness** of heart, but **after** the **Passion** the Apostles returned. They saw Christ as defeated and powerless, that is, they lost light. They looked at Christ only as if he were a man, despite his great miracles. Their error helped us. But the Church survived in the Blessed Virgin alone, through whom the faithful were instructed and enlightened. In the very beginning, the Church was in Abel alone. Peter was not yet shepherd of the Church at the time, and the other apostles did not have episcopal jurisdiction. They did not betray collegially, by betrayal that came out of general agreement, but as individuals. Can they be called heretics because of their abandonment of Christ? Heresies are not only a mistake of mind, but also stubbornness as a formal principle, which was **not** the case with the **apostles**. For they did **not oppose faith** in the **resurrection** or defend their unbelief. Therefore they may only be called **unbelievers**, but **not** considered **heretics**. We have three kinds of unbelief. The first is based on a faith not yet accepted, and this is the unfaithfulness of the Gentiles; the second is based on a faith accepted in the type, and this is the unfaithfulness of the Jews; the third is based on unfaithfulness in the very revelation of the truth. The apostles were not unfaithful during the Passion of Christ in any of the above kinds. The first kind of disbelief is due to a simple denial, because you have no faith, and this kind of disbelief is not sin, but a punishment resulting from ignorance of God's things after original sin. The second type of unfaithfulness is opposed to listening to faith, to despising it, and this is the essence of unbelief. The apostles do not fall under the first form of unbelief, because they have heard much about faith from Christ, and even professed it themselves. St. Augustine says that the apostles were baptized by Christ and therefore had faith. Nor can they be called unbelievers in the second way, because they did not oppose the faith by holding or persistently defending the opposite. They are, therefore, affected by the third way of disbelief, which is an intermediate form between the two, doubt.

In the case of **councils** that have been **held** for the **corruption** of faith or the **demolition** of the whole Church, their rejection and annulment belongs to the Roman Pontiff. This was done by Pope Damasus with the Synod of Rimini and Pope Leo with the Second Council of Ephesus. If the councils differ from one another, the universal councils must be referred to. One must not rely only on the number of bishops gathered. If the discrepancy concerns positive law or ecclesiastical discipline, adherence to later ones is necessary, because the matter of these matters is changeable. The Holy Fathers did not always speak through the Holy Spirit and could speak some apparent contradictions, especially as to circumstances or grounds. If the difference is between the Pope and the Council, one should always insist on the Pope's sentence, if it is expressed beforehand. If it is not specified, it can be considered and determined by the Council and then submitted to the Pope's judgment. In uncertain matters, the Pope should ask the Council of Bishops when it comes to faith. In this sense, the council is higher than the pope, because one man is more easily prided oneself when he submits his judgment to the whole assembly. In this we have an example in St. Peter, who agreed with Paul's reasoning. Although it is also possible that the Pope will think in some matter better than all others. For one can also oppose everyone if he has a reasonable cause. Therefore, in a disputed situation between the Council and the Pope, nothing should be decided until the Pope and the fathers agree on one settlement. As far as positive law is concerned, the acceptance of the opinion of the Pope or the Council should follow the line of the appropriateness of religion, discipline, the aid of salvation. Whoever will be more supportive of this should be listened to more. If there is a difference of opinion between the Council Fathers themselves, should one always follow the majority. The answer is negative, because the matter of dispute should be studied. In the erroneous councils of Rimini and Ephesus, the majority followed the false ones. The Jews also condemned Christ by a majority. When both options are probable, then you must justify them by a majority. It is reprehensible to oppose the will of the majority because of ignorance or the willingness to dispute, but if there is a proper reason, even fewer should oppose. The Pope, when convening the Council, should determine the matter to be dealt with.

The **Pope** can, in his seriousness, when there is a **legal cause**, **move** the **Council** from place to **place**. This has happened in the **past** because of **plague**, the nuisance of **wars**. When there is a **reasonable** cause, the Pope can also **dissolve** the **Council**, even **against** its **will**. This has been the case with councils that are **not** properly **held**, **plotting evil**, falling into **tyranny**, favoring the **enemies** of the **Roman** Church.

#### **BOOK FOUR: ABOUT SCHISM AND HERESY**

#### About the schism

Schism means a split. It can be understood in a strict or less strict sense. In general, it means the **separation** of **souls** or the separation of the **permitted** from the **prohibited**. The **miracle** performed by Christ on the blind from birth introduced a split between the Jews (John 9:16). In the second sense, schism is any illicit dispute, a departure from unity and the whole. St. Thomas says that schisms occur because of different faiths or different views on actions. Generally speaking, schism is an unlawful separation from the unity of the Church. A generic difference is disobedience to the high priest and judge on earth. Not every disobedience is schism; it must be done with obstinacy. Schismatics, therefore, are those who do not want to be subject to the Pope and refuse to participate with the members of the Church who are subject to him. The schism was caused by Dathan and Abiron, who did not wish to be subject to Moses. Ten generations of Israel also despised King Jeroboam and established another. It is therefore schism not to have the Roman Church as the head. Saint Paul warns us **not** to **have** a **split** in the **body** (1 Corinthians 12:25). However, this should **not** mean that the Church as such is divided or splits into many churches. The schism is about moving away from the unity of the Church. Some believers separate themselves by disobedience with a certain rebellion and do not want to submit to the judgment of the Pope. A comparison with Christ's tunic, which was all woven, does not mean that some people will not fall away from the Church. The sinner is not automatically a schismatic because sin in his case is a choice of the variable good and is not intended to break away from the Church. Also whoever transgresses the canons does not automatically separate himself, because it is about disobedience with rebellion. Similarly, this is not the case with the **curse**.

Some see the main unity of the Church only in Christ, not in the Pope. Indeed, a distinction is made between the internal influence on the members of the Church's body, and the external influence regarding leadership. In two ways, then, we can consider the unity of the Church's body in relation to Christ, who is the head, and to the Pope, who presides over the whole Church in place of Christ. The unity with the Vicar of Christ on earth is not called the main one so that after the death of the Pope the Church still remains one. But whoever breaks the unity with the ruler of the Church destroys her order. Christ himself asked the apostles when other disciples were leaving him: "Do you also want to leave? (Jn. 6:68). St. Peter, on whom the Church was built, proclaims that even though the stubborn and proud multitude of **disobedient** people have gone **away**, the **Church** will **not go** away from Christ. He who is **not with** the **Bishop**, therefore, is **not** in the **Church**. For **Christ** is **not divided** and cannot be, so whoever departs from him ceases to be the Church. It is therefore not enough to be in the Church, but it is necessary to remain in the unity of the Church. "He who does not gather, disperse." (Matt. 12:30). Where the Church, there is faith, saint Hieronymus says, while at the same time adding that one no longer believes only in God but also in the Church. "Where two or three will be asking in my name", these words Saint Cyprian explains that this is not about plurality, but about unanimity, which is about **harmony** and peace.

Schism is different from heresy, just as faith and love are different virtues. He who is devoid of faith is devoid of love, but not the opposite. Heresy opposes faith, and the schism opposes the unity of ecclesiastical love. Heresy is a perverse dogma, and schism represents separation from ecclesiastical unity. In schism one enjoys the very departure from the congregation, while in heresy one thinks

differently from what the Church believes. Neither heretics nor schismatics belong to the Church. The loss of love is the way to lose faith and therefore schism is the way to heresy. There is no old schism that has not invented any heresy, as St. Hieronymus says. So the schism is rather a fresh dispute. It's about the relationship between inclination and performance, because every heretic is a schismatic.

About the gravity of the sin of schism. Augustine claims that the sacrilege of schism exceeds all crimes, and Cyprian compared it to idolatry. Schismatics are called enemies of God because the Lord exceptionally hates and even rejects the cities and sacrifices of schismatics. He says through the prophet Amos that he has in hatred "your feasts and congregations, holocausts and sacrifices". (Am 5:21-22). For God does not judge here the greatness of the sacrifices, but the merits and intentions of the offering. Saint Augustine reminds us that great almsgiving and even the shedding of blood for the name of **Christ without** the **unity** of the Church **cannot** help salvation. "He who is **not with** me is against me." (Luke 11:23). Schism turns out to be the gravest crime, because even soldiers did not dare to cut Christ's tunics, and schismatics are crueler than them because they cut the unity of the Church marked by it. Cyprian even refuse the faith in schism, because even if they keep their faith in God, they do not keep it in relation to the Church. Christ has suffered for the Church, and they are wasting this suffering and passion, so how can they believe in Christ. The burden of this crime is a hopeless weakness, because as long as one continues in the body, even as weak, one can be healed, but one who has been cut off can no longer be healed. This sin is punished not only by God, but also by the accomplices initially agreeing and imitating. Dathan and Abiron perished by the splitting of the earth under them. They were consumed by fire as a repulsive example for all. As the mystery of unity is great, so great is the doom of those who make schism. The ten tribes of Israel, which separated, were scattered and destroyed. In the Gospel, Christ treats the generations cut off from the generation of Judah and Benjamin, that is, the Samaritans, as schismatic, on a par with the Gentiles, prohibiting the apostles from coming to them (Luke 10:5). The sin of schism is more severely punished than the sin of idolatry. We see this with the example of ten generations. Nevertheless, schism is no sin more grave than unbelief. The gravity of sin can be considered in two ways according to St. Thomas: by species and by circumstances. As for the species of sin, its gravity depends on the greatness of the good it opposes. Sin against God weighs more than against others, and unbelief is sin against God, and schism against the unity of the Church, which as such is a particular good and lesser than God. Sin can become graver if contempt is added to it. Besides, schism opposes love, which is a greater virtue than faith, which is opposed to unbelief, but love has two objects: God and man. Schism opposes the good of man. The mere extent of punishment does not always correspond to the gravity of the object of sin. Sometimes it is about eradicating new sin. The nature of punishment should prevent people from committing sins. The punishments of present life are used as medicine. In this sense, the sin of schism is more pernicious because it abolishes the whole kingdom of human society.

Schismatics are **in doubt** about whether there is anything **left** of **spiritual** power. The **power** itself is **sacramental** and **jurisdictional**. The **first** is given by **consecration**, and this power is **inviolable**, also **remaining** in **schismatics** or heretics. When they **return** to the Church, they are **not consecrated** again. The **sacraments** are therefore **true** in them, as long as they are given in the **form** of the **Church**. If one of the **Fathers** says they do **not have sacraments**, he understands those who do **not maintain** the **form** of the Church. But whoever is **separated** from the **Holy** See **curses rather** than **sanctifies**. So as for the **things** of the **sacraments**, that is, the **salvific** effect, whoever **receives** the sacraments **from** them **sins**, except in **baptism** in the **face** of **necessity**, and that is why we **call** these **sacraments** false or **empty**. The authority of **jurisdiction** is given by **man's order**, so it does **not remain** in **schisms**. They **cannot** therefore **absolve**, curse, give **indulgences**. If they **did**, **nothing** would happen. The **church**, which has a heretic or **schismatic shepherd**, is understood to be **orphaned**. The **Church** puts a **curse** on **schismatics**, **prohibiting** the faithful from **consciously dealing** with them. Then they should be **put off** 

from **office** and **punished** with an **armed** arm. Because the **punishments** of this life are **medicinal**, so if **one** punishment is **not enough**, **another** is **added** to it. Like **doctors**, they use **different** medicines when one is **ineffective**. If they **separate** themselves from the community of **Church** members, they should be **cursed**. Then they **sin against** the **head** of the Church, and therefore they are **rightly deposed** from dignity, and since they do **not want** to be **punished** by the **spiritual** authority of the Church, it is just that they should be punished by **secular authority**.

Schisms in the Church have been brought about since ancient times, either by the introduction of a perverse dogma, for it contains schism, or by separation by the stubborn disobedience of the Holy See, or by the appropriation of the Holy See. The first schism was between Saint Cornelius and the presbyter of the city of Rome, Novazian, the head of heresy, who called himself the Cathar. Next was Felix, who, after the exile of Pope Liberius, wanted to take his place. The next was between Pope Damasius and Cardinal Ursyn. There were 22 schisms until Pope Eugene IV.

Ways to overcome the schism. First of all, it is necessary to consider truth and justice in order to reach the real pope. This also prevents schisms for the future, so that there appears no pressing or insolence. Often the Emperor was involved in overcoming the schism not as a church judge, but as a defender of the Church, her peace and unity. Many schisms were discontinued by the council. Besides, it is necessary to seek a way of settlement so that those arguing about the papacy agree to the mediators, promising to accept their judgment. Another way is to elect a new pope. Always one of those demanding the papacy can resign. If you are sure of the legitimacy of one and not of the other, you can use the armed way.

Can the Pope fall into schism? It seems not, because how can he separate from himself. The whole **Church** is **represented** in the **Pope**. **Others** say he **can**, just as he can fall into **heresy**. This is especially true of the situation of the election of two popes, where there is confusion that even the true one would not want to do anything that could bring about the repair of unity. Besides, the Pope may fall away from Christ by disobeying or prescribing what is contrary to God's law. The Pope by his will may not keep what the Holy See or the Council has ordered in respect to the worship of God. To remove the schism the true Pope could be urged to renounce his office, and if he did not wish to do so, he could be **compelled** to do **so**. **Reference** is made to an excerpt from the Book of **Chronicles** (1 Chron. 3:23-26), where the true mother allowed her son to be given over to the opponent rather than being split in half. Likewise, the Roman Pope should give up his dignity than allowing the body of the Church to be severed. Christ crushed himself, becoming obedient until death. A good shepherd gives his life for the sheep. If the Pope was given to the reluctant and then hated, he should rather resign. Even if the anti-popes were to threaten him with arms, and he could not be defeated in this way, the true Pope could **step down** for the unity of the Church. Many **bishops**, in the face of great **obstacles**, have given up their office without guilt, guided by holy humility. However, it is necessary to keep the stance that if the Pope did not want to step down, he could not be removed. Otherwise, such a custom would cause a great scandal in the Church, where the highest dignity of the Pope would be tyrannily deposed ex officio. For since the prelates hold the place of Christ in the Church, they must be treated with great reverence. Christ humbled himself, but he did not renounce his dignity or care for the flock entrusted to him. **Neither** was he **forced** to humble himself, but he did so **voluntarily**.

Are all the followers of the anti-pope schismatic? Some say they are not, if there is no stubbornness here, and are ready, when they know the truth, to obey to the true Pope. However, the Greeks or Armenians who do not believe that the Roman Pope is the true head of the Church would not then be schismatic. Schismatics, then, are those who are devoted to the anti-popes out of perverse ignorance, that is, they do not want to know the truth or neglect it. Only those who have not been able to fully realize the truth, and who are subjected to the antipopes of some probable reasoning,

are **free** from the **guilt** and **sin** of the schism. And the **people** who do **not** know the **law** and the fact are **free** from it. **Prelates** also, if they have a **spirit** of **obedience** to the law or to God's **revelation** as to the **true** Pope. **Schismatics returning** to the Church should **renounce** schism and promise **reparation**.

# **About heresy**

The name "heresy" is Greek. It means division, separation, which is made in the choice, so instead of heresy, the choice is said. This division is made by moving away from the whole, and the first community between people is the way of knowing, so heresy is based on an individual view against the general view. Someone follows his own personal teaching by his own choice, and not the teaching given by God. A perverse act is not heresy, for example, adultery. Heresy is against Catholic truth and concerns those who profess faith in Christ, not, for example, Gentiles and Jews. Those who do not agree with Christ and have a wicked will for the same purpose, while heretics get lost in choosing what they would agree with Christ because they follow their own mind. Heresy is therefore a species of infidelity. To argue against the Catholic truth before the Church decides is not heresy. It is a formal statement, not a material content, which will always be heretical as opposed to the unchanging truth.

Heresy, therefore, is in the mind, because faith is also a spiritual knowledge. It is different from schism, because schism is against the bond of love, and also from superstition, which is an unnecessary instruction. But heresies are said to be superstitions, because they are old, and they are obsolete, because the mind is tormented and weakened by them. The sin of heresy is the greatest sin because it opposes God himself, who is the first truth. The Apostle says that "there must be heresy among you". (1 Cor. 11:19). It is not, of course, a necessity in the sense of incapability, nor a benefit, but rather a contingent benefit. God can bring forth the good from all things, just as Christ says that "there must come scandals, but woe to the one through whom they come" (Matt. 18:7). Through heresy, the truth of faith is more clearly explained. It stimulates the Christian doctors to wake up and begin to learn. They become more alert and cautious. The faithful themselves exercise patience and gain merit by working on the conversion of heretics. The power of faith is also revealed in those who truly believe.

Theologians distinguish three types of objects of faith. The first one, in which one always believes, and never understands as history and human events. The second is when you believe, what are all human reasoning, and the third is when you first believe and then you understand, and these are the things of God. The doctrine of faith has something preceding and something following, the main one. Similarly, in other sciences there are some principles and their consequences, interdependent sentences. In the doctrine of faith, the preceding ones are those from the natural law. The principal ones are those to which the light of faith directs, and these are called articles of faith, and the following are those from which articles of faith may be derived. Heresy extends to all three kinds, and thus to **customs**, to the **faith** contained in the **Scriptures** and to the **articles** of faith. **Heresy**, however, is not the interpretation of history in various material or spiritual interpretations, especially of hexameron. Faith extends not only to articles of faith, but also to other objects. Likewise, heresy can be opposed not directly, undermining the truths inherent in the articles. Heresies therefore oppose Catholic truth. This truth is full and pure, without the admixture of any error. It is to be proclaimed everywhere and submitted to all peoples for belief. It is so different from any teaching that it comes from the source of God's unchanging truth, from the supernatural light, which is different from some general revelation. Such truths can be revealed directly by God himself, as Christ did in the Gospel, or by angels, saints, apostles or other men.

It is given clearly, in its own form of words or in a **compound form** and is to be **extracted** by **good** and necessary **resulting**. There are **seven types** of Catholic **truths**. The **first** is contained in the **canon** of

Scripture in its own verbal form according to the three kinds of object of faith, and on these our salvation depends chiefly, and must not be doubted in any way. The second type is contained in the Scriptures, but by reason of necessary and formal inference. This is, for instance, that Christ is the true God and the true man. They are necessary to believe for salvation. The third kind of truths are beyond the canon of Scripture, and only through the apostles have they reached the faithful through revelation and confirmation. Christ taught and did much, which the apostles witnessed and which is **not** in the **Scriptures**. They became a **part** of the apostolic **tradition** and were consolidated by tradition. The Holy Spirit, according to the promise of Christ, was to teach the apostles all truth (John 14:26). The fourth type of Catholic truths are those which the universal Church at the Councils has described as belonging to the faith of the Christian religion. They must be believed because the councils cannot err, for example, the canon. The fifth type of truths are those which are determined by the judgment of the Holy See, which also cannot err in faith. The sixth kind of truths are those which have been passed on by the doctors, who passed on the truths or otherwise condemned as heretical. The Church accepted them because they were always held by all the faithful by strong faith. This does not mean, however, praising everything that we find in the works of the doctors, but only what they have expressed about the true faith and condemnation of heretics. Many of the claims contained therein are of a probable nature. The seventh kind of Catholic truths consists of formal inferences from the fourth, fifth and sixth kind of truths. If the conclusion is probable, we are not dealing with a Catholic truth, but only the probable one. The eighth type of truths are not described as absolutely catholic, but they smell catholic because they are close to them. They cannot reasonably be denied, for example the truth about the election of a given pope. Heresy, which opposes Catholic truth, therefore involves many kinds, since truth itself can be of different kinds. There are also claims which are not absolutely heretical, but smell of heresy, they are close to it. So many sentences can be defined. A reckless sentence is what cannot be effectively proved by reason or seriousness. An erroneous sentence states what is wrong. An abusive sentence insults someone of the faithful or a significant person. An unfortunate sentence gives the listener an opportunity to fall, which if mitigated, would be **true**. A **rebellious** sentence brings people into division and **schism**.

Who do we call a heretic? There are many ways in general to call someone that name, especially the doubters of the faith, then the simony giver, every one cut off from the Church, denying the Scriptures, who has invented a new view that takes away the privileges of the Church of Rome, stubbornly exceeds the orders of the Holy See, does not maintain articles of faith like the Jews and Gentiles, teaches otherwise about the sacraments, does not accept the four general councils, persistently defends his perverse and evil view. In the proper sense of the word heretic, we call the one who, having accepted the Christian religion, professing a view or views contrary to the Catholic truth, stubbornly upholds and follows it. Those who reject the faith of Christ in its entirety are called apostates. It is therefore necessary to distinguish between the levels of use of the word heretic, from general to strict meaning. The stubbornness of heresy can be expressed in many ways, believing that the Christian faith is wrong or dubious, not accepting part of the New or Old Covenant, claiming that the universal Church is wrong or mistaken, denying any Catholic truth as universal, denies that a claim has been determined by the Church, denies the truth when it is challenged, fails to correct himself, tries to force someone to defend his error with orders, threats or punishments, forces someone to deny the Catholic truth, swears to preserve heretical views, impedes those defending Catholic truth or fighting against heresies, perversely refuses to submit to correction or clarification, does not revoke heretical claims, prohibits Catholic writing, is a defender of heretical perversity, inventing new mistakes in defending heretical perversity, contributes to heretical perversity with advice, cooperating, inducing or introducing given claims, having power, does not oppose heretical perversity. Those who listen to heretical views. First of all, the matter around which the doctors consider as to faith and morals. In other matter, those who are entitled to and follow it do not fall into danger of sin. But in what belongs to the Catholic faith, if a reasonable person follows a master's mistake, he cannot excuse himself from sin. In doubtful matters it is not easy to give consent, but the rules of faith should be advised. In the secondary matter of truths contained in the Scriptures, but not belonging to articles of faith, the opposite can be claimed after a master without sin, for example, that Abraham had no two sons. However, it is necessary to examine the doctor in question as to whether he is proficient in his field of study, serious morals, humble in judgment, or likes to follow the teachings of the holy Fathers more than he likes to follow his own ideas. Whoever follows the views of masters in the matter of faith who do not meet the above conditions does not seem entirely free from sin. It is necessary to weigh the number of doctors who make up both theses and follow the majority. Then you have to examine the motives and the basis on which the doctors base and uphold their views. Where there are different views and laws, one should always put forward more human, that is, more rational and kinder. Then you should talk about the quality of the audience. Not all of them are of the same ability to distinguish between conditions and differences of masters. Many of them are simple, who cling to the **teachings** of their **ancestors** with **simplicity** and believe in everything with **good faith**. They cannot be blamed if they follow the of a higher state and origin and a more capable master's view opposite to the belief. They can cling to the masters' view in two ways: either with stubbornness, or with the preparation of the spirit to maintain the opposite, if presented to them as the true by reason or dignity of the Church. Stubborn adherence to a master's view is a sin and should be considered heresy.

Each heretic is punished four times according to the canons: curse, deposition, confiscation and military persecution. The seriousness of the Scriptures dictates that all heretics, without distinction, should be avoided, not admitted to the community of the faithful, i.e. expelled or killed. Christ commands obedience to the evil prelates, but keeping the good teaching. On the other hand, a prophet who says what God did not order him to say must be killed (Deut. 18:20). "Step away from the tents of ungodly people and do not touch what belongs to them." (Num. 16:24). Salt weathered is one that is a mistake in teaching. "Many will come in my name, but do not follow them." (Matt. 24:24.26). "Whoever tells another gospel, from the one you have received, may he be cursed". (Gal. 1:9). So if the prelates become heretics, they should be avoided, and they are deprived of their superiority. This is confirmed by the Church Fathers: Cyprian, Ambrose. Whoever falls into a once condemned heresy gets himself entangled in its condemnation. In this case too, the Pope can bind another Pope, says Gelasius. The cursed cannot curse. Also by the seriousness of reasoning the heretic's loss of office is justified. For he who abandons the rock of faith abandons all the honor of superiority in the Church. When the **foundation** is **removed**, what has been **built** on it must **collapse**. The Pope's power remains constant as long as he relies on Christ, and this is done by faith. Peter accepted the keys, which mean the Church, so being outside the Church, he does not have them. The heresy cannot solve and bind. The heretic is separated from the body of the Church, and thus deprived of the ecclesiastical authority of the jurisdiction if he had one. He loses it in substance, so he cannot absolve or give indulgences, curse because it will not be valid. The Pope who has fallen into heresy is cut off from the Church and ceases to be Pope. It was not possible in Israel to make a man from another nation king (Deut. 17:15). Therefore, a heretic cannot be a pope, because he is outside the Church. By other sins he remains a head, although he is a sick head. Just as the deceased is no longer a man, so the Pope captured in heresy is not the pope. The prelate captured in heresy becomes the antichrist (1 Jn. 4:3). He is no longer a shepherd of Christ's sheep, but a shepherd of goats. The Lord tells every heretic: "you can no longer manage" (Luke 16:2). It is impossible for someone to be both a shepherd and a wolf who is against the Christian faith. Against which one can obj

ect that until someone starts preaching heresy, but only in heart and mind, he can use jurisdiction. Judas Iscariot was not filed out of office immediately. Otherwise there would be confusion in the ecclesiastical hierarchy. Bishops and the Emperor who have fallen into heresy do not immediately lose their office, why should the Pope lose it. The answer to these allegations, according to St. Thomas, goes along the line of the heretic losing his office, even in secret, although the sentences he passes are to be endured while heresy is hidden. Judas had no spiritual ecclesiastical jurisdiction. The pope heretic loses his jurisdiction, but he can do what belongs to ordination. What could not be said about a Jew, a woman or a heathen, who would be considered a pope. The Pope is no worse off than other heretics, because the difference between the faithful and unbelievers is not from human law but from God's law, therefore every heretic cannot rule the faithful in spiritual matters. In the affairs of the laity heretics can govern the faithful, for example, rulers are not deprived of secular power by God's law, but in the affairs of spiritual government, this cannot happen.

There is a quadruple unity in the body according to Peter Lombard. The first is unity because of the similarity of the parts, as the hand and the leg are composed of the same elements of body and bone. The second is the interrelation through muscles and joints. It is unity through continuity. The third is caused by the spirit of life in the whole body, and the fourth makes all the members perfected by the soul, which is numerically one in all the members. Thus, in the Church, the first unity causes the members to be of one nature or one species, the second that they are bound by faith, the third that they are animated by grace and love, and the fourth is the Holy Spirit, who ultimately perfects the entire mystical body, like the soul in a natural body. So it is not enough to belong to the Church according to the first unity, but it is necessary to reach the fourth. Even participation in the sacraments does not mean full unity. One must unite with all members through faith and love. Whoever loses love, but maintains faith, is not called a cut off member, because material unity lasts in him. He is rather like a withered member. Ordination alone is not enough to obtain spiritual jurisdiction, or else the apostates and the antichrist could become the head of the body of Christ.

Not only heretics fall into the punishment of the curse, but also the believers following them, benefactors and defenders. These include those who confess the same mistakes, as well as those who honor them and receive sacraments from them and participate in rituals. We call benefactors those in government who do not oppose ungodliness. In a word, they justify or acquit them, provide them with food or hide them, obstructing the execution of the punishment of the Church. Such are subject to the curse, and if they fail to do so within a year, they are defamed by the law itself, and are not allowed into public offices. Similarly, priests who would give them sacraments, ecclesiastical funerals, or receive donations, should be deprived of their office. A heretic converting must take an oath and renounce heresies.