

AN UIGUR DECREE OF TAX EXEMPTION IN THE NAME OF DUWA-KHAN

MATSUI, Dai
JAPONYA/JAPAN/ЯПОНИЯ

INTRODUCTION

Prof. Dr. Reşid Rahmeti Arat, the great Turkish scholar in the field of Old Turkic philology, dealt with great number of the Old Uigur secular documents in the German-Turfan collection during his study at Berlin from 1928 to 1933. Meanwhile he made many photographic reproductions of the Uigur documents for his further researches, and took the photographs with himself back to Istanbul. Quite a number of the originals of those Uigur documents were destroyed during the World War II, and then the photographs taken by Arat have the great importance to enable us to view the lost manuscripts (Sertkaya, 1996: 279-281).

The Uigur decree presented in this paper also belongs to the lost Berlin collection. It is mentioned by Arat under his personal inventory number “77/01” (Arat, 1964: 16), but he only placed a brief summary. Recently I had a fortune to be offered from Prof. Dr. Osman Fikri Sertkaya (Istanbul University) the photograph taken by Arat with the permission to use it for research, and I have mentioned to its contents in my previous articles (Matsui, 2005: 72; Matsui, 2007a: 67). Again expressing my sincere gratitude to Prof. Sertkaya for his kindness, here I would like to provide fully annotated edition of the document with the photograph, furthermore preliminary analysis from the viewpoint of philology and historical study.

From the photograph, we can observe the site-number “T III Murtuq 253” written on the upper-right of the document, which tells us that it was brought by the Third German Expedition (1906-1907) from Murtuq, the site located at ca. 20 km northward from the ancient capital of Uigur Kingdom, Qara-Qoço. Unfortunately we have no information of size or color of the document, though visible are four of vertical and three horizontal fold lines. A rectangle seal is stamped on ⁹*törüsin* and ¹⁰*yorıqı*, but the inscription is too obscure to decipher (see **Chapter 6** below). The photograph shows another document fragment aside, on which we find a large Brahmi (or 'Phags-pa?) character. According to Prof. Sertkaya, Arat took the two manuscripts into a single frame and the fragment has no direct connection with our decree.

1. Text

01 *tuw-a yrlq-ın-tın*

02 *[t](ü)män sözü m tü[kä](l)-ä adan-a ikigü-kä aḍaṅgiz-lar*

03 *qodmıš bitigni yoriḍmayın qalan birim alım*

04 *tip tarḍar ārmiš siz-lār bu altmıš-a qy-a-*

05 *-nıng oq [ü]ntürmiš bitiginčä qalan qayud*

06 *birim alım tilämäng-lār anasın-ya tapınıp*

07 *yorişun tip bitig birtim • qalančı*

08 *alım-čı m-ä bolsar altmıš-a-tın tilämänglār*

09 *barz yıl aram ay otuz-qa il törüsin-*

10 *-čä aḍası buyan-a-nıng bitigi yoriqı üčün*

2. English Translation

⁰¹By Duwa's edict. ⁰²Tümän, my word (i.e. decree). To the two of Tükälä and Adana.

⁰²⁻⁰³“We shall not let the document that your fathers (i.e. ancestors) left [for you] pass (i.e. be valid). [Here are] *qalan*-labor services and *birim-alım*-taxes [on you]!” ⁰⁴thus saying, you have taken [from] (i.e. imposed taxes and labor services on) [Altmıša-Qya].

⁰⁴⁻⁰⁵According to the document that this Altmıša-Qya just presented, ⁰⁵⁻⁰⁶never charge *qalan-qayut*-labor services nor *birim-alım*-taxes [on Altmıša-Qya]! ⁰⁶⁻⁰⁷Just let him pass in serving his mother! Thus saying, I gave [this] document. ⁰⁷⁻⁰⁸Even if [Altmıša-Qya] should be *qalan*-labor worker or *alım*-tax payer, never charge on Altmıša[-Qya]!

⁰⁹On the 30th day, the 1st month, the year of Tiger. ⁰⁹⁻¹⁰For the validity of the document of his (i.e. Altmıša-Qya's) father Buyana according to the law of the country.

3. Notes

01, *tuw-a yrlq-ın-tın*: This phrase clearly shows that the document was issued under the authority of the Chaghataid khan *Tuw-a* = Duwa (~ Duu-a ~ Du'a > Pers. *Dū'ā*, r. 1282-1307). Duwa, the descendant of the second son of Chingghisqan Chaghatai, had allied with the Ögödeid prince Qaidu (1236-1301) in the military activities against the Yuan dynasty. But after Qaidu's death Duwa swept the Ögödeids in Central Asia to finally re-establish the hegemony of Chaghataid khanate (Biran, 1997: 69-77). His name is written in the same form TWW-' as here in the inscription in memory of the Uigur İduq-quts (Geng/

Hamilton, 1981: 16, 18), while as TWQ-’ = *Duy-a* in the Mongolian letter of AD 1304 by the Ilkhan Ölzeitü (Mostaert / Cleaves, 1962: 55).

The phrase as *XX yrlq-în-tîn* “by the edict of XX”, composed of *yrlq* ~ *yarliq* “edict” with +*în* (instructive) + *tîn* (locative-ablative), is attested in the Persian decrees issued by the Ilkhanate (Herrmann, 2004: 14), and it should be the corresponding to Mong. *XX jarliq-iyar* “by the edict of XX”, the typical opening phrase in the administrative documents (Matsukawa, 1995b: 40). In the Mongol times, *jarliq* “edict” is used only for the edict of the Yuan emperors, while *üge* “word” is used for decree or commands of the other princes, princesses, nobles, ministers, etc. (Sugiyama, 1990: 1; Matsukawa, 1995b: 26). Neither Chaghataid khans nor the Ilkhans used the word *jarliq* but *üge* for their own decrees, though their subordinate officials or local inhabitants did not hesitate use the word *jarliq* for the decrees from their rulers regarding them as standing at parity with the Yuan emperors (Matsui, 2008: 161).

02a, tūmān: Uig. *tūmān* (= Mong. *tūmen*) means “ten thousand”, then “the leader of the (military or administrative) unit of ten-thousand”, and we have some attestation of *tūmān bāgi* or *tūmān noyîn* “the leader of ten-thousand-unit” in the Uigur texts (Matsui, 2003: 58-59). Here this Tūmān is the name of the issuer, yet it might reflect that he was the *tūmān-bāgi* (or *tūmān-noyîn*) in the Turfan region.

02b, tükäl-a adan-a: They are whom the issuer, Tūmān, informed about the contents of the document.

02c, aḡaṅgiz-lar: Arat took this “your fathers” as those of Tükälä and Adana (Arat, 1964: 16), though from the context we should regard as Altmışa-Qya’s fathers (i.e. ancestors) and understand the text atangiz-lar qodmish bitigni yoritmayin as the claim by Tükälä and Adana in their imposing tax on Altmışa-Qya.

03, qalan birim alim: Here *qalan* and *birim alim* are used as generic terms for all kind of taxes and labor services (Matsui, 2005: 72). In a narrow sense, *qalan* is a labor service corresponding to Mong. *alban* or Chin. 差役 *chai-yi*, and levied on the laymen according to the land in their possession, while it was used also as a generic term for several kind of labor services (Matsui, 2004: 20-21; Matsui, 2005: 72-73). Uig. *birim alim* is also a common idiom for basic land tax paid in produce, which covers several subcategories (Matsui, 2005: 72, 74).

04, altmış-a qy-a: The demunitive *qy-a* is omitted in line 08.

05, qalan qayud birim alim: *qayud* ~ *qayut* (> *qavud* ~ *qavut* ~ *qavit*) “a kind of food made of millet” has been attested in an idiom *qalan qavit* in three Uigur documents (Zieme, 1980: 219-220; Özyetgin, 2004: 145-147). I have assumed

that *qayut* ~ *qavut* ~ *qavīt* is a kind of labor service to be included in *qalan* as a generic term (Matsui, 2005: 73). Our document also lends support to me, since it refers to both of *qalan* and *qayut* here, while in lines 03, omitting *qayut*, only *qalan* is mentioned. See also Note 03 above.

09-10: Here is recorded the date of issuing, and then a “postscript” declares the reason of issuing.

P’RZ = *barz* instead of P’RS = *bars* “tiger” may be a reflection of the Mongolian orthography (cf. Yamada, 1993: Sa10, Mi02). Even though there are only two candidates for *bars yil* “the Year of the Tiger” during Duwa’s reign, i.e. AD 1290 and 1302 AD, unfortunately we have no definite clue for final decision.

The postscript might be an influence by the Mongolian chancellery practice. We have some Mongolian decrees that carry the postscript of the reason of issuing, e.g. XX *tula* “For XX”.

10, yoriqī: Reading as YWRWXY = *yoruqī* is also possible. Uig. *yorīq* is a deverbal noun from v. *yorī-* “to go, pass” and usually translated as “course, behavior, character; way of progressing, life” (Clauson, 1972: 963; Erdal, 1991: 257). However, here we should follow Arat’s translation as “validity (*yürürlük*)” (Arat, 1964: 16). Cf. *yorīq bōz* “passable (i.e. valid in commerce) cotton cloth” in Yamada, 1993: Sa07.

4. Formula of the Document

Most of the Uigur secular documents — contracts, decrees and administrative orders — give their date at the beginning (Mori, 1961: 115-117; Yamada, 1967: 87-89; Zieme, 1981: Text A; Matsui, 1998: 1). From this viewpoint, the Uigur decree presented here is rather rare one that gives its date at the end. I have already noted that it should be influenced by the contemporary Mongolian chancellery practice (Matsui, 2007: 67).

Furthermore, we can easily find that the formula of our decree parallels with that of the edicts or decrees by the Chinggisid Mongolian emperors or princes. According to the terms of the Mongolian decrees from Turfan and China (Cerensodnom/Taube, 1993: 165-167; Matsukawa, 1995b: 36-44), we may summarize the contents of our decree as follows:

(1) Autorisierung (01): Duwa, the Chaghataid ruler.

(2) Intitulatio (02): Tüman, seemingly the high-ranked governor in the Turfan region.

(3) Publicatio (03): Tükälā and Adana, apparently the local officers (*bāgs*) in the Turfan region under Tüman.

(4) Narratio (02-04): Unlawful levy of *qalan*-labor and *birim-alim*-taxes on Altmışa-Qya by Tükälä and Adana.

(5) Inscriptio: Altmışa-Qya

(6) Dispositio (04-08): Re-authorization of exemption from taxes and labor services, which had been, bestowed Altmışa-Qya and his family.

(7) Schlußprotkoll: The date and the reason of issuing.

As the Turkic administrative decrees in Uigur script that follow such a formula under the influence of the Mongolian chancellery practice, we can pick up Toqtamış's decree of 1393 (Özyetgin, 1996: AI), Temür-Qutluğ's of 1397 (Özyetgin, 1996: AII), Šāh-Ruḥ's of 1422 (Deny, 1957; Ono, 2006) and Sultan Umar-Šayḥ's of 1469 (Melioranskij, 1904). However, all of the four are from the post-Mongol times, while our document clearly belongs to Duwa's reign (1282-1307) or the Mongol times. Consequently, our document is the oldest Uigur-Turkic decree of the Mongolian chancellery formula so far as ever known.

On the other hand, we can observe the difference in the ending formula. Most of the Chinggisid Mongolian edicts and decrees declare the issuing place after the date at the end (Cerensodnom/Taube, 1993: 166; Matsukawa, 1995b: 44), while our document does not mention. In other words, the officers and the inhabitants to read this decree knew the issuing place so well that they did not mention it: Most probably, it was Qara-Qočo, the main capital of the Turfan region.

5. Chaghataid Domination of Turfan

The Qočo Uigur Kingdom in the Turfan region brought itself under the domination of the Mongol empire at the beginning of the 13th century. Since the latter half of the century the Turfan region became of the border between the Mongol-Yuan dynasty in China and the Anti-Yuan Ögödeid and Chaghataid princes headed by Qaidu. According to the Persian chronologist Rašīd al-Dīn (d. 1318), the Uigurs of Qara-Qočo or Turfan region were “on good terms with them both and render service to both sides” in the end of the reign of the Yuan emperor Qubilai, r. 1260-1294 (Boyle, 1971: 286).

After this period of neutrality, the Turfan region finally fell into the actual domination by the Chaghataids, who had established the independence in Central Asia after Qaidu's death (Allsen, 1983: 258-261; Biran, 1997: 43-44). The official map of AD 1330 compiled by the Yuan court recognizes that 畏兀兒地 *Wei-wu-er-di* “the land of the Uigurs” including Qara-Qočo, Lükčüng, Biš-Baliq belongs to the Chaghataid khan Döre-Temür (Liu, 2006: 576-590). Still earlier, in 1326, the Chaghataid khan Kebeg issued a decree to a governor in Turfan, which is the oldest one issued by the Chaghataid khanate as hitherto dated (Franke, 1962: 406; Cerensodnom/Taube 1993: Nr. 76). Moreover, an Uigur petition to the

Chaghataid khan Tuyluγ-Temür (1346-63) refers to levy of *qalan*-labor service in Turfan during Esen-Buqa's reign, 1310-1318 (Arat, 1937). These documents suggest that the Chaghataid domination in Turfan had begun by the late 1320's.

Our decree throws a new light on the beginning of the Chaghataid domination in the Turfan region. It confirms that during Duwa's reign, 1282-1307, his authority was certainly effective among the Uigurs in Turfan. It may give a support to dating of the Chaghataid occupation of Turfan to the first years of the 14th century (e.g. Allsen, 1983: 259).

However, a close observation on the format of the decree does not allow such simplified understanding on the historical situation in that period.

First, the Chaghataid khans and their subject officials adopted a mark shaped like double-leaves, which is so-called "Chaghatai-Zeichen", in their seals (Franke, 1962). Such seals with "Chaghatai-Zeichen" became popular also among the local Uigur officers of the Turfan region in the mid-14th century (Matsui, 1998: 3-5, 8-9; Matsui, 2002: Texts A, B). Contrarily the seal on our decree apparently does not have the "Chaghatai-Zeichen"

Second, the Chaghataid subordinate officials often used the "Chaghataid honorific style", a typical style in writing administrative documents. As a mark of respect for the authority of the document (i.e. the Chaghataid ruler) at the first line, they lift down the top of the following lines 2-4/5. Also, when "holy" words — e.g., *qan* "king", *oylan* "prince", *tngri* "heaven, god", the names of the high government officials, or others concerning them — appear in the text, the top of the following two or three lines are to be laid down for the same reason (Cerensodnom / Taube, 1993: 167; Matsukawa, 1995a: 112-115). Otherwise the top of the lines are at same level with the top of the first line with the name of the Chaghataid ruler (Cerensodnom / Taube, 1993: Nrn. 68, 70, 71, 72, 74, 76; Dunhuang North B163:42 = Matsui, 2008: 161). Uigur local officers in Turfan also adopted this method (Matsui, 1998: 8-11, 18-19, 27-28). From this point of view, our decree lifts up the name of the ruler (⁰¹Duwa) to the highest and the name of the governor (⁰²Tümän) to the next, and keeps the top of following lines beneath, seemingly following another style of chancellery practice than the Chaghataids'.

Summing up, we may conclude that during Duwa's reign the Chaghataid domination in Turfan was not thoroughgoing enough to influence the local chancellery system. It tallies that the six Uigur administrative orders so-called "Yalın-texts", which are dated to during 1319-1322, have no sign of the Chaghataid domination (Matsui, 2003: 53-55).

Yet, it should be noted that the issuer of our decree, Tümän, seemingly the contemporary governor of the Turfan region, disregards the Yuan-emperor but

regards the Chaghataid ruler Duwa at the beginning. Our decree must be a new source to witness that the Yuan domination in Turfan was on a decline during Duwa's reign.

REFERENCES

- Allsen, T. T., (1983), "The Yuān Dynasty and the Uighurs of Turfan in the 13th Century", M. Rossabi (ed.) **China among Equals**, Berkeley/Los Angeles/London: University of California Press. 243-280.
- Arat, R. R., (1937), "Uygurca yazılar arasında", **Türk Tarih, Arkeologiya ve Etnografya Dergisi**, 3 [1936], 101-112, +1 pl.
- Arat, R. R., (1964), "Eski Türk hukuk vesikaları", **Türk Kültürü Araştırmaları**, 1, 1-53.
- Biran, M., (1997), **Qaidu and the Rise of the Independent Mongol State in Central Asia**. Richmond: Curzon. x+198.
- Boyle, J. A., (1971), **The Successors of Genghis Khan**. New York: Columbia University Press: 372+2.
- Cerensodnom, D., / Taube, M., (1993), **Die Mongolica der Berliner Turfansammlung**. Berlin: Akademie Verlag: 230+57.
- Chen, G., (1982), "Miscellaneous Notes on Xinjiang in the Yuan Period", **The Second Volume of Studies on the History of Xinjiang**, Urumqi: Xinjiang Renmin Chubanshe. 274-Clauson, G., (1972), **An Etymological Dictionary of Pre-Thirteenth-Century Turkish**. Oxford: Clarendon Press: xlviii+989.
- Deny, J., (1957), "Un *soyugal* du timouride Šāhruḥ en écriture ouïgoure", **Journal Asiatique**, 245, 253-266.
- Erdal, M., (1991), **Old Turkic Word Formation**, Vol. I-II. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz: 874.
- Franke, H., (1962), "Zur Datierung der mongolischen Schreiben aus Turfan", **Oriens**, 15, 399-410.
- Geng, S.,-Hamilton, J. R., (1981), "L'inscription ouïgoure de la stèle commémorative des Iduq qut de Qočo", **Turcica**, 13, 10-54.
- Herrmann, G., (2004), **Persische Urkunden der Mongolenzeit**. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz: 327.
- Liu, Y., (2006), **Studies on the History of the Chaghataid Khanate**. Shanghai: Shanghai Guji Chubanshe: 635.
- Matsui, D., (1998), "Uigur Administrative Orders Bearing Qutluy-seals", **Studies on the Inner Asian Languages**, 13, 1-62, +15 pls.

-----, (2002), "Taxation and Tax-collecting Systems in Uiguristan under Mongol Rule", K. Matsuda (ed.) **Research on Political and Economic Systems under Mongol Rule**. Osaka: Osaka International University. 87-127.

-----, (2003), "The Yalın-Texts. Six Uigur Administrative Orders from the Early Fourteenth Century", **Hirosaki University Studies in the Humanities (Cultural Sciences)**, 10, 51-72.

-----, (2004), "Uigur Peasants and Buddhist Monasteries during the Mongol Period", **Tōyōshi Kenkyū**, 63(1), 1-32.

-----, (2005), "Taxation Systems as Seen in the Uigur and Mongol Documents from Turfan: An Overview", **Transactions of the International Conference of Eastern Studies**, 50, 67-82.

-----, (2007), "An Uigur Document Preserved in the Library of Istanbul University", **Studies on the Inner Asian Languages**, 22, 61-70.

-----, (2008), "A Mongolian Decree from Chaghataid Khanate Discovered at Dunhuang". P. Zieme (ed.) **Aspects of Research into Central Asian Buddhism, in Memoriam Kōgi Kudara**. Turnhout: Brepols. 159-178.

Matsukawa, T., (1995a), Review of D. Cerensodnom/Taube, *Die Mongolica der Berliner Turfansammlung*, 1993. **Tōyōshi Kenkyū**, 54 (1), 105-122.

Matsukawa, T., (1995b), "On the Daiyuan-Ulus Style in the Mongolian Edicts of the 13th and 14th Centuries", **Machikaneyama Ronsō**, 30, 25-52.

Melioranskij, R., (1904), "Dokument' uigurskago pis'ma sultana Omar'-Shejkha", **Zapiski Vostochnago Otdelenija Imperatorskago Russkago Arkheologicheskago Obshchestva**, 16 (1), 1-12.

Mori, M., (1961), "A Study on Uyghur Documents of Loans for Consumption", **Memoirs of the Resaerch Department of the Toyo Bunko**, 20, 111-148.

Mostaert, A./Cleaves, F. W., (1962), **Les lettres de 1289 et 1305 des ilkhan Arȳun et Öljēitü à Phillip le Bel**. Cambridge (Mass.): Harvard University Press: vi+104+12.

Ono, H., (2006), "Revision of the Uigur-Turkic Decree Issued by Timurid Šāhruh", T. Horikawa (ed.) **Historical Studies on Formation and Change of Muslim Communities in Central Asia**, Kyoto: Kyoto University of Foreign Studies. 28-47.

Özyetgin, A. M., (1996), **Altın Ordu, Kırım ve Kazan Sahasına Ait Yarlık ve Bitiklerin Dil ve Üslûp İncelemesi**. Ankara: Aliç Ofset matbaacılık Sanayi Ticaret: xii+297.

-----, (2004), **Eski Türk Vergi Terimleri**. Ankara: Köksav: XII+250.

Sertkaya, O. F., (1996), “Die Geschichte der im Zweiten Weltkrieg verlorengegangenen und zerstörten uigurischen Texte und das altuigurische Bruchstück mit Sternnamen”. R. E. Emmerick et al. (eds.) **Turfan, Khotan und Dunhuang**. Berlin: Akademie Verlag. 279-291.

Sugiyama, M., (1990), “A Study on the Inscription of the Sino-Mongolian Edicts in 'Phags-pa Script”. **Studies on the Inner Asian Languages**, 5, 1-31, +2 pls.

Yamada, N., (1967), “Uigur Documents of Sale and Loan Contracts Brought by Ōtani Expeditions. Appendix: The Forms of the Uigur Documents of Sale Contracts”, **Memoirs of the Resaerch Department of the Toyo Bunko**, 23 [1964], 71-118, +4 pls.

-----, (1993), **Sammlung uigurischer Kontrakte**, 3 vols. Osaka: Osaka University Press: xvii+588+11, xxi+330, viii+160.

Zieme, P., (1980), “Uigurische Pachtdokumente”, **Altorientalische Forschungen**, 7, 197-245, +Taf. III-XII.

-----, (1981), “Uigurische Steuerbefreiungsurkunden für buddhistische Klöster”, **Altorientalische Forschungen**, 8, 237-263, +Taf. XIX-XX.

T III Murtuq 253

(By courtesy of Prof. Dr. Osman Fikri Sertkaya)

