







ارسال و داوری مقالات

مدرس: دکتر مرضیه عزیزی

استادیار گروه مامایی و بهداشت باروری، دانشگاه علوم پزشکی مازندران

سرپرست کمیته تحقیقات و فناوری دانشجویی دانشکده پرستاری مامایی نسیبه

۱۴۰۳ اسفند ۱۴۰۳









PIEEE





















Overview

- Identifying a target journal
- Following the journal's instructions
- Submitting the paper
- Understanding the decision process
- Revising a paper
- Answering queries
- Reviewing proofs





Publication Process

Pre-writing: Step 1

Target journal selection



Writings: Step

- Follow Guidelines
- Format The Manuscript
- Writings



Publishing: Step 3

Peer Review Process








Identifying a target journal

- Decide early (before drafting the paper).
- Do not write the paper and then look for a journal.
- Look for journals that have published work similar to yours.
- Consider journals that have published work that you will cite.










Avoiding Plagiarism













Tools for writing • Paraphrasing Online tools Synonyms Grammar, Plagiarism





مجلات معتبر به چه مجلاتی گفته می شوند؟ و

- مجلات معتبر در دنیای علم و پژوهش، مجلاتی هستند که از سوی مراکز علمی و دانشگاهها تأیید شدهاند و برای انتشار مقالات علمی به آنها اعتبار داده می شود.
- این مجلات معمولاً دارای ویژگیهایی نظیر نمایه شدن در پایگاههای معتبر مانند ISI و Scopus معتبر مانند ISI و Scopus







What criteria would you consider when identifying journals to publish your research?






معیارهای انتخاب مجله/نشریه اکا مناسب

- حیطه مجله (scope)
- نمایه شدن مجله/ نشریه (index)
- ضریب تأثیر مجله / نشریه (Impact Factor)
 - تعداد شمارههای چاپ در سال
 - نرخ رد یا پذیرش مقالات (Acceptance)
- مشخص بودن فرآیند داروی (Editorial Times)
 - قرار نداشتن در لیست مجلات نامعتبر




شاخص های مهم در ژورنال شناسی





Invalid journals

14







جهت اطلاع از آخرین وضعیت فهرست نشریات علمی نامعتبر به آدرس ذیل مراجعه فرمایید


https://jcr.isc.ac/Blacklist.aspx



Impact factor (IF)



16







شاخص Q چیست و چگونه محاسبه می شود؟

- پایگاه نشر JCR که متعلق به موسسه تامسون رویترز است به همراه موسسه اسکوپوس که آن هم پایگاهی برای نمایه کردن مجلات معتبر است در هر سال از شاخصی موسوم به Q برای رتبهبندی مجلات استفاده می کنند.
- براساس این شاخص که به ۴ دسته Q1, Q2 و Q3 تقسیم می شود، امکان مشخص کردن جایگاه هر مجله از بین چهار کی موجود در زیرگروه تخصصیاش میسر می گردد.
- اگر مجلهای بتوانید در بخش اول یعنی چارک نخست و همان **Q** آقرار بگیرد، یعنی در بهترین جایگاه در میان مجلات تخصصی زیرگروه خودش قرار گرفته است.

•



Quartiles Ranking Based on IF Distribution



- QI: Top 25% of journals in a particular field based on Impact Factor
- Q2: Second quartile, comprising journals with Impact Factors higher than the median but lower than the top 25%
- Q3: Third quartile, encompassing journals with Impact Factors lower than the median but higher than the bottom 25%
- Q4: Bottom 25% of journals based on Impact Factor



تخزارش استنادی مجلات REPORTS)

• پایگاه داده اطلاعاتی JCR بیش از ۷۵۰۰ مجله معتبر را در حدود ۲۰۰ حوزه موضوعی از سال ۱۹۹۷ به بعد در بر می گیرد و با استفاده از آن می توان مجلات و ژورنال ها را در حوزه های تخصصی، طبقه بندی و شاخص های رتبه بندی آنها را مورد ارزیابی قرار داد. پایگاه داده اطلاعاتی JCR رادارای دو نسخه می باشد که نسخه علمی آن در بر گیرنده بیش از بیگاه داده اطلاعاتی مشتمل بر ۱۸۰۰ مجله می باشد پایگاه داده اطلاعاتی JCR زار طریق ۱۸۰۰ مجله شرط پرداخت وجه اشتراک قابل دستیابی است

19





پایگاه اسکوپوس

- □ یک پایگاه اطلاعات علمی می باشد که یکی از مهمترین پایگاه نمایه سازی مجلات علمی جهان به شمار می آید و در سال ۲۰۰۴ توسط شرکت Elsevierراه اندازی شده است.
- □ پایگاه اسکوپوس نیز همانند پایگاه ISIاقدام به بررسی علمی مجلات و نشریه ها کرده و در صورت رعایت استاندارد های این پایگاه اقدام به نمایه سازی ان می نماید. پایگاه اسکوپوس در حدود ۳۵۰۰۰ مجله را پوشش می دهد.






سايتاسكور

- □ یک شاخص ساده برای اندازهگیری تاثیر استنادی مجلات است. بر خلاف ضریب تاثیر که انواع خاصی از مقالات (مروری و پژوهشی و فنی) را در محاسبه تعداد مقالات در مخرج کسر در نظر میگیرد، این شاخص همه انواع مقالات را در محاسبه خود در نظر میگیرد.
- □ یک مورد خاص که در سایتاسکور وارد محاسبه نمی گردد، مقالات In press است. از آنجایی که اسکوپوس همه مقالات In press را از ناشران مختلف دربرنمی گیرد، لذا برای اجتناب از bias در محاسبات این شاخص استنادی، مقالاتی که هنوز در شمارهای از مجله وارد نشدهاند، در محاسبه سایتاسکور وارد نمی شود.











فهرست ۹ ناشر برتر علمی آنلاین به شرح زیر است


- Springer •
- Cambridge university press
 - John Wiley & Sons •
 - Blackwell Publishing
 - SAGE Publishing •
 - Taylor & Francis
 - MDPI •
 - Elsevier •
 - Nature •







Iranian Research Information System








آدرس سایتهایی که می توان ژورنال های ایرانی را جستجو نمود

- https://jref.ir/ListScience/HS02
- https://jref.ir/





ايندكس هاى معتبر بين المللى











- Similar name to popular journals
- Poor homepage of their website
- Very wide & different journal scope
- Plagiarism
- Uneven articles quality
- Incorrect impact factor
- Not indexed in scientific database as Scopus & ISI





Some factors to consider

- Audience
- Prestige
- Access
- Impact
- Publication time
- Quality of reproduction
- Likelihood of acceptance



Instruction for authors



- Instructions to authors basically cover three important aspects of the publication process that are important for every author:
- Journal's purpose, scope, and policies.
- Manuscript preparation guidelines.
- Manuscript submission process.






Journal Selection (Short-listing) Tools

- Generic Tools
 - JANE
 - Edanz
 - Journal Guide
- Publisher Tools
 - Elsevier Journal Finder
 - Springer Journal Suggester
 - SAGE Journal Recommender





31 GENERIC TOOLS









Journal Search Result







https://www.edanz.com/journal-selector



33



harma Researcher Services

English Editing

EXCITED By The SCIENCE

<u> Log In</u>

CREATE ACCOUNT

MY journal selector

Search over 28,654 journals and 12,010,643 abstracts to find the journal that's right for you

Abstract / Keyword

Journal Name

Publisher Nam

Field of Research

between the two groups in terms of sexual orientation (p=0.559), but sexual dissatisfaction with gender at birth in males was significantly higher than in females (p<0.001). In addition to HIV-related features between male and female TG participants, women were significantly more likely to engage in unprotected intercourse than men (p=0.001), while the hormone injection by men was significantly higher than women (p=0.018). Regarding perceived barriers of women and men TG individuals, the chi-square test and phi correlation coefficient test showed that perceived financial, medical, and social barriers were not significantly different between the two groups (P>0.05). However, women reported more familial barriers than men (p=0.006).

Conclusion: The results of this study indicated that both women and men have experienced equal financial, medical, and social challenges in the health system, but women face more constraints in their family lives. Therefore, it is recommended that healthcare providers pay special attention to increasing awareness and improving the mental and physical health of TG individuals and also to reduce discrimination and violence in the family and society, and support measures should be taken by family members, friends, and community members. Keywords: Transgender, Sexual identity, health status, sexually transmitted diseases, barriers

SEARCH




















Journal Guide



https://www.journalguide.com/




Search for Papers/Journals/Publishers with Title/Abstract/Keywords











Tools publisher



37



Find journals

About ₹

Support ¬ My journals

Register

Sign in

Find the right journal for your research


Looking for the best journal match for your paper?

Search the world's leading source of academic journals using your abstract or your keywords and other details.

> More on how it works

special attention to increasing a individuals and also to reduce di	wareness and improving th	e mental and physical I	health of TG	×	Find journals	>
		Max	rimum 5,000 cha	racters		







39

Springer Journal Suggester



Enter your manuscript details to see a list of journal	ls most suitable for your research.
Manuscript title Necessary	Journal suggester
Necessary Necessary	https://journalsuggester.springer.com/
Subject area	
Refine your recommendations	
Suggest journals	



Criminal Law and Philosophy



88 days Impact factor First decision (average) Acceptance rate



Criminal Law Forum



Impact factor

64 days First decision (average)

33%

32%

Acceptance rate



Borderline Personality Disorder and Emotion Dysregulation



2.067 Impact factor

49 days First decision (average)

58%

Acceptance rate



European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research



1.397 Impact factor 68 days

First decision (average)

32%

Acceptance rate






SAGE Journal Recommender



onviction rates and Mental Disorders in Indi		Manuscript	Title	,
Keywords				
Limit to journals in SAGE Path (our free artic	le transfer service)			
elect Subjects (3-5 recommended)				~
dexing (can select multiple)				\ \
ournal Type				
All SAGE Journals				










Journal publishing process







Submission

- Selection of the journal
 - Usually decided during later stages of writing
 - What to take into account
 - Appropriate for the topic, for the style (it is strange to submit to a journal, which you do not cite)
 - Impact factor
 - Speed of processing (take care for tricks as resubmission instead of major revision)
 - Availability of the journal (publisher)
 - Page charge, open access (is paid)



45



Choosing a journal

- Restrictions
- Aims & Scope
- Paper Type
- Metrics and
- Indexing


- Editorial Board
- Acceptance Rate
- Peer Review system
- Personal recommendations
- Readership: Subscription,
- Hybrid or Gold Open
- Access?



Funding & institution



46







Submission (electronic)

- Cover letter very important
- Usually, you can suggest reviewers (do not suggest your recent co-authors, or people from your depatment), good to cite the suggested reviewers
- Often, you can suggest reviewers to be avoided
- You can sometimes suggest the handling editor





Submitting the paper

- Traditional submission (by mail)—now rare
- Electronic submission
 - Commonly via online submission system
 - Sometimes as e-mail attachment
- Inclusion of a cover letter (conventional or electronic)
- Completion of required forms





Cover Letter:

- Title and author(s) of paper
- Type of submission (full length article/ technical note)
- Fact that paper is new and not being submitted elsewhere
- Why the paper is important
- Some possible peer reviewers (some journal request that)





50 COVER LETTER

Dear Editor

Enclosed please find a manuscript entitled "Simulation of Forced Convection in a Channel with Nanofluid by the Lattice Boltzmann Method" which we would like to submit for publication in Nanoscale Research Letters. We believe that the novel idea applying lattice Boltzmann method for predicting heat transfer enhancement using nanofluid in channel with extended surface would appeal to the readership of the Journal. We confirm that this manuscript has not been published elsewhere and is not under consideration by another journal. All authors have approved the manuscript and agree with its submission. Thank you for your consideration of our work.


Sincerely Yours
Dr. Nor Azwadi Che Sidik
Department of Thermofluid
Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
Malaysia











evaluation for

editors)

Final publication (vol., pages)



Attracting the editor/reader

- There are lots of opportunities for rejection!
- Remember: your paper is competing with many others for the attention of editors and readers
- Title
 - Brief, interesting and accurate
- Abstract
 - Attract readers to your paper
 - Aim for 4 sections: why, how, what and implications
 - Include important keywords for searching
 - Make it clear and easy to read



Writing the Paper: Key Points

- Strong Introduction
 - Engage the reader
 - Set the scene, explain why the work is important, and state the aim of the study
- Clear, logically organised, complete Methods
 - Provide enough information to allow assessment of results (could someone else repeat the study?)
- Results
 - Be clear and concise; avoid repetition between text, tables and figures
- Relevant Discussion
 - Start strongly were aims achieved?
 - Discuss significance and implications of results





What editors look for in a manuscript

- Quality
 - good science: well planned, well executed study
 - good presentation
- Significance and originality
- Consistent with scope of journal
- Demonstrated broad interest to readership
- Will it cite?
- Well written 'story



Peer review - how are referees chosen?



- Technical/specialist expertise
- Broad knowledge of field
- Independence from authors and their institutions
- Previous record of fair/constructive reviewing or reviewing a related manuscript
- Availability under time constraints







what makes a good paper? hint: editors and reviewers look for

- Originality what's new about subject, treatment or results?
- Relevance to and extension of existing knowledge
- Research methodology are conclusions valid and objective?
- Clarity, structure and quality of writing does it communicate well?
- Sound, logical progression of argument



understanding reviews: what makes a good review



- Good reviews provide the editor with the information on which a decision can be based
- The best are insightful, articulate and constructive
- They tell the editor:
 - What is interesting about the paper
 - · How the results are significant
 - What can be done to improve the paper
 - If the paper is not publishable and why



Detailed comments in the review



- A good review answers the following questions and provides suggestions for improvement:
 - Does the introduction explain why the work was done and the hypothesis being tested?
 - Is the experimental/study design appropriate?
 - Are the methods clearly described to enable full assessment of the results?
 - Is the analysis appropriate?
 - Are the results presented effectively?
 - Is the work discussed in the context of all relevant literature?
 - Does the discussion make clear the significance and wider implications of the work?
 - Are the conclusions supported by the data presented?





Revising a paper

- Revise and resubmit promptly.
- Indicate what revisions were made.
 - Include a letter saying what revisions were made. If you received a list of requested revisions, address each in the letter.
 - If requested, show revisions in Track Changes.
- If you disagree with a requested revision, explain why in your letter. Try to find a different way to solve the problem that the editor or reviewer noted.







- Read the editor's letter first for instruction
- Take a deep breath: proceed to the reports
- Put them aside for a day, or two, a week...
- Re-read reports and discuss with coauthors ...
- Revise paper and prepare response document
- Remember
 - Even comments that seem aggressive or ignorant can be helpful
 - Always view this as a chance to improve the paper




62 Good response to referees' reports are

- Well organised
 - Address common themes at start
 - Use a 'quote and response' OR numbering system of points raised by each referee
- Informative
- Provide full explanations
- Do not overlook or ignore any points
- Assertive (and polite)





Decision – usually up to 3 months




- Rejection without review (editor's decision), usually very fast
- Rejection after review
- Rejected but re-submission encouraged
- Major revision (the paper might be reviewed again)
- Minor revision
- Acceptance













IF accepted

- Proofs: typeset material to check
- Review the proofs promptly.
- Some things to check:
 - Completeness (presence of all components)
 - Accuracy (absence of typographical errors in text and references)
 - Placement of figures and tables
 - Quality of reproduction of figures
- Note: This is not the time to rewrite the paper.





A final step

Celebrate Publication of Your Paper!







Thanks for your kindly attention!

Any questions to ask or publishing experiences to share?

dianaazizi1991@gmail.com

